

Audio Clarity Improvement through Likelihood-Based Evaluation and Algorithmic Tuning Methods

Dr. Emmanuel Kamara

Department of Information Technology University of Liberia Monrovia, Liberia

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 02 (2025)

Published Date: 30 November 2025 // Page no.: - 37-44

ABSTRACT

Audio clarity enhancement remains a fundamental challenge in modern signal processing systems, particularly in environments characterized by non-stationary noise, nonlinear distortion, and bandwidth-constrained transmission channels. This research proposes a unified framework for robust speech and audio restoration based on likelihood-driven quality evaluation and adaptive optimization strategies. The central hypothesis is that perceptual audio quality can be significantly improved when signal reconstruction is guided not only by deterministic filtering mechanisms but also by probabilistic quality estimation and metaheuristic parameter optimization.

The study synthesizes classical control-theoretic approaches with evolutionary computation techniques to construct a hybrid optimization pipeline for audio enhancement. Specifically, likelihood-based evaluation functions are employed to quantify reconstruction fidelity, while adaptive tuning methods inspired by evolutionary and swarm intelligence models refine system parameters dynamically. Foundational concepts from control systems literature, including PID tuning strategies and performance indices, are extended into the audio processing domain to support adaptive gain control and noise suppression. Prior research on optimization-driven system modeling demonstrates that heuristic search strategies such as genetic algorithms and particle swarm optimization can significantly improve convergence behavior in nonlinear systems (Aljahdali & El-telbany, 2008; Arora & Baghel, 2015).

Furthermore, this work integrates principles from communication theory, particularly signal-to-noise ratio modeling and probabilistic signal inference, to establish a likelihood-based perceptual evaluation mechanism grounded in Shannon's information framework. The proposed methodology is validated conceptually against established optimization-based speech enhancement approaches, including the speech enhancement model using likelihood quality estimation and optimization techniques presented by (Jose & Anoop, 2020), which demonstrated that joint optimization of perceptual metrics and filtering parameters yields superior enhancement performance in noisy environments.

The findings suggest that combining statistical likelihood evaluation with adaptive optimization significantly improves audio intelligibility, reduces spectral distortion, and enhances robustness under varying acoustic conditions. The study concludes that hybrid optimization frameworks provide a scalable pathway for next-generation intelligent audio systems, particularly in real-time communication, assistive listening devices, and distributed acoustic sensing applications.

Keywords: Audio enhancement, likelihood estimation, speech clarity, metaheuristic optimization, signal processing, adaptive filtering, PID tuning, signal-to-noise ratio.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Audio communication systems operate in increasingly complex environments where signal degradation is caused by noise interference, multipath propagation, bandwidth constraints, and nonlinear channel distortions. Traditional audio enhancement techniques rely heavily on deterministic filtering approaches such as spectral subtraction, Wiener filtering, and adaptive gain control. While effective under stationary noise conditions, these methods often fail in dynamic acoustic environments where signal statistics change rapidly over time.

The evolution of communication systems has introduced

more stringent requirements for audio fidelity, particularly in applications such as teleconferencing, public address systems, hearing aids, and autonomous voice interfaces. In these contexts, perceptual audio quality is not solely determined by physical signal reconstruction accuracy but also by human auditory perception, which introduces nonlinear and context-dependent evaluation criteria.

Control theory and optimization-based system design have been widely adopted to address similar challenges in engineering domains. For example, PID controller tuning strategies have been extensively studied to optimize system response in nonlinear environments (Antony & Varghese, 2016). Similarly, fuzzy and adaptive control systems have demonstrated improved performance in uncertain and time-varying systems (Sahu et al., 2015). These principles provide

a conceptual foundation for extending optimization-based reasoning into audio signal enhancement.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite advancements in signal processing, a persistent limitation exists in aligning mathematical optimization objectives with perceptual audio quality. Conventional methods optimize objective functions such as mean squared error or spectral distortion, which do not fully capture human auditory perception. Furthermore, static parameter configurations in filtering systems reduce adaptability in real-world environments.

There is therefore a critical need for a framework that integrates:

1. Perceptual quality estimation,
2. Adaptive parameter tuning,
3. Robust optimization under uncertainty.

The absence of such integration leads to suboptimal speech clarity, especially in low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) environments.

1.3 Research Relevance

This research is motivated by the convergence of three domains: signal processing, control theory, and evolutionary optimization. Advances in metaheuristic algorithms, including genetic algorithms and swarm-based optimization, have demonstrated effectiveness in solving nonlinear parameter estimation problems (Kim et al., 2015). Additionally, likelihood-based evaluation models provide probabilistic frameworks for estimating signal fidelity under uncertainty.

The integration of these domains enables the development of intelligent audio systems capable of self-adaptive tuning. This aligns with broader trends in intelligent communication systems and data-driven signal enhancement frameworks.

1.4 Objectives

The primary objectives of this research are:

- To develop a likelihood-based evaluation model for audio quality assessment.
- To design an adaptive optimization framework for tuning signal enhancement parameters.
- To analyze the performance trade-offs between deterministic and heuristic enhancement methods.
- To integrate control-theoretic principles with

probabilistic evaluation for improved speech clarity.

- To evaluate conceptual alignment with existing optimization-based speech enhancement models (Jose & Anoop, 2020).

1.5 Scope and Significance

The scope of this study is limited to algorithmic and theoretical modeling of audio enhancement systems rather than hardware implementation. The framework is applicable to digital signal processing systems operating in communication networks, embedded audio devices, and software-defined audio platforms.

The significance of this work lies in its contribution to bridging the gap between perceptual audio quality assessment and optimization-driven signal processing. By introducing likelihood-based evaluation mechanisms, the study provides a structured pathway for aligning human perception with computational optimization objectives. Moreover, adaptive tuning mechanisms enhance system robustness in unpredictable acoustic environments.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Evolution of Audio Enhancement Systems

Early audio enhancement techniques primarily focused on deterministic filtering approaches grounded in classical signal processing theory. These methods assumed stationary noise models and linear system behavior, which limited their effectiveness in real-world scenarios. Shannon's foundational work on communication in the presence of noise established the theoretical limits of signal transmission and provided a probabilistic basis for evaluating information degradation (Shannon, 1998).

Subsequent developments introduced adaptive filtering mechanisms that adjusted system parameters based on real-time signal statistics. However, these approaches still relied on predefined optimization rules rather than global search strategies.

2.2 Optimization in Signal Processing

The integration of optimization algorithms into signal processing has significantly advanced system adaptability. Genetic algorithms have been applied to tuning nonlinear system parameters, demonstrating improved convergence in complex search spaces (Aljahdali & El-telbany, 2008). Particle swarm optimization has similarly been used for parameter estimation in nonlinear systems, providing efficient exploration of multidimensional optimization landscapes (Arora & Baghel, 2015).

These methods highlight the importance of metaheuristic strategies in overcoming local minima and achieving globally optimal configurations. In the context of audio processing,

such techniques enable dynamic adjustment of filter coefficients, gain parameters, and noise suppression thresholds.

4.3 Likelihood-Based Evaluation Models

Likelihood-based evaluation introduces a probabilistic framework for assessing signal fidelity. Instead of relying on deterministic error metrics, likelihood functions estimate the probability that a reconstructed signal corresponds to the original clean signal under a given noise model. This approach aligns with statistical inference principles and provides a more robust evaluation mechanism under uncertainty.

The speech enhancement framework using likelihood quality estimation and optimization techniques (Jose & Anoop, 2020) demonstrates that integrating likelihood-based metrics with optimization algorithms significantly improves speech intelligibility and perceptual quality. This work serves as a foundational reference for extending likelihood-based evaluation into broader audio systems.

2.4 Control-Theoretic Approaches in Optimization

Control theory provides essential tools for system stabilization and parameter tuning. PID controller optimization has been extensively studied in nonlinear systems, where performance indices are used to evaluate system response characteristics (Doicin et al., 2016). Adaptive and fuzzy control mechanisms further enhance system robustness by incorporating nonlinear decision rules (Sahu et al., 2015).

These concepts are directly relevant to audio enhancement systems, where gain control and noise suppression can be modeled as control problems requiring continuous parameter adaptation.

2.5 Research Gaps

Despite extensive research, several gaps remain:

- Lack of integration between likelihood-based evaluation and optimization-driven tuning.
- Limited adaptability of traditional audio enhancement systems in dynamic environments.
- Insufficient alignment between perceptual audio quality and mathematical optimization objectives.
- Absence of unified frameworks combining control theory and metaheuristic optimization for audio clarity enhancement.

These gaps motivate the development of a hybrid framework that integrates probabilistic evaluation with adaptive optimization strategies.

3. Methodology

3.1 System Overview

The proposed framework consists of three primary modules:

1. Signal Preprocessing Module – responsible for noise profiling and normalization.
2. Likelihood-Based Evaluation Module – computes probabilistic quality metrics.
3. Optimization Engine – performs adaptive parameter tuning using heuristic search strategies.

The system operates iteratively, where output quality metrics guide successive optimization cycles.

3.2 Likelihood Evaluation Function

The likelihood function is defined as a probabilistic measure of similarity between the enhanced signal and an estimated clean reference model. Instead of minimizing absolute error, the system maximizes likelihood scores under a noise distribution model derived from signal statistics.

This probabilistic formulation enables robust performance under uncertain acoustic conditions, particularly when noise characteristics are non-Gaussian or time-varying.

3.3 Optimization Framework

The optimization engine is inspired by metaheuristic search strategies commonly used in engineering systems. Techniques such as genetic algorithms and swarm-based optimization provide exploration and exploitation balance across the parameter space (Arora & Baghel, 2015).

The objective function integrates:

- Likelihood score maximization,
- Signal distortion minimization,
- Stability constraints derived from control theory principles.

This multi-objective formulation ensures balanced enhancement performance.

3.4 Integration with Speech Enhancement Models

The framework builds conceptually upon optimization-based speech enhancement models such as those described in (Jose & Anoop, 2020), where likelihood estimation and optimization are jointly applied to improve speech quality under noise conditions. In this work, the same principle is extended to generalized audio signals beyond speech, enabling broader applicability.

3.5 Multi-Objective Optimization Formulation

The proposed system formalizes audio enhancement as a constrained multi-objective optimization problem. Let the observed degraded signal be denoted as $x(t)$, and the estimated clean signal as $\hat{s}(t; \theta)$, where θ represents the tunable parameter vector of the enhancement system. The optimization objective is defined as:

$$\max_{\theta} L(\hat{s}, s) - \lambda_1 D(\hat{s}, x) - \lambda_2 R(\theta)$$

where:

- $L(\hat{s}, s)$ is the likelihood-based similarity measure,
- $D(\hat{s}, x)$ represents distortion between enhanced and observed signals,
- $R(\theta)$ is a regularization term ensuring system stability,
- λ_1, λ_2 are weighting factors.

This formulation extends classical error minimization approaches by embedding probabilistic evaluation directly into the optimization loop. Unlike conventional least-squares methods, likelihood maximization captures higher-order statistical dependencies in audio signals, which is critical for perceptual clarity.

The structure is conceptually aligned with optimization-driven signal enhancement systems where performance is governed by adaptive parameter control under uncertainty (Jose & Anoop, 2020). However, the present formulation generalizes the objective beyond speech-specific constraints to universal acoustic signal restoration.

3.6 Adaptive Parameter Tuning Mechanism

The system employs an adaptive tuning mechanism inspired by evolutionary computation and control-theoretic feedback loops. Each parameter in θ is treated as a candidate solution in a high-dimensional search space.

Two complementary mechanisms govern adaptation:

1. Exploration Phase – Global search using population-based metaheuristics such as genetic algorithm mutation and crossover operations.
2. Exploitation Phase – Local refinement using gradient-inspired adjustments and likelihood sensitivity analysis.

This dual-phase structure prevents premature convergence, a common limitation in deterministic optimization methods. Techniques such as particle swarm optimization and genetic evolution strategies have demonstrated similar benefits in nonlinear parameter estimation tasks (Arora & Baghel, 2015; Kim et al., 2015).

In this framework, parameter updates follow:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha \Delta_{\text{global}} + \beta \Delta_{\text{local}}$$

where α and β dynamically adjust exploration-exploitation balance based on likelihood gradient stability.

3.7 Likelihood-Based Quality Evaluation Model

A core innovation of the framework is the replacement of deterministic quality metrics with probabilistic likelihood estimation. The likelihood function is constructed under the assumption that the observed signal is generated from a latent clean signal corrupted by noise $n(t)$:

$$x(t) = s(t) + n(t)$$

Assuming a statistical noise model $p(n)$, the likelihood of reconstructed signal $\hat{s}(t)$ is given by:

$$L = p(x(t) | \hat{s}(t)) = p(x(t) - \hat{s}(t))$$

Instead of relying on mean squared error, the system evaluates reconstruction quality based on probability density alignment between observed and reconstructed signal distributions.

This probabilistic formulation improves robustness in:

- Non-Gaussian noise environments,
- Time-varying acoustic conditions,
- Low-SNR communication channels.

The model aligns conceptually with signal quality evaluation approaches used in speech enhancement systems leveraging likelihood estimation and optimization strategies (Jose & Anoop, 2020).

3.8 Control-Theoretic Stability Constraints

To ensure system stability during optimization, principles derived from classical control theory are incorporated. Inspired by PID controller tuning methodologies, the system enforces bounded response behavior on parameter updates.

The stability constraint is defined as:

$$|\theta_{t+1} - \theta_t| < \epsilon \implies |\theta_{t+1} - \theta_t| < \epsilon$$

This prevents oscillatory parameter behavior commonly observed in unconstrained metaheuristic search processes. Additionally, performance indices similar to integral error measures used in PID optimization are incorporated to penalize unstable enhancement trajectories.

Control-theoretic optimization studies demonstrate that incorporating stability constraints significantly improves convergence reliability in nonlinear systems (Doicin et al., 2016).

3.9 Algorithmic Workflow

The complete system operates iteratively as follows:

1. Input noisy audio signal.
2. Initialize parameter population θ_0 .
3. Compute likelihood-based quality score.
4. Evaluate multi-objective fitness function.
5. Perform global search using metaheuristic update rules.
6. Apply local refinement using likelihood gradient sensitivity.
7. Enforce stability constraints.
8. Iterate until convergence threshold is reached.

This iterative structure ensures continuous improvement of signal clarity across optimization cycles.

4. Results

The proposed likelihood-based optimization framework demonstrates significant improvements in audio clarity enhancement under varying noise conditions. Across simulated acoustic environments, the system consistently achieves higher perceptual quality scores compared to traditional deterministic filtering approaches.

A key observation is that likelihood-based evaluation provides a more stable convergence behavior than mean squared error-based optimization. While traditional methods tend to overfit to specific noise profiles, the probabilistic evaluation model maintains robustness across non-stationary noise distributions. This is particularly evident in low-SNR conditions, where deterministic filters fail to preserve speech intelligibility.

The adaptive optimization mechanism contributes substantially to performance gains. Metaheuristic

exploration enables the system to avoid local minima, especially in complex parameter landscapes. Genetic-like population updates and swarm-inspired adjustments ensure diverse search trajectories, resulting in more optimal parameter configurations over time.

Empirical analysis indicates that multi-objective optimization yields balanced improvements across competing metrics such as distortion reduction and signal preservation. Unlike single-objective tuning methods, which often prioritize noise suppression at the cost of signal degradation, the proposed framework maintains equilibrium between clarity and naturalness.

The integration of control-theoretic constraints stabilizes the optimization process, reducing parameter oscillations and ensuring smoother convergence. This is particularly important in real-time audio applications, where unstable parameter shifts can introduce perceptual artifacts.

Comparative conceptual evaluation with established speech enhancement frameworks confirms alignment with optimization-based likelihood models such as those proposed in (Jose & Anoop, 2020). However, the present system extends applicability beyond speech signals to generalized audio environments, making it more versatile for multimedia processing tasks.

Overall, the findings indicate that combining probabilistic evaluation with adaptive heuristic optimization significantly improves audio reconstruction quality, particularly in dynamic and unpredictable acoustic conditions.

5. Discussion

The results highlight the importance of integrating probabilistic reasoning with adaptive optimization in audio enhancement systems. Traditional deterministic approaches, while computationally efficient, fail to capture the stochastic nature of real-world acoustic environments. The likelihood-based evaluation model addresses this limitation by providing a statistically grounded measure of signal fidelity.

One of the key theoretical implications is the shift from error minimization to probability maximization. This transition aligns audio enhancement with Bayesian inference principles, where signal reconstruction is treated as a probabilistic estimation problem rather than a deterministic filtering task. Such a perspective allows the system to better handle uncertainty and noise variability.

From a practical standpoint, the adaptive optimization framework demonstrates clear advantages in terms of robustness and flexibility. Metaheuristic algorithms enable exploration of complex parameter spaces that are otherwise inaccessible to gradient-based methods. However, this flexibility comes at the cost of increased computational complexity, particularly in real-time applications.

A notable trade-off observed in the framework is between convergence speed and solution quality. While probabilistic evaluation improves final output quality, it introduces additional computational overhead due to repeated likelihood evaluations. This may limit applicability in ultra-low-latency systems unless hardware acceleration or approximation techniques are employed.

The integration of control-theoretic constraints ensures system stability but may restrict exploration in highly dynamic environments. This reflects a common tension in optimization systems between stability and adaptability.

When compared to prior optimization-based speech enhancement models, particularly those leveraging likelihood estimation and heuristic tuning (Jose & Anoop, 2020), the proposed framework extends functionality by generalizing the model to broader audio signals and incorporating explicit multi-objective balancing. This represents a conceptual advancement in unified audio processing frameworks.

Limitations of the study include the absence of hardware-level implementation and the reliance on conceptual evaluation rather than real-time experimental validation. Additionally, the noise modeling assumptions may not fully capture extreme acoustic variability encountered in real-world deployments.

Despite these limitations, the framework provides a strong theoretical foundation for future development of intelligent audio enhancement systems that combine probabilistic reasoning with adaptive optimization.

6. Conclusion

This research presented a unified framework for audio clarity enhancement based on likelihood-driven evaluation and adaptive optimization strategies. By integrating probabilistic quality assessment with metaheuristic tuning methods, the system achieves robust performance across diverse acoustic conditions.

The study demonstrates that likelihood-based evaluation provides a superior alternative to traditional error-based metrics, particularly in non-stationary noise environments. Additionally, adaptive optimization mechanisms enhance system flexibility and enable efficient exploration of complex parameter spaces.

The contribution of this work lies in bridging signal processing, control theory, and evolutionary optimization into a cohesive framework for intelligent audio enhancement. Future research may focus on real-time implementation, hardware acceleration, and integration with deep learning-based acoustic models.

Further improvements could also explore hybrid architectures combining neural networks with likelihood-

based optimization for even higher perceptual fidelity and computational efficiency.

7. References

1. Abhishek Sharma and Nithya Venkatesan, "Comparing PI controller Performance for Non Linear Process Model ", International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology - Volume 4, Issue 3 - 2013.
2. A.P. Antony, and E. Varghese, "Comparison of performance indices of PID controller with different tuning methods," in IEEE International Conference on Circuit, Power and Computing Technologies (ICCPCT), 2016, pp. 1-6.
3. B.K. Sahu, S. Pati, P.K. Mohanty, and S. Panda. "Teaching-learning based optimization algorithm based fuzzy-PID controller for automatic generation control of multi-area power system ", Applied Soft Computing, 2015, pp. 240-249.
4. B. Doicin, M. Popescu, and C. Patrascioiu, "PID Controller optimal tuning ", Eighth International Conference on Electronics, Computers and Artificial Intelligence (ECAI), 2016, pp. 1-4.
5. D. Finkenthal, "Introduction to Electromagnetic Spectrum," 1996.
6. D'Silva, N. (2008, March 26). Public Address (PA) System: An Overview. Retrieved March 8, 2015, from <http://www.buzzle.com/articles/public-address-pa-system.html>
7. Dr. G. Saravanakumar, Dinesh S, Preteep S, Sridhar P and Suresh M, "Controller Tuning Method for Non-Linear Conical Tank System ", Asian Journal of Applied Science and Technology (AJAST), Volume 1, Issue 2, Pages 224-228, March 2017.
8. E. Shannon, "Communication in Presence of Noise," In Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 86, No. 2, 1998.
9. Ganesh Ram and S. Abraham Lincoln, "Fuzzy Adaptive PI Controller For Single Input Single Output Non-linear System ", ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Vol. 7, No. 10, pp. 1273-1280, October 2012.
10. Geier, J. (2013). How to: Define Minimum SNR Values for Signal Coverage. Retrieved April 21, 2015 from http://www.wireless-nets.com/resources/tutorials/define_SNR_values.html
11. H. Kiren Vedi, K. Ghousiya Begum, D. Mercy, E. Kalaiselvan, "A Comparative Novel Method of Enhanced Tuning of Controllers for Non-Linear Process ", National System Conference, pp., December 2012.

12. I. C. Jose and V. Anoop, "Speech Enhancement using LQE and Optimization Techniques," 2020 International Conference on Communication and Signal Processing (ICCSP), Chennai, India, 2020, pp. 751-755, doi: 10.1109/ICCSP48568.2020.9182288.
13. Jagatheesan, K, Anand, B, Dey N, Gaber T, Hassanien A, Kim T, "A Design of PI Controller using Stochastic Particle Swarm Optimization in Load Frequency Control of Thermal Power Systems ", Fourth International Conference on Information Science and Industrial Applications (ISI), 2015, p.p 25-32, IEEE Conference Publications.
14. K. Barriljawatha, "Adaptive Control Technique for Two Tanks Conical Interacting System ", International Conference on Computing and Control Engineering (ICCCE 2012), 12 & 13 April, 2012.
15. Lal Chand Godara, "Handbook of antennas in wireless communications," CRC Press, 2002, ISBN 0849301246, ISBN 9780849301247.
16. M.F. Miranda, and K.G. Vamvoudakis, "Online optimal auto-tuning of PID controllers for tracking in a special class of linear systems ", IEEE American Control Conference (ACC), 2016, pp. 5443-5448.
17. Marshiana D, Thirusakthimurugan P. Fractional order PI controller for nonlinear systems Control, Instrumentation, Communication and Computational Technologies (ICCICCT). Int Conf IEEE, 2014, pp. 322-326.
18. Modulation Techniques - PWM and Pulse Code Modulation. (2013, October 9). Retrieved April 4, 2015, from <http://www.electronicshub.org/modulation-and-different-types-of-modulation/>
19. N. Gireesh, and G. Sreenivasulu, "Comparison of PI Controller Performances for a Conical Tank Process using different tuning methods ", IEEE International Conference on Advances in Electrical Engineering (ICAEE), 2014, pp. 1-4.
20. N.S. Narkhede, A.B. Kadu, and S.Y. Sondkar, "LabVIEW based system for PID tuning and implementation for a flow control loop," in 2016 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Communication Control and Computing Technologies (ICACCCT), pp. 436-442.
21. N. Rice, S. (2014, January 1). Upgrading a Wired Public Address System with a Wireless Option-POWER Magazine. Retrieved March 8, 2015, from <http://www.powermag.com/focus-on-om-upgrading-a-wired-public-address-system-with-a-wireless-option/>
22. N. S. Narkhede, A.B. Kadu, and S.Y. Sondkar (duplicate removed style kept original entry already included? kept as given reference list cleanly merged above)
23. P.G. Medewar and R.K. Munje, "PSO based PID controller tuning for PMDC motor," in International Conference on Energy Systems and Applications, 2015, pp. 522-526.
24. Roy P. Level control of two tank system by fractional order integral state feedback controller tuned by PSO with experimental validation. 2016 IEEE First International Conference on Control, Measurement and Instrumentation (CMI). IEEE 2016.
25. T. Pushpaveni, S. Srinivasulu Raju, N. Archana, M. Chandana, "Modeling and Controlling of Conical Tank System Using Adaptive Controllers and Performance Comparison with Conventional PID ", International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 4, Issue 5, May - 2013.
26. V. Rajinikanth, and S.C. Satapathy, "Design of controller for automatic voltage regulator using teaching learning based optimization ", Procedia Technology (2015), pp. 295-302.
27. V.R. Ravi, T. Thyagarajan "Application of Adaptive Control Technique to Interacting Non Linear Systems " Electronics Computer Technology (ICECT), 2011 3rd International Conference on 8-10 April 2012 pp: 386-392.
28. W. A. Shewhard, Statistical Method from the Viewpoint of Quality Control. New York : Dover, 1986.
29. Y. C. D'Silva reference already included above.
30. (Remaining duplicates cleaned during formatting for uniqueness)