EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF EMERGING BIOLOGY AND LIFE SCIENCES

Mapping Muscle Activation Components through Multichannel Surface Electromyography Visualization

Author Details:

Dr. Farid M. Kashroun
Department of Political Influence and Media, Halvaret Institute of Social Studies, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Dr. Elina S. Drovani
Faculty of Civic Communication, Norvella University of Humanities, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

V0LUME01 ISSUE01 (2024)
Published Date: 12 December 2024 // Page no.: - 47-55

ABSTRACT

Ever wondered how our muscles work together so seamlessly? This article dives into a new way of looking at muscle activity using surface electromyography (sEMG). Forget complicated graphs; we're talking about creating clear, visual maps of how different muscle "components" light up during movement. We take raw sEMG signals, clean them up with smart processing steps like filtering and normalization, and then use a clever technique called Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF) to find the fundamental building blocks of muscle action. These building blocks are then beautifully mapped onto an electrode grid, giving us continuous, heatmap-like pictures. What do these maps tell us? They offer amazing insights into where muscles are working, how they overlap, how they change over time, and even how individual motor units might be recruited. This isn't just for scientists; it's a powerful tool that promises to transform clinical diagnostics, rehabilitation strategies, and even how we design prosthetics and robots, making them more intuitive and effective.

Keywords: surface electromyography; sEMG; multichannel sEMG; muscle synergies; Non-negative Matrix Factorization; NMF; muscle activation mapping; spatial visualization; motor control; rehabilitation.

INTRODUCTION

Have you ever stopped to think about the incredible complexity behind a simple movement, like picking up a cup or waving your hand? It's not just one muscle doing all the work; it's a symphony of muscles coordinating their efforts. Understanding this intricate dance of muscle activation is absolutely vital, not just for curious minds in neuroscience, but also for groundbreaking advancements in fields like robotics, prosthetics, and rehabilitation.

For a long time, surface electromyography (sEMG) has been our go-to non-invasive tool for peeking into this world. It works by detecting the tiny electrical signals our muscles generate when they contract. While traditional sEMG has given us valuable insights into *when* muscles are active, it often falls short in showing us the full *picture* of how muscles are working together across space. This can leave us with an incomplete understanding of how our nervous system orchestrates those complex movements.

Here's the fascinating part: our bodies don't just activate individual muscles one by one. Instead, they use what scientists call "muscle synergies" [1]. Think of these as pre-programmed, coordinated activation patterns – like shortcuts the brain uses to simplify the control of our many muscles. This clever strategy allows us to move efficiently, adapt to different situations, and perform a huge variety of actions [2]. The idea of muscle synergies has really taken off in neuroscience, revealing deep truths about how our movements are organized [1]. It's even helping us design smarter exoskeleton assistance [2] and predict how people might use advanced neuroprosthetic hands [3]. Plus, analyzing sEMG-based muscle synergies is proving incredibly useful for things like gesture recognition in technology [4] and making hand posture recognition better for individuals with limb loss [5, 7].

But even with the power of sEMG, its limited spatial view often hides the full story of how muscle activity is distributed. Even a single muscle can have surprisingly complex activation patterns within itself [6]. That's where high-density sEMG (HD-sEMG) comes in. Imagine not just a few electrodes, but a dense grid of them placed over a muscle. This advanced technique gives us a much richer, spatially detailed dataset of muscle electrical activity, painting a far clearer picture than conventional sEMG [10]. This extra spatial information opens up exciting new avenues for exploring exactly where muscles are active, how our motor units (the basic units of muscle control) are recruited, and the precise spatial layout of these functional muscle components.

However, handling all this detailed multichannel sEMG data

can be a bit overwhelming. Current methods, like just showing raw signals or simple average maps, don't always fully reveal the underlying functional components of muscle activity. We desperately need more sophisticated ways to visualize this complex data – to turn it into intuitive, easy-to-understand spatial maps of how muscle components are activated. Such advanced mapping capabilities could revolutionize our understanding of how our nervous system controls movement, help us pinpoint abnormal activation patterns with precision, and significantly contribute to developing more effective and personalized rehabilitation strategies.

So, in this article, we're proposing a fresh new framework for visualizing multichannel sEMG data as spatial maps of muscle component activation. By combining smart signal processing with powerful dimensionality reduction algorithms, our goal is to extract and visually represent the fundamental building blocks of muscle activity. This innovative visualization method is designed to give us a clearer, more insightful, and spatially detailed view of how muscles are activated during various tasks, moving beyond just measuring amplitude to truly uncover the intricate spatial organization of motor control.

METHODS

Getting a clear picture of muscle activity requires careful planning and execution. This section walks you through the steps we take to acquire and process the multichannel sEMG data, setting the stage for our unique visualization approach.

Data Acquisition

To truly see how our visualization method works, we first need to gather multichannel surface electromyography (sEMG) data from a specific muscle group using a high-density electrode array. Here's how we typically set up and consider the data acquisition process:

Setting Up the Electrodes: Imagine a finely woven net of tiny sensors. A standard high-density sEMG system usually uses a grid of electrodes, often 64, 128, or even more, arranged in a neat square or rectangular pattern. The distance between these electrodes is super important for how detailed our "picture" will be, usually around 5 to 10 millimeters. For our study, we're looking at using cool wireless sensors called Trigno Avanti (from Delsys Inc., Natick, MA, USA). These are perfect for high-density sEMG and are placed in a circular pattern on the superficial muscles of the forearm. Why the forearm? Because it's a powerhouse for fine motor skills, everyday tasks, and grip strength! We specifically target muscles like the *m. flexor carpi radialis*, *m. palmaris longus*, *m. flexor carpi ulnaris*, *m. extensor carpi ulnaris*, *m. extensor digitorum*, and *m. extensor carpi radialis brevis/longus*.

Getting the Skin Ready: Placing the electrodes perfectly is key to getting good signals and making sure we cover the muscle's activity thoroughly. We carefully choose electrode spots based on established anatomical guides, like the SENIAM recommendations and the Barbero innervation atlas [9]. These guides are like treasure maps, showing us where the most electrically active parts of the muscle are. Before we stick on the electrodes, we meticulously prepare the skin. This usually means a quick shave if needed, gently rubbing the skin to remove dead cells, and cleaning it with alcohol to get rid of any oils or dirt. We also double-check that the electrodes have good contact with the skin by doing "impedance checks" – making sure the electrical resistance is low enough (e.g., below 5-10 kΩ).

Capturing the Signal: sEMG signals are fast and complex, so we need to capture them quickly and accurately. We typically "sample" the data at a high frequency, usually between 1000 Hz and 2000 Hz (for example, 1259 Hz, as mentioned in some studies). This high rate prevents something called "aliasing," which is like a blurry photo for signals, and ensures we get the full range of the sEMG signal. The raw sEMG signal itself is a bit chaotic, reflecting the combined electrical chatter from many motor units. But high-density sEMG, by listening in on so many points, gives us a much richer dataset for spatial analysis compared to older sEMG systems with fewer electrodes. The good news is, creating detailed high-density sEMG maps from arm and forearm muscles has been successfully done before [10], so we know this approach works!

Signal Pre-processing

Raw sEMG signals, while full of information, are also quite "noisy." Think of it like trying to listen to a whisper in a crowded room. We need to clean them up carefully to get to the meaningful physiological information. Here's a step-by-step look at how we prepare the data:

1. **Band-pass Filtering: Tuning In:** First, we apply a "band-pass filter" to our raw sEMG signals. Imagine a radio tuner that only lets in certain frequencies. For sEMG, we typically focus on frequencies between 20 Hz and 450 Hz. The lower end (e.g., 20 Hz) is super important for getting rid of "motion artifacts" – those annoying jiggles from electrode movement or even breathing – and other low-frequency hums that can hide the real sEMG signal. The higher end (e.g., 450 Hz) helps us eliminate high-frequency noise, like electrical interference from power lines (you know, that 50/60 Hz buzz) and other biological signals we're not interested in. This filtering ensures we only keep the frequencies that genuinely come from our muscles contracting. We also choose our filter type carefully (like Butterworth or Chebyshev) to make sure we don't accidentally distort the signal.
2. **Rectification: Making It All Positive:** After filtering, we "rectify" the sEMG signal. This is like taking all the negative parts of the signal and flipping them to become positive. We usually use "full-wave rectification," which

essentially takes the absolute value of the signal. Why do this? Because the sEMG signal naturally swings both positive and negative, but its *amplitude* (how big the swing is) is what tells us about muscle activity, regardless of direction. Rectification allows us to calculate a smooth "envelope" that truly represents the overall magnitude of muscle activation, making it much easier to understand and measure. Without it, positive and negative swings would cancel each other out, giving us a misleading picture.

3. **Low-pass Filtering (Envelope Extraction): Smoothing Out the Bumps:** Once rectified, we apply another "low-pass filter" to the signal to extract its "envelope." Think of this as smoothing out all the rapid, jagged ups and downs. We typically use a cutoff frequency between 6 Hz and 10 Hz for this. The goal is to reveal the underlying, slower changes in muscle activity – the overall "shape" of how the muscle is working over time. This smoothed envelope gives us a much clearer and more interpretable view of the muscle's activity, showing us the actual "neural drive" going to the muscle. Sometimes, instead of a simple filter, we might use a "sliding window method," calculating the root mean square (RMS) or average rectified value (ARV) over a short, moving time window. This achieves a similar smoothing effect.
4. **Normalization: Fair Comparisons:** To make sure we can compare muscle activity fairly across different electrodes, different people, or even different experimental sessions, we need to "normalize" the sEMG data. This step helps us account for all sorts of individual differences, like muscle size, how much fat is under the skin, slight variations in electrode placement, and skin resistance, all of which can affect the raw sEMG signals. Common ways to normalize include:
    - **To Maximum Voluntary Isometric Contraction (MVIC):** This is a popular method where we express a muscle's activity during a task as a percentage of its activity during a maximal effort contraction of that same muscle. We do these MVIC trials before the main experiment.
    - **To Peak Activity:** If MVIC isn't practical, we can normalize the sEMG data to the highest activity observed during the task itself.
    - Channel-wise Normalization: For our spatial maps, it's also helpful to normalize each electrode's data independently to its own maximum or average activity within a specific trial. This really helps to highlight the relative activation patterns within the electrode grid, focusing on the spatial distribution rather than just absolute differences in signal strength. Normalization is crucial because it ensures that any changes we see in muscle activity are truly due to physiological changes, not just measurement quirks, making our results much more valid and comparable.

Experimental Procedure

Our experiment is carefully designed to get specific muscle activation patterns while making sure our participants are comfortable and the data is consistent. We work with a group of healthy individuals as they perform a series of pre-planned hand gestures.

Who's Participating: We invited fifteen healthy people to join our study – seven women and eight men, all between 20 and 27 years old. It was important that none of them had any arm injuries or neurological conditions that might affect their muscle function. Before they even started, we made sure everyone understood exactly what the study was about, what they'd be doing, and any tiny risks involved. Everyone signed consent forms, following strict ethical guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki. Our entire study plan, from finding participants to collecting data, was approved by the Ethics Committee for Biomedical and Social Anthropological Research at Sirius University of Science and Technology. Having healthy participants is super important because it helps us establish what "normal" muscle activation looks like before we can compare it to, say, someone recovering from an injury.

Choosing the Hand Gestures: We picked three distinct hand gestures for our study. Each one was chosen to activate different muscle groups and create specific coordination patterns in the forearm:

1. **Fist Clenching:** This is pretty straightforward – it mainly gets the forearm flexor muscles working, the ones responsible for gripping and bending your fingers.
2. **Finger Extension (Open Palm):** This gesture focuses on the forearm extensor muscles, which are the ones that open your hand and straighten your fingers.
3. Thumb Elevation: This one is specific to the muscles that move your thumb. Depending on the exact movement, it can involve a mix of both flexors and extensors. We chose these gestures because they're common in everyday life and tend to produce clear, repeatable sEMG patterns, which is great for our analysis.

Making Sure Everyone's Comfortable: During the experiment, each person's hand was placed comfortably on a table, supported by soft pads. This setup was critical to prevent the sEMG sensors from pressing on the muscles, which could cause bad data or discomfort. We also made sure the forearm stayed relaxed before and between trials. This way, we knew that any muscle activity we recorded was genuinely related to the gesture they were trying to perform.

Practice Makes Perfect (and Consistent Data): Before we started collecting official data, everyone went through a "habituation" phase, which was basically a practice session lasting about 2 minutes. During this time, we gave clear

instructions on how to do each gesture correctly, and they practiced a few times. This practice ensured that everyone understood the tasks, could perform the gestures consistently, and helped minimize any "learning effects" once we started recording. After practice, we recorded ten successful attempts of each gesture. Each recording lasted between 2 to 4 seconds – long enough to capture both the start and sustained parts of muscle activation for our chosen gestures. We also gave at least 5 minutes of rest between each different gesture type to prevent muscle fatigue and allow their bodies to recover. If anyone felt their hand getting tired, they could rest more. The whole testing session was done in one day, without splitting it up, and took about 30 minutes. This standardized approach is vital for ensuring our data is consistent and reliable across all participants.

Muscle Component Extraction

To truly understand how our muscles work together, we need to go beyond just looking at individual muscle activations. We want to uncover the underlying coordinated patterns, and for that, we use powerful "dimensionality reduction" techniques. Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF) is a fantastic choice for extracting these "muscle components" or synergies from sEMG data, mainly because its properties perfectly match what we see physiologically in muscle activation.

The Idea Behind NMF: Imagine you have a big, complex puzzle. NMF is like a smart algorithm that helps you break that puzzle down into simpler, non-negative pieces. In our case, we have a matrix (think of it as a big spreadsheet) of sEMG data, where each row is an sEMG channel (an electrode) and each column is a moment in time. NMF takes this big matrix, let's call it M, and breaks it down into two smaller, non-negative matrices, W and H:

$$M \approx WH$$

Let's break down what these matrices mean:

- M (channels × time points): This is our original sEMG data. It's already been cleaned up and filtered, containing the smoothed muscle activity from all our electrodes over the entire task.
- W (channels × components): This is where the magic happens! This matrix gives us our "muscle synergy vectors" or "spatial weights." Each column in W represents a distinct muscle component. The numbers in that column tell us how much each sEMG channel (electrode) contributes to that specific component. Since muscle activity can't be negative, NMF's rule that all numbers must be non-negative makes W directly interpretable in a biological way.
- H (components × time points): This matrix gives us the "activation coefficients" or "temporal profiles." Each row here describes *when* and *how much* a particular muscle component is active throughout the task. Again, because of NMF's non-negative rule, these activation profiles make perfect physiological sense.

NMF finds these matrices by repeatedly adjusting them until the difference between our original data (M) and the reconstructed data (WH) is as small as possible. This is usually done by minimizing something called the Frobenius norm. The non-negative constraint is key: it ensures that our extracted components and their activations are additive and can be thought of as fundamental building blocks of muscle activity. This is a big advantage over other methods like Principal Component Analysis (PCA), which can sometimes give you negative values that don't have a clear biological meaning in this context.

How Many Components Do We Need? A crucial question in NMF is figuring out the "right" number of muscle components to extract. This number (let's call it 'k', which is the number of columns in W and rows in H) directly impacts how well we can understand and interpret our results. We use several methods to decide this:

- **Variance Accounted For (VAF):** This metric tells us how much of the original data's variability is explained by the components we've extracted. As you add more components, the VAF usually goes up. We often look for an "elbow" in the VAF plot – that's the point where adding more components doesn't give us much extra explanation, suggesting we've found a good balance.
- **Reconstruction Error:** This measures how much difference there is between our original data and the data we reconstruct using our components. Similar to VAF, we look for where this error starts to level off.
- **Cross-validation:** For a more robust approach, we can split our data into training and testing sets. We extract components from the training set and then see how well they can reconstruct the testing set. This helps us avoid "overfitting" – where our model becomes too specific to the training data and doesn't generalize well.
- **Physiological Interpretability:** Ultimately, the number of components we choose should also make sense from a biological perspective. They should represent distinct and understandable muscle coordination patterns. Research actually suggests that a relatively small number of synergies can explain a lot of what muscles do during complex movements [1]. It's also important to remember that these muscle synergies can vary a bit, even within the same person or across different sessions [11], which shows how adaptable our motor system is.

NMF vs. Other Methods: While you might hear about other "dimensionality reduction" techniques like PCA (Principal Component Analysis) or ICA (Independent Component Analysis), NMF is generally preferred for extracting muscle synergies. PCA tries to find components that capture the

most variability, but it can result in components with negative values that are hard to explain biologically. ICA looks for statistically independent components, but they might not always align with the idea of muscle synergies as non-negative, additive building blocks. NMF's strict non-negative rule is what makes its components directly interpretable as real muscle activation patterns.

Spatial Mapping and Visualization

Once we've extracted our muscle components – those spatial weights neatly organized in the W matrix from our NMF analysis – the next exciting step is to turn them into clear and visually appealing spatial maps. This process helps us truly understand *where* and *how* each muscle component contributes across the electrode grid.

1. **Placing Weights on the Grid:** For each muscle component we've identified (which is a column in our W matrix), we take the corresponding weight for every sEMG channel (electrode) and assign it to its exact physical location on our electrode grid. Imagine a blank map of the forearm with little dots where the electrodes were. Now, we're coloring in those dots based on how much that electrode contributes to a specific muscle component. This gives us a basic, discrete map of how each component influences different areas.
2. **Making Smooth Maps with Interpolation:** Our sEMG data comes from discrete electrode points, but muscles work continuously. So, to create a smooth, continuous picture across the entire muscle area, we use "spatial interpolation" techniques. This transforms our individual electrode weights into a beautiful, easy-to-read heatmap. Think of it like filling in the gaps between the dots on our map to create a smooth gradient of color. Some common interpolation methods we use include:
    - **Bilinear Interpolation:** This is a straightforward method that estimates values at unknown spots by taking a weighted average of the four closest known data points on our grid. It's efficient and creates nice, smooth transitions.
    - **Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW):** With IDW, we estimate values based on a weighted average of known points, but the closer a known point is, the more influence it has.
    - Spline Interpolation: This method uses clever mathematical functions (piecewise polynomials) to create a very smooth and continuous curve that passes through all our known data points. It can produce incredibly smooth maps, though it might take a bit more computing power.
      The choice of interpolation method can subtly change how smooth and detailed our final map looks. The resulting heatmap uses a color gradient – typically a spectrum from cool colors like blue (for low activity) to warm colors like red or even white (for high activity) – to visually show the strength of activation for that specific component at various locations across the muscle. We always include a clear color gradient scale next to the map (just like you might see in Figure 1, where "black means no activity and white means maximum activity") so you can accurately interpret the intensity values.
3. **Maps for Each Component:** A really powerful part of this visualization approach is that we create a separate spatial map for *each* muscle component we've identified. This allows us to look at each underlying synergy independently and truly understand its unique contribution to the overall muscle activation pattern. By seeing these maps one by one, researchers can spot distinct spatial patterns and figure out exactly which anatomical regions are working together within each component. For example, one map might clearly show activity concentrated in the wrist flexors, while another might reveal activity spread across the finger extensors.
4. **Dynamic Visualization: Bringing Movement to Life:** When we're studying movements, a static map only gives us a snapshot. To truly capture how muscle components change over time, we use "dynamic visualization." This means animating the series of spatial maps, creating a rich, four-dimensional representation (think of it as 2D space + time + the intensity of the component). This dynamic view lets us observe:
    - **The Flow of Activation:** How different muscle components are recruited and then relax throughout a movement. For instance, during a complex task like reaching for something and then grasping it, one component might be most active during the initial reach, while another takes over during the precise grasping and manipulation.
    - **Shifting Hotspots:** How the "center" of activation for a given component might move across the muscle as the movement progresses.
    - Teamwork in Action: The dynamic interplay and coordinated activity of multiple components over time.

      Creating these animated maps requires careful synchronization of our sEMG data with any other movement data we might have (like joint angles from motion capture) and choosing the right frame rates to ensure a smooth and informative visual experience. It's a truly powerful way to understand the spatiotemporal (space and time) organization of how our nervous system controls our muscles.

RESULTS

After putting our methodology to work on multichannel

sEMG data, we end up with a series of incredibly informative and distinct spatial maps. Each map beautifully outlines the unique activation profile of a specific muscle component. In a realistic experiment involving forearm muscles during various hand gestures, we'd typically expect to find a handful of key muscle components, usually somewhere between three and five. These components, working together, would explain a large part of the total variation in the sEMG signal.

Each component map is presented as a vibrant heatmap, carefully laid over a simple drawing of the forearm muscles and where our electrodes were placed. The colors on these heatmaps are super important: warmer colors, like reds and yellows, mean that particular electrode (and the muscle under it) is contributing a lot to that component's activity – it's really "lighting up." Cooler colors, fading towards black, mean less or no contribution, showing that those muscle regions aren't very involved in that specific component. We always include a clear color scale, going from black (no activity) to white (maximum activity), so you can easily understand what each color means.

Let's imagine some specific findings, much like what you'd see when analyzing muscle activation during different hand gestures:

1. Fist Clenching (Imagine Figure 1A):

When someone makes a fist, our spatial maps would clearly show components linked to the forearm flexor muscles. For example, one map might show intense activity specifically in the m. flexor carpi ulnaris and m. palmaris longus. This tells us these muscles are key players in generating the gripping force and bending the wrist needed for clenching. Another distinct component might reveal significant involvement of the m. extensor carpi radialis brevis. This suggests it's working hard to stabilize the wrist during that powerful flexion, stopping unwanted wrist movements. We'd also see smaller, but still noticeable, involvement from m. extensor carpi radialis longus and m. extensor digitorum, indicating their subtle contributions to fine-tuning the grip. And here's a neat detail: we'd also see activity in the m. extensor carpi ulnaris when the fist is unclenching, showing its role in releasing the grip.

2. Finger Extension (Open Palm) (Imagine Figure 1B):

For the gesture of opening the hand wide (finger extension), our maps would primarily highlight components connected to the forearm extensor muscles. A main component map would show the most intense activity focused in the m. extensor carpi radialis brevis and m. extensor digitorum. This clearly demonstrates their vital role in extending the fingers and wrist to achieve that open palm position. The maps might also show a little bit of activity in the m. flexor carpi ulnaris and m. extensor carpi ulnaris, hinting at their possible role in stabilizing the wrist or making tiny counter-movements during the extension.

3. Thumb Elevation (Imagine Figure 1C):

When someone lifts their thumb, our spatial maps would reveal unique component activation patterns just for this movement. We'd see the most significant involvement in the m. extensor digitorum and m. flexor carpi ulnaris, indicating their main roles in lifting the thumb and potentially stabilizing the hand. Plus, the maps would consistently show activity in the m. extensor carpi ulnaris and m. palmaris longus, suggesting their ongoing contribution to the precise coordination needed for thumb movement.

What These Visualizations Really Tell Us:

- **Pinpointing Muscle Action:** These maps clearly show us the "hotspots" and "cold spots" for each component, giving us a much more detailed understanding of how muscles are engaged than just looking at a single sEMG channel. This specificity helps us see exactly which parts of the muscle are working hardest for different parts of a movement. For instance, noticing that some muscles are active for one gesture but totally quiet for others (like the *m. brachioradialis* staying silent because it's mainly for elbow flexion, not wrist or finger movements) really emphasizes how precise and selective our muscle coordination is.
- **Muscles Working as a Team:** The visualizations beautifully illustrate how different muscle components, even if they're distinct, can actually have overlapping areas of activity. This suggests a subtle interplay and shared contribution across various muscle regions to achieve a coordinated movement. It's powerful visual proof that our nervous system orchestrates muscle activity across a wide area, rather than just firing off isolated muscles.
- **The Story of Movement Over Time:** When we animate these spatial maps, they become a powerful, four-dimensional story of neuromuscular control. This dynamic view shows us how different muscle components are recruited and then relax throughout a movement. For example, in a complex task like reaching for something and then grabbing it, one component might be super active during the initial reach, while another takes over when it's time for the precise grab. This helps us truly understand the timing and coordination of muscle activation.
- **Hints About Motor Unit Recruitment:** While our method doesn't show individual motor units directly, the spatial maps of components can give us indirect clues about how motor units are recruited. If a component shows very localized and intense activation, it might suggest that specific groups of motor units in that area are being preferentially activated. This could

even be influenced by things like blood flow restriction during exercise, as some recent research has explored [13].

In a nutshell, these spatial maps offer a compelling and intuitive way to visualize the complex, distributed, and dynamic nature of muscle activation. By moving beyond simple time-based measurements, they give us a deeper, more comprehensive understanding of how our movements are organized in space, paving the way for smarter interventions and exciting new technologies.

DISCUSSION

Looking at multichannel sEMG data through the lens of muscle component activation maps is a huge step forward in how we analyze and understand how our nerves and muscles work together. This approach helps us get past the limitations of traditional sEMG by giving us a clear, spatial, and functionally meaningful picture of muscle activity. By using smart "dimensionality reduction" techniques like Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF), we can take a massive amount of high-density sEMG information and boil it down into understandable spatial patterns that represent the basic "muscle synergies." This method fits perfectly with what we now understand about motor control: our bodies simplify the control of countless muscles by relying on a small set of coordinated modules to create all sorts of diverse and complex movements [1].

What's So Great About This Approach?

The clear, heatmap-like pictures of muscle components on a spatial grid offer some really distinct advantages over older sEMG analysis methods:

1. **A Clearer View of How We Move:** This approach gives us an intuitive, visual understanding of *exactly where* and *how* different muscle components contribute to a movement. This spatial insight is often hidden when we just look at individual sEMG channels or even averaged maps. Being able to see localized activation within a muscle group provides a much more detailed perspective on how muscles are engaged.
2. **Super Helpful for Doctors and Therapists:** These spatial maps can be incredibly valuable in clinical settings. They can help doctors and therapists spot subtle ways people compensate for injuries, detect muscle imbalances, or see how conditions like stroke or spinal cord injury affect muscle activation. For example, when designing prosthetic hands, understanding the precise spatial distribution of muscle activity can make sEMG-based control systems much more reliable and accurate, especially when dealing with issues like electrodes shifting on the skin [7]. This detailed insight can guide clinicians in creating more targeted and effective rehabilitation plans.
3. **Deeper Understanding of Muscle Function:** By breaking down overall muscle activity into distinct components, this visualization technique allows for a much more detailed investigation into what different muscle regions *do*. This complements studies that look at how a single muscle can be controlled synergistically [6], giving us a bigger picture of how these internal muscle components are organized in space and contribute to overall movement. The ability to visualize how our muscles adapt their synergistic responses to unexpected challenges, as shown in recent research [12], could be greatly enhanced by these detailed spatial maps.
4. **Game-Changer for Prosthetics and Robotics:** Precisely identifying and visualizing muscle components can have a profound impact on creating more intuitive and personalized prosthetic control systems. By understanding the body's natural synergistic patterns, engineers can design interfaces that feel more like natural extensions of the user, potentially leading to faster adaptation and a better quality of life for people with limb loss. In robotics, this knowledge can inspire the development of robots that move more like humans, with greater accuracy and efficiency, allowing them to perform complex tasks requiring precise control and coordination.
5. **Comparing Movements and Spotting Specificity:** The data we get from this visualization method aligns with previous studies that have shown how specific muscle activation patterns depend on the type of movement [5, 10-12]. However, what makes our approach stand out, especially when we focus on specific superficial forearm muscles, is the comprehensive view it provides of arm movement coordination. For instance, our results consistently show that the *m. brachioradialis* muscle isn't active in the gestures we studied. This makes perfect sense physiologically, as this muscle is mainly involved in bending the elbow, not in wrist or finger movements. This observation further highlights just how selective and precise our motor control truly is for specific gestures.

What Are the Challenges?

Even with all its benefits, we need to be honest about some limitations that come with this method and with sEMG data in general:

1. **It All Starts with Good Data:** The accuracy and how well we can understand our spatial maps really depend on the quality of the sEMG data we collect. Things like putting the electrodes in just the right spot, preparing the skin consistently (shaving, gently rubbing, cleaning), and keeping the electrical resistance between the skin and electrode low are super important. If the data

quality isn't great, we can end up with noisy signals and inaccurate component extraction.

2. **Everyone's a Little Different:** Human bodies are unique! There's a lot of natural variation between people in terms of muscle size, the thickness of fat under the skin, and how motor units are organized. All these differences can affect the raw sEMG signals and, consequently, the muscle components we extract and how they look spatially. While normalization helps with some of these differences, making everything perfectly standard across individuals is still a challenge.
3. **Choosing the Right Tools and Numbers:** The choice of which "dimensionality reduction" algorithm to use (like NMF versus others) and, crucially, deciding on the "right" number of components to extract can significantly impact how well we can interpret and trust our results. While NMF is great because it gives us non-negative components, different algorithms might produce different component structures. Plus, sometimes deciding on the number of components can feel a bit subjective, which can influence the final outcome.
4. **Surface vs. Deep Muscles:** sEMG mainly captures the activity of muscles close to the surface of the skin. The activity of deeper muscles might not be fully represented, or their signals could get mixed up with those from overlying muscles due to electrical "volume conduction." This means we might not get a complete picture of how deeper muscles coordinate.
5. **Tricky Motion Artifacts:** Even after filtering, significant movement artifacts – especially during dynamic movements – can still mess with sEMG signals. While we try our best to minimize these in pre-processing, completely getting rid of them without affecting the true signal can be tough.

Where Do We Go From Here? (Future Directions)

The amazing insights we get from visualizing multichannel sEMG as maps of muscle component activation open up so many exciting possibilities for future research and practical uses:

1. **Real-time Feedback: Learning and Healing Faster:** Imagine systems that can show you your muscle component activation maps *as you move*. This is a promising direction for developing real-time visualization and biofeedback systems. Such tools could revolutionize rehabilitation training, allowing patients to actively see and adjust their muscle activation patterns to optimize recovery. In sports, athletes could use this feedback to fine-tune their technique and prevent injuries. The main hurdles here are making sure the signal processing is super fast and the maps render smoothly without delay.
2. **Putting All the Pieces Together: A Holistic View:** To truly understand how our bodies control movement, future research should aim to combine these spatial sEMG maps with other types of physiological signals. Think about linking sEMG data with kinematic data (like joint angles and movement paths from motion capture systems), force plate data (for how we interact with the ground), and even brain activity (from EEG or fMRI). This "multi-modal" approach could give us a much more complete picture of the neural and muscular control of movement, revealing complex connections between brain activity, muscle activation, and what we actually see in movement.
3. **Smart Machines Learning from Muscles:** The huge datasets generated by multichannel sEMG are perfect for applying advanced machine learning and deep learning techniques [8]. These smart algorithms can be used for automatically recognizing patterns, classifying movements based on our component maps, spotting abnormal activation patterns (which could be diagnostic tools!), and even predicting motor outcomes. Deep learning, in particular, could learn incredibly complex relationships between raw sEMG signals and higher-level intentions or movement characteristics.
4. **Understanding and Treating Conditions Better:** Applying this visualization method to study muscle activation patterns in various neurological and musculoskeletal disorders (like stroke, cerebral palsy, spinal cord injury, or Parkinson's disease) has immense potential. It could give us objective ways to measure motor impairment, track how well someone is recovering, and evaluate how effective different therapies are. Understanding how muscle synergies change in disease states is absolutely critical for developing targeted and personalized rehabilitation strategies.
5. **Fatigue, Learning, and Adaptation:** Our dynamic visualization capabilities can be used to track how muscle component activation patterns change over time, especially when someone gets tired or when they're learning a new movement. Research on how muscle synergies vary during hand grasps [11] and how our muscles adapt their responses to unexpected challenges [12] could greatly benefit from these spatial insights, revealing how our motor system reorganizes its control strategies under different conditions.
6. **Even Finer Details: Advanced Signal Processing:** Future research might also explore more advanced signal processing techniques, like "blind source separation" or "independent component analysis" specifically designed for sEMG. These could potentially refine the extraction of muscle components even further, perhaps even isolating the activity of individual motor units or more granular functional units within muscles. This could lead to an even deeper

understanding of how muscle control is organized at a microscopic level.

In conclusion, visualizing multichannel sEMG as a map of muscle component activation is a truly significant step forward in how we analyze and interpret data about our nerves and muscles. By transforming complex time-based data into intuitive spatial pictures, this method offers deeper insights into how our movements are organized. It holds tremendous promise for improving diagnostics, guiding therapies in various clinical and research settings, and driving the next generation of prosthetic and robotic technologies. Our findings suggest that our understanding of muscle synergy is constantly growing, showing that each movement activates a unique and consistent set of muscles across different individuals. This enhanced understanding will undoubtedly speed up developments in the fields of prosthetics and rehabilitation, ultimately leading to better functional outcomes and an improved quality of life for many.

REFERENCES

1. Alessandro, C.; Delis, I.; Nori, F.; Panzeri, S.; Berret, B. Muscle Synergies in Neuroscience and Robotics: From Input-Space to Task-Space Perspectives. Front. Comput. Neurosci. 2013, 7, 43. [CrossRef]
2. Ma, Y.; Liu, D.; Yan, Z.; Yu, L.; Gui, L.; Yang, C.; Yang, W. Optimizing Exoskeleton Assistance: Muscle Synergy-Based Actuation for Personalized Hip Exoskeleton Control. Actuators 2024, 13, 54. [CrossRef]
3. Cole, N.M.; Ajiboye, A.B. Muscle Synergies for Predicting Non-Isometric Complex Hand Function for Commanding FES Neuroprosthetic Hand Systems. J. Neural Eng. 2019, 16, 056018. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Ao, X.; Wang, F.; Wang, R.; She, J. Muscle Synergy Analysis for Gesture Recognition Based on sEMG Images and Shapley Value. Intell. Robot. 2023, 3, 495–513. [CrossRef]
5. Kim, J.; Yang, S.; Koo, B.; Lee, S.; Park, S.; Kim, S.; Cho, K.H.; Kim, Y. sEMG-Based Hand Posture Recognition and Visual Feedback Training for the Forearm Amputee. Sensors 2022, 22, 7984. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Madarshahian, S.; Letizi, J.; Latash, M.L. Synergic Control of a Single Muscle: The Example of Flexor Digitorum Superficialis. J. Physiol. 2021, 599, 1261–1279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. Kim, J.; Koo, B.; Nam, Y.; Kim, Y. sEMG-Based Hand Posture Recognition Considering Electrode Shift, Feature Vectors, and Posture Groups. Sensors 2021, 21, 7681. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Faust, O.; Hagiwara, Y.; Hong, T.J.; Lih, O.S.; Acharya, U.R. Deep Learning for Healthcare Applications Based on Physiological Signals: A Review. Comput. Methods Programs Biomed. 2018, 161, 1–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
9. Barbero, M.; Merletti, R.; Rainoldi, A. Atlas of Muscle Innervation Zones; Springer Milan: Milano, Italy, 2012; ISBN 978-88-470-2462-5.
10. Rojas-Martínez, M.; Mañanas, M.A.; Alonso, J.F. High-Density Surface EMG Maps from Upper-Arm and Forearm Muscles. J. NeuroEng. Rehabil. 2012, 9, 85. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Pale, U.; Atzori, M.; Müller, H.; Scano, A. Variability of Muscle Synergies in Hand Grasps: Analysis of Intra- and Inter-Session Data. Sensors 2020, 20, 4297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Jakubowitz, E.; Schmidt, L.; Obermeier, A.; Spindeldreier, S.; Windhagen, H.; Hurschler, C. Investigation of Adaptive Muscle Synergy Modulated Motor Responses to Grasping Perturbations. Sci. Rep. 2024, 14, 18493. [CrossRef]
13. Iskarevskii, G.V.; Pekonidi, A.A.; Beknazarova, A.M.; Pozdnyakova, A.E.; Onishchenko, D.A.; Kirsanov, A.S.; Baltin, M.E.; Bravyy, Y.R. Effect of Blood Flow Restriction on Recruitment Threshold and Amplitude- Frequency Characteristics of Motor Units During Exercise. In Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference Neurotechnologies and Neurointerfaces, Kaliningrad, Russia, 19–21 September 2024; pp. 44–46. [CrossRef]