

Hybrid Artificial Intelligence Model for Automated Epilepsy Diagnosis Using Diverse Data Modalities

Dr. Matteo Vella

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Innovation
Mediterranean Institute of Smart Technologies Valletta, Malta

Dr. Claire Borg

School of Computational Intelligence
Malta Center for AI Research Msida, Malta

VOLUME 03 ISSUE 08 (2026)

Published Date: 11 August 2026 // Page no.: - 15-23

ABSTRACT

Epilepsy diagnosis remains a complex clinical challenge due to the heterogeneous nature of seizure manifestations, neurological variations, and limitations associated with conventional diagnostic approaches. Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI) have created opportunities for developing automated systems capable of integrating diverse biomedical data modalities, including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), neuroanatomical features, functional connectivity patterns, and clinical characteristics. This research presents a conceptual hybrid artificial intelligence model for automated epilepsy diagnosis by combining multimodal feature extraction, deep learning architectures, and machine learning-based classification strategies. The proposed approach addresses limitations of single-modality diagnostic systems by enabling comprehensive analysis of structural and functional brain information. Previous studies demonstrated the effectiveness of machine learning and deep learning approaches in identifying epilepsy-related biomarkers, differentiating neurological disorders, and predicting surgical outcomes (Akrami et al., 2022; Chang et al., 2023). Furthermore, investigations into network phenotypes and graph-based neurological patterns highlight the importance of integrating complex brain connectivity information for improved diagnostic accuracy (Garcia-Ramos et al., 2022). The proposed hybrid framework emphasizes data fusion, feature optimization, and interpretable prediction mechanisms to support clinical decision-making. The analysis indicates that AI-assisted multimodal models have significant potential for improving epilepsy detection, personalized treatment planning, and neurological assessment. However, challenges related to dataset variability, model generalization, and clinical implementation remain important considerations for future research.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Epilepsy Diagnosis, Deep Learning, Machine Learning, Multimodal Data Fusion, MRI Analysis, Brain Imaging, Hybrid Model, Clinical Decision Support.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Epilepsy is a neurological disorder characterized by recurrent seizures caused by abnormal electrical activity in the brain. Accurate diagnosis requires comprehensive evaluation of clinical symptoms, neuroimaging results, electrophysiological measurements, and neurological assessments. Traditional diagnostic procedures often depend heavily on expert interpretation, which may introduce variability due to differences in clinical experience and complexity of neurological patterns. The increasing availability of medical imaging technologies and computational methods has encouraged the development of AI-based diagnostic systems capable of identifying hidden patterns within large-scale biomedical datasets.

Machine learning and deep learning approaches have demonstrated promising capabilities in epilepsy-related

research by extracting meaningful biomarkers from complex neurological data. MRI-based AI models have shown the ability to distinguish temporal lobe epilepsy from other neurological conditions by analyzing structural brain characteristics and disease-specific imaging patterns (Chang et al., 2023). Similarly, machine learning methods applied to neuroanatomical features have provided valuable insights into identifying markers associated with epilepsy development following traumatic brain injury (Akrami et al., 2022).

Recent advancements indicate that epilepsy diagnosis requires a transition from isolated data analysis toward integrated multimodal intelligence. Structural imaging alone may not capture the complete neurological characteristics of epilepsy, whereas combining imaging features, functional connectivity information, and clinical variables can provide a more comprehensive representation of disease mechanisms. Machine learning investigations based on morphological and functional graph theory metrics have demonstrated that network-level brain alterations contain clinically relevant information for epilepsy characterization (Garcia-Ramos et al.,

2022).

A hybrid artificial intelligence model provides a potential solution by combining multiple computational techniques rather than relying on a single algorithm. Such models can integrate deep learning-based representation learning with traditional machine learning classifiers, enabling improved feature discovery, classification performance, and interpretability.

1.2 Problem Statement

Although AI-based epilepsy diagnosis has achieved significant progress, existing approaches continue to face several limitations. Many current models rely on a single data modality, such as MRI images or clinical variables, which restricts their ability to capture the multidimensional nature of epilepsy. Neurological disorders involve complex interactions between structural abnormalities, functional disruptions, and patient-specific characteristics; therefore, single-source analysis may result in incomplete diagnostic representations.

Another major challenge involves the variability of epilepsy manifestations across individuals. Temporal lobe epilepsy, posttraumatic epilepsy, and medically resistant epilepsy may exhibit different neurological patterns, requiring adaptive computational approaches. For example, MRI-based deep learning methods have demonstrated strong discrimination capabilities, but differences in imaging protocols and patient populations can influence model reliability (Chang et al., 2023). Similarly, machine learning-based prognostic approaches for epilepsy surgery outcomes require robust feature selection and validation strategies to ensure clinical applicability (Sinclair et al., 2022).

Therefore, there is a need for a hybrid AI framework capable of integrating diverse biomedical modalities, optimizing diagnostic features, and producing reliable epilepsy classification outcomes.

1.3 Research Relevance

The integration of artificial intelligence into epilepsy diagnosis represents an important advancement toward precision neurology. AI models can analyze complex relationships among imaging biomarkers, neurological structures, and functional brain networks that may not be easily recognized through conventional assessment methods.

Deep learning approaches have demonstrated strong capabilities in automated epilepsy diagnosis by learning hierarchical representations from medical images. Sakashita et al. (2023) highlighted the potential of deep learning techniques for diagnosing mesial temporal lobe epilepsy, demonstrating the importance of automated image-based analysis in neurological applications.

Furthermore, AI-assisted diagnosis can support clinicians by providing objective computational evidence, reducing diagnostic uncertainty, and improving treatment planning. In epilepsy management, accurate identification

of disease patterns is particularly important because treatment strategies, including surgical interventions, depend heavily on precise localization and characterization of abnormal brain regions.

1.4 Objectives

The primary objectives of this research are:

1. To analyze existing AI-based approaches for epilepsy detection using different biomedical data modalities.
2. To develop a conceptual hybrid artificial intelligence model integrating imaging, neurological, and clinical features.
3. To examine the role of deep learning and machine learning techniques in automated epilepsy diagnosis.
4. To evaluate the potential benefits and limitations of multimodal AI frameworks for clinical applications.
5. To identify future research directions for improving intelligent epilepsy diagnostic systems.

1.5 Scope and Significance

This research focuses on AI-assisted epilepsy diagnosis through multimodal data integration. The proposed framework considers MRI-based analysis, neuroanatomical characteristics, functional network information, and machine learning-driven classification mechanisms.

The significance of this study lies in addressing the limitations of conventional diagnostic workflows through an intelligent computational framework. By combining different AI methodologies, hybrid models can provide more comprehensive neurological assessments and potentially improve diagnostic accuracy, early detection, and personalized treatment decisions.

The research contributes to the growing field of medical artificial intelligence by emphasizing explainable, adaptive, and multimodal diagnostic architectures suitable for future clinical decision-support systems.

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 AI-Based Neuroimaging Analysis for Epilepsy Detection

Medical imaging has become one of the most important sources of information for epilepsy diagnosis because structural abnormalities often provide critical indicators of neurological dysfunction. Recent research has demonstrated that AI models can identify complex imaging patterns that may remain difficult to detect through conventional interpretation.

Chang et al. (2023) investigated MRI-based deep learning methods for differentiating temporal lobe epilepsy, Alzheimer's disease, and healthy controls. Their findings demonstrated that deep learning models can extract meaningful imaging representations capable of distinguishing epilepsy-related patterns from other neurological conditions. This research establishes the importance of automated feature

extraction in improving neurological classification.

Similarly, Akrami et al. (2022) explored neuroanatomical markers associated with posttraumatic epilepsy using MR imaging and machine learning. Their study demonstrated that machine learning algorithms can identify structural brain characteristics associated with epilepsy risk. This highlights the potential of AI-driven biomarker discovery for early diagnosis and risk assessment.

However, imaging-based approaches often face challenges related to variability in image quality, scanning protocols, and patient populations. A hybrid approach incorporating additional data sources may improve robustness by reducing dependence on individual modalities.

2.2 Deep Learning Approaches in Epilepsy Diagnosis

Deep learning has significantly transformed medical image analysis due to its ability to automatically learn complex feature representations. Unlike traditional machine learning methods that require manual feature engineering, deep neural networks can identify hierarchical patterns directly from raw biomedical data.

Sakashita et al. (2023) examined deep learning approaches for diagnosing mesial temporal lobe epilepsy and demonstrated the effectiveness of automated learning models in neurological classification. Their findings suggest that deep learning-based systems can support clinicians by providing consistent and objective diagnostic predictions.

Nevertheless, deep learning models frequently operate as complex black-box systems, creating challenges regarding interpretability. For clinical adoption, AI systems must not only provide accurate predictions but also offer understandable explanations regarding the factors influencing diagnostic decisions.

METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Methodology

This study proposes a **Hybrid Artificial Intelligence Model** that integrates diverse neurological data modalities to improve automated epilepsy diagnosis. Rather than relying on a single machine learning technique or one diagnostic source, the proposed framework combines structural imaging, neuroanatomical biomarkers, functional brain network characteristics, and clinical information into a unified diagnostic architecture. The methodology is conceptual and research-oriented, synthesizing the approaches reported in the selected literature while presenting an integrated framework for intelligent epilepsy assessment.

The proposed methodology consists of six sequential stages:

1. Multimodal data acquisition
2. Data preprocessing and normalization

3. Multimodal feature extraction
4. Hybrid feature fusion
5. Artificial intelligence-based classification
6. Clinical decision support and interpretation

The framework is designed to improve diagnostic robustness while reducing uncertainty arising from heterogeneous neurological presentations.

3.2 Proposed Hybrid Artificial Intelligence Architecture

The proposed architecture integrates multiple computational components into a unified diagnostic workflow.

Stage 1: Multimodal Data Collection

The framework accepts multiple sources of neurological information, including:

- Brain MRI images
- Neuroanatomical structural measurements
- Functional connectivity features
- Morphological graph metrics
- Clinical observations
- Patient demographic information

Using multiple modalities enables the system to capture complementary diagnostic evidence rather than depending on a single information source.

Stage 2: Data Preprocessing

Because neurological datasets originate from different medical devices and clinical environments, preprocessing is essential for improving data consistency.

Major preprocessing operations include:

- Noise removal
- Image normalization
- Missing-value handling
- Feature scaling
- Brain region alignment
- Data standardization

Proper preprocessing minimizes data variability and improves subsequent learning performance.

Stage 3: Feature Extraction

Different AI algorithms are responsible for extracting informative representations from different **data modalities**.

MRI Features

Deep convolutional learning extracts

- Cortical abnormalities
- Hippocampal deformation
- Tissue intensity variations
- Structural asymmetry

These characteristics have demonstrated relevance for temporal lobe epilepsy diagnosis (Chang et al., 2023).

Neuroanatomical Features

Machine learning identifies

- Regional brain volume
- Cortical thickness
- White matter characteristics
- Anatomical biomarkers

These neuroanatomical indicators are useful for identifying epilepsy-related structural changes (Akrami et al., 2022).

Functional Network Features

Brain connectivity information includes

- Functional graph metrics
- Network efficiency
- Node centrality
- Connectivity disruption
- Brain communication pathways

These features capture neurological interactions beyond structural imaging alone (Garcia-Ramos et al., 2022).

3.3 Hybrid Feature Fusion Strategy

One of the most important contributions of the proposed framework is multimodal feature fusion.

Instead of performing independent classifications for each modality, extracted features are integrated into a unified representation.

The fusion process includes

- Structural MRI descriptors
- Deep learning embeddings
- Functional connectivity vectors
- Neuroanatomical biomarkers
- Clinical variables

This integrated feature space enables the classifier to exploit complementary diagnostic information.

Compared with single-modality systems, hybrid fusion reduces information loss and improves disease characterization.

Table 1. Feature Categories Used in the Proposed Hybrid Framework

Data Modality	Extracted Features	AI Technique	Expected Contribution
MRI Images	Texture, lesion patterns, hippocampal abnormalities	Deep Learning	Structural disease detection
Neuroanatomical Data	Cortical thickness, regional volume	Machine Learning	Biomarker identification
Functional Networks	Connectivity metrics, graph measures	Graph Learning	Brain network analysis
Clinical Information	Medical history, symptoms	Statistical Learning	Patient-specific diagnosis

3.4 Hybrid Artificial Intelligence Classification Model

The classification stage combines deep learning with conventional machine learning.

Instead of depending solely on neural networks, the proposed model integrates multiple analytical components.

The classification pipeline includes

Deep Learning Module

Responsible for

- Automatic image representation
- High-level feature learning
- Pattern discovery
- Lesion identification

Deep learning minimizes manual feature engineering while improving representation capability (Sakashita et al., 2023).

Machine Learning Module

Traditional machine learning performs

- Feature refinement
- Decision optimization
- Probability estimation
- Final classification

This combination improves interpretability while maintaining predictive performance.

Decision Fusion Module

Outputs from individual classifiers are combined using ensemble decision rules.

The ensemble evaluates

- Prediction confidence
- Agreement among classifiers
- Diagnostic consistency
- Reliability score

The final diagnosis is generated only after integrating all evidence sources.

This hybrid mechanism improves robustness compared with isolated prediction models.

3.5 Workflow of the Proposed System

The computational workflow proceeds through the following sequence:

Patient Data Collection

↓

MRI Acquisition + Clinical Data + Brain Network Information

↓

Preprocessing

↓

Deep Feature Extraction

↓

Neuroanatomical Analysis

↓

Functional Connectivity Analysis

↓

Multimodal Feature Fusion

↓

Hybrid AI Classification

↓

Diagnostic Confidence Estimation

↓

Automated Epilepsy Diagnosis

↓

Clinical Decision Support

Table 2. Functional Components of the Proposed Hybrid AI Model

Processing Stage	Purpose	Output
Data Acquisition	Collect multimodal neurological information	Raw datasets
Preprocessing	Improve data quality	Standardized data
Feature Extraction	Learn structural and functional characteristics	Feature vectors
Feature Fusion	Integrate multimodal information	Unified representation

Processing Stage	Purpose	Output
Hybrid Classification	Predict epilepsy category	Diagnostic prediction
Decision Support	Provide confidence assessment	Clinical recommendation

3.6 Optimization Strategy

To improve classification performance, the framework incorporates several optimization mechanisms.

Feature Selection

High-dimensional neurological datasets frequently contain redundant variables.

Feature selection reduces

- Computational complexity
- Model overfitting
- Noise sensitivity

while preserving informative biomarkers.

Ensemble Learning

Multiple AI models contribute to the final prediction.

Advantages include

- Increased robustness
- Improved generalization
- Reduced prediction variance
- Better handling of heterogeneous patients

Confidence Scoring

Rather than generating binary predictions, the framework estimates diagnostic confidence.

Confidence estimation assists neurologists by indicating prediction reliability and identifying uncertain cases requiring further investigation.

3.7 Clinical Applicability

The proposed hybrid model is designed to support—not replace—clinical expertise. Its intended applications include:

- Early epilepsy screening
- Differential diagnosis of temporal lobe epilepsy
- Detection of post-traumatic epilepsy risk

- Pre-surgical evaluation and planning
- Monitoring disease progression through repeated imaging
- Decision support in multidisciplinary neurology teams

Studies on MRI-based deep learning, neuroanatomical markers, functional network phenotypes, and prognostic imaging collectively indicate that integrating diverse modalities provides richer diagnostic information than single-source analysis (Akrami et al., 2022; Chang et al., 2023; Garcia-Ramos et al., 2022; Sinclair et al., 2022). Likewise, advances in deep learning for mesial temporal lobe epilepsy demonstrate the feasibility of automated diagnostic assistance within such a hybrid framework (Sakashita et al., 2023).

3.8 Methodological Advantages

The proposed methodology offers several research and clinical advantages:

- Integration of structural, functional, and clinical information.
- Improved diagnostic robustness through multimodal data fusion.
- Enhanced feature representation using deep learning.
- Better interpretability by combining deep learning with conventional machine learning.
- Greater adaptability to heterogeneous epilepsy presentations.
- A scalable architecture suitable for future clinical decision-support systems.

The methodology establishes a comprehensive foundation for evaluating the effectiveness of hybrid artificial intelligence in automated epilepsy diagnosis while aligning with the evidence synthesized from the selected literature.

4. RESULTS

The proposed Hybrid Artificial Intelligence Model demonstrates the potential advantages of integrating diverse neurological data modalities for automated epilepsy diagnosis. Based on the comparative analysis of the selected studies, multimodal learning provides a richer representation of epilepsy-related abnormalities than approaches relying on a single data source. Structural MRI features, neuroanatomical biomarkers, functional brain network characteristics, and clinical variables contribute complementary information, enabling more comprehensive disease characterization.

MRI-based deep learning models consistently identify structural abnormalities associated with temporal lobe epilepsy. Chang et al. (2023) demonstrated that deep learning can effectively discriminate temporal lobe epilepsy from Alzheimer's disease and healthy controls, indicating that automated image representation learning captures disease-specific anatomical patterns. This supports the inclusion of convolutional feature extraction within the proposed hybrid framework.

Similarly, neuroanatomical analysis contributes clinically meaningful biomarkers for epilepsy detection. Akrami et al. (2022) showed that machine learning applied to MR imaging identifies structural markers associated with posttraumatic epilepsy. These findings suggest that anatomical characteristics provide predictive information beyond conventional visual assessment and should be incorporated into multimodal diagnostic systems.

Network-based analysis further strengthens diagnostic capability. Garcia-Ramos et al. (2022) demonstrated that graph-theoretical measures derived from structural and functional brain networks represent clinically significant phenotypes in temporal lobe epilepsy. Integrating these connectivity measures with structural imaging enables the proposed hybrid model to evaluate both localized lesions and distributed neurological dysfunction.

Deep learning methods specifically designed for mesial temporal lobe epilepsy further support automated diagnostic applications. Sakashita et al. (2023) reported that deep neural networks effectively classify epilepsy using MRI data, demonstrating the capability of automated feature learning to identify complex neurological patterns that may not be readily observable through manual interpretation.

The literature also indicates that AI extends beyond diagnosis to treatment planning. Sinclair et al. (2022) demonstrated that imaging-based machine learning supports prognostic evaluation of epilepsy surgery outcomes. Consequently, the proposed framework is applicable not only to disease detection but also to preoperative assessment and individualized therapeutic decision-making.

Overall, the synthesized evidence suggests that combining structural imaging, neuroanatomical features, functional connectivity metrics, and clinical information within a hybrid AI architecture can enhance diagnostic robustness, improve predictive consistency, and provide clinicians with a more comprehensive understanding of epilepsy. Nevertheless, successful implementation depends on standardized imaging protocols, representative datasets, and clinically interpretable AI models.

Table 3. Comparative Findings from the Selected Literature

Study	Primary Modality	AI Technique	Major Finding	Contribution to Proposed Framework
Akrami et al. (2022)	MR Imaging	Machine Learning	Identified neuroanatomical markers of posttraumatic epilepsy	Structural biomarker extraction
Chang et al. (2023)	MRI	Deep Learning	Differentiated temporal lobe epilepsy, Alzheimer's disease, and healthy controls	Automated imaging classification
Garcia-Ramos et al. (2022)	Brain Networks	Machine Learning	Identified clinically significant network phenotypes	Functional connectivity analysis
Kang et al. (2016)	Clinical Imaging	Surgical Evaluation	Demonstrated effectiveness of laser interstitial thermal therapy for refractory epilepsy	Clinical treatment context
Sakashita et al. (2023)	MRI	Deep Learning	Automated diagnosis of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy	Deep feature learning
Sinclair et al. (2022)	Imaging	Machine Learning	Predicted epilepsy surgery outcomes	Prognostic decision support

Table 4. Expected Performance Characteristics of the Proposed Hybrid Model

Evaluation Criterion	Single-Modality AI	Proposed Hybrid AI Model
Diagnostic Information	Limited	Comprehensive
Structural Feature Analysis	High	High

Evaluation Criterion	Single-Modality AI	Proposed Hybrid AI Model
Functional Network Analysis	Limited	High
Clinical Data Integration	Low	High
Model Robustness	Moderate	High
Generalization Potential	Moderate	Improved
Clinical Decision Support	Moderate	Strong

5. DISCUSSION

The findings demonstrate that hybrid artificial intelligence represents an important progression in automated epilepsy diagnosis because it addresses several limitations associated with conventional diagnostic workflows and single-modality AI systems. Epilepsy is characterized by heterogeneous neurological mechanisms involving structural abnormalities, functional network disruptions, and patient-specific clinical variations. Consequently, no single diagnostic modality can adequately represent the complexity of the disorder. The proposed framework addresses this limitation by integrating multiple complementary sources of information into a unified decision-support system.

One of the principal theoretical implications is the shift from isolated feature learning toward multimodal knowledge integration. Deep learning excels at extracting hierarchical imaging features, while conventional machine learning provides transparent classification mechanisms based on engineered biomarkers. By combining these methodologies, the proposed architecture balances predictive performance with interpretability, thereby addressing a common criticism of purely deep learning-based medical systems.

The reviewed literature consistently supports this integrated perspective. MRI-based deep learning effectively distinguishes epilepsy from other neurological disorders (Chang et al., 2023), whereas neuroanatomical machine learning identifies clinically relevant structural biomarkers (Akrami et al., 2022). Functional network analysis further contributes by identifying distributed connectivity alterations that may not be evident through structural imaging alone (Garcia-Ramos et al., 2022). Collectively, these studies justify the proposed multimodal fusion strategy.

From a clinical perspective, automated diagnostic systems should function as decision-support tools rather than replacements for neurologists. The proposed framework is designed to provide objective computational evidence that complements expert interpretation. Such support may reduce diagnostic variability, accelerate evaluation, and assist in identifying subtle abnormalities, particularly in complex cases of temporal lobe epilepsy.

The framework also has implications for personalized medicine. Because it integrates patient-specific imaging, neuroanatomical, and clinical characteristics, it can potentially support individualized treatment planning and prognostic assessment. The work of Sinclair et al. (2022) illustrates that machine learning extends beyond diagnosis to predicting surgical outcomes, suggesting that future hybrid systems may simultaneously support diagnosis, prognosis, and therapeutic planning.

Despite these advantages, several limitations remain. AI models require large, diverse, and well-annotated datasets to achieve reliable generalization. Differences in MRI acquisition protocols, imaging quality, and patient demographics can influence model performance. Furthermore, multimodal systems increase computational complexity and require careful synchronization of heterogeneous data sources. Clinical implementation also depends on model transparency, regulatory approval, and seamless integration into healthcare workflows.

Future research should focus on improving explainable AI techniques, expanding multimodal datasets, developing standardized preprocessing pipelines, and validating hybrid frameworks through multicenter clinical studies. Addressing these challenges will facilitate broader adoption of AI-assisted diagnostic systems in neurological practice.

6. CONCLUSION

This research presented a conceptual **Hybrid Artificial Intelligence Model for Automated Epilepsy Diagnosis Using Diverse Data Modalities**, integrating structural MRI, neuroanatomical biomarkers, functional brain network characteristics, and clinical information within a unified diagnostic framework. The literature demonstrates that individual AI approaches have achieved significant success in epilepsy detection; however, each modality captures only part of the neurological complexity underlying the disorder. The proposed hybrid architecture addresses this limitation through multimodal feature fusion and complementary machine learning and deep learning techniques.

The synthesized evidence indicates that multimodal AI systems have the potential to improve diagnostic accuracy, enhance clinical decision support, and facilitate personalized treatment planning. Integration of structural and functional neurological information enables a more comprehensive understanding of epilepsy than isolated analytical approaches.

Although challenges related to data heterogeneity, interpretability, computational requirements, and clinical implementation remain, hybrid artificial intelligence provides a promising direction for future neurological diagnostics.

Future investigations should prioritize large-scale validation, explainable artificial intelligence, standardized multimodal datasets, and prospective clinical evaluation to ensure safe, reliable, and clinically meaningful deployment of automated epilepsy diagnosis systems.

REFERENCES

1. Akrami, H., Leahy, R. M., Irimia, A., Kim, P. E., Heck, C. N., & Joshi, A. A. (2022). Neuroanatomic markers of posttraumatic epilepsy based on MR imaging and machine learning. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, 43(3), 347–353.
2. Chang, A. J., Roth, R., Bougioukli, E., Ruber, T., Keller, S. S., Drane, D. L., et al., & Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. (2023). MRI-based deep learning can discriminate between temporal lobe epilepsy, Alzheimer's disease, and healthy controls. *Communications Medicine*, 3(1), 33.
3. Garcia-Ramos, C., Nair, V., Maganti, R., Mathis, J., Conant, L. L., Prabhakaran, V., et al., & Struck, A. F. (2022). Network phenotypes and their clinical significance in temporal lobe epilepsy using machine learning applications to morphological and functional graph theory metrics. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 14407.
4. Kang, J. Y., Wu, C., Tracy, J., Lorenzo, M., Evans, J., Nei, M., et al., & Sperling, M. R. (2016). Laser interstitial thermal therapy for medically intractable mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 57(2), 325–334.
5. Sakashita, K., Akiyama, Y., Hirano, T., Sasagawa, A., Arihara, M., Kuribara, T., et al., & Mikuni, N. (2023). Deep learning for the diagnosis of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. *PLOS ONE*, 18(2), e0282082.
6. Sinclair, B., Cahill, V., Seah, J., Kitchen, A., Vivash, L. E., Chen, Z., et al., & O'Brien, T. J. (2022). Machine learning approaches for imaging-based prognostication of the outcome of surgery for mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 63(5), 1081–1092.
7. K. Ramamurthy, R. K. Konduru and N. Amanmadov, "Evo Graph Coder: An Evolutionary Graph-Reasoning Framework for Self-Adaptive Software Engineering," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 14, pp. 63063-63076, 2026, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2026.3686019.
8. Geo Philip, Paulson, Integrated Intelligent Building Energy Management: A Multi-Layer Framework for Renewable Energy, HVAC Optimization, and Smart Electrical Network Coordination. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=6993209> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.6993209>
9. M. R. Marri, S. B. Kurada, S. Gupta, S. Dey, S. Kumar and R. Chauhan, "Graph-Based Deep Learning Model for Identifying Cyber Threats in Cloud Platforms," 2025 International Conference on Computational Intelligence, Security, and Artificial Intelligence (IntelliSecAI), Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia, 2025, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/IntelliSecAI66368.2025.11472831.