

An Architecture-Oriented Study of Quantum Computing Advancements and Strategic Initiatives in Europe

Hendra Gunawan

Department of Informatics, Universitas Negeri Padang, Padang, Indonesia

Dr. Fitri Yuliana

Faculty of Computer Science, Universitas Bengkulu, Bengkulu, Indonesia

VOLUME 03 ISSUE 05 (2026)

Published Date: 01 May 2026 // Page no.: - 01-05

ABSTRACT

Quantum computing has emerged as a transformative computational paradigm with the potential to redefine problem-solving across scientific, industrial, and governmental domains. Europe has positioned itself as a key contributor to this technological evolution through coordinated research frameworks, infrastructure investments, and policy-driven initiatives. This paper presents an architecture-oriented study of quantum computing advancements in Europe, focusing on system-level design, technological integration, and strategic ecosystem development. By synthesizing existing literature, including foundational theories, hardware innovations, algorithmic developments, and policy frameworks, the study develops a structured understanding of European quantum architectures. The research further examines interoperability between quantum and classical systems, the role of quantum accelerators, and the integration of quantum communication infrastructures. A methodological framework is proposed to evaluate architectural maturity, scalability, and resilience. The findings reveal that Europe's approach emphasizes modular architectures, hybrid quantum-classical systems, and secure communication networks, supported by institutional initiatives and collaborative research programs. However, challenges remain in scalability, error correction, and industrial adoption. The study contributes to the field by providing a comprehensive architectural perspective and identifying future research directions for optimizing quantum computing deployment within the European context.

Keywords: Quantum Computing Architecture, European Quantum Initiatives, Hybrid Systems, Quantum Algorithms, Post-Quantum Cryptography, Quantum Infrastructure, Quantum Communication, Quantum Accelerators.

INTRODUCTION

Quantum computing represents a paradigm shift from classical computational models, leveraging principles such as superposition and entanglement to solve complex problems beyond classical tractability. The foundational theoretical framework was established by early works on universal quantum computation (Deutsch, 1985), which demonstrated the feasibility of quantum systems as computational devices. Over time, advancements in hardware and algorithms have accelerated the transition from theoretical constructs to practical implementations.

Europe has emerged as a strategic hub for quantum research, driven by coordinated initiatives such as quantum communication infrastructures and high-performance computing integration. The region's focus extends beyond isolated technological development to a holistic ecosystem encompassing research institutions, industrial collaborations, and policy frameworks. This

integrated approach aims to establish technological sovereignty and competitiveness in the global quantum landscape.

The primary problem addressed in this study is the lack of a unified architectural perspective on European quantum computing advancements. While significant progress has been made in individual domains such as quantum algorithms, hardware platforms, and cryptographic systems, there remains a fragmentation in understanding how these components integrate into scalable and efficient architectures.

The objectives of this research are threefold: first, to analyze existing quantum computing architectures within the European context; second, to evaluate strategic initiatives shaping technological development; and third, to propose an architectural framework that aligns with European priorities in efficiency, scalability, and security. The scope of the study encompasses theoretical foundations, technological implementations, and institutional strategies, providing a

comprehensive perspective on quantum computing in Europe.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The evolution of quantum computing has been driven by foundational theoretical contributions and subsequent advancements in algorithm design and hardware development. Early work by Deutsch (1985) established the theoretical basis for universal quantum computation, while DiVincenzo (2000) outlined the physical requirements necessary for implementing quantum systems. These foundational studies provide the basis for modern quantum architectures.

Algorithmic advancements have played a critical role in demonstrating the practical utility of quantum computing. Research in quantum chemistry (Cao et al., 2019) and variational quantum algorithms (Cerezo et al., 2021) highlights the potential for solving domain-specific problems using hybrid approaches. Additionally, quantum linear systems algorithms (Dervovic et al., 2018) provide insights into computational efficiency improvements achievable through quantum methods.

From a hardware perspective, significant progress has been achieved in superconducting processors and quantum accelerators. The demonstration of quantum supremacy using programmable processors (Arute et al., 2019) represents a milestone in computational capability. Furthermore, heterogeneous architectures integrating quantum processing units with classical systems (Fu et al., 2016) emphasize the importance of hybrid computational models.

European contributions are particularly evident in infrastructure and policy initiatives. The development of quantum communication networks, including secure communication frameworks (ENISA, 2021), reflects a strategic emphasis on cybersecurity. Similarly, initiatives such as quantum communication infrastructure programs aim to establish secure and scalable networks across Europe.

Research on quantum accelerators (Bertels et al., 2021) highlights the need for layered architectures that integrate hardware, middleware, and software components. This approach aligns with European efforts to create interoperable systems capable of supporting diverse applications. Additionally, studies on quantum error correction (Devitt et al., 2013) and energy-efficient quantum operations (Chiribella et al., 2021) address critical challenges in system reliability and sustainability.

Industrial perspectives, including reports on quantum advantage (Bobier et al., 2021), emphasize the economic and strategic implications of quantum computing. These analyses suggest that early adoption and integration of

quantum technologies will provide competitive advantages across industries.

Despite these advancements, several research gaps remain. There is limited integration of architectural frameworks across different domains, leading to fragmentation in system design. Furthermore, challenges related to scalability, error mitigation, and practical deployment continue to hinder widespread adoption. This study addresses these gaps by providing a unified architectural perspective on European quantum computing initiatives.

METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a survey-based analytical methodology combined with architectural modeling to evaluate quantum computing advancements in Europe. The methodology is structured into three core components: architectural analysis, strategic evaluation, and framework development.

Architectural Analysis Framework

The architectural analysis focuses on decomposing quantum computing systems into three layers: hardware, middleware, and application. The hardware layer includes quantum processing units, communication interfaces, and physical implementations. The middleware layer encompasses control systems, error correction protocols, and resource management. The application layer involves algorithms and domain-specific use cases.

This layered approach aligns with the concept of quantum accelerator stacks (Bertels et al., 2021), which emphasize modularity and interoperability. The analysis evaluates each layer based on scalability, efficiency, and integration capability.

Strategic Evaluation of European Initiatives

The study examines key European initiatives, including quantum communication infrastructure programs and research collaborations. These initiatives are analyzed in terms of their contribution to technological development, infrastructure deployment, and policy alignment.

A critical aspect of this evaluation is the role of industrial and research organizations such as Alpine Quantum Technologies (AQT), which contribute to hardware development and system integration. The involvement of such entities highlights the importance of public-private partnerships in advancing quantum technologies (AQT).

Hybrid Quantum-Classical System Modeling

Given the current limitations of quantum hardware, hybrid systems integrating classical and quantum components are essential. The methodology models these systems based on computational workflows, where classical processors handle pre-processing and post-processing tasks, while quantum

processors perform specialized computations.

This approach is supported by research on high-performance computing integration (Britt & Humble, 2017), which demonstrates the feasibility of combining classical and quantum resources to enhance computational efficiency.

Evaluation Metrics

The study employs several metrics to evaluate quantum architectures, including computational efficiency, scalability, fault tolerance, and energy consumption. These metrics are derived from existing research on quantum system performance and optimization.

Methodological Framework and Architectural Design

Modular Quantum Architecture

European quantum systems emphasize modularity, enabling the integration of diverse components into cohesive architectures. Modular design facilitates scalability by allowing incremental addition of quantum resources. This approach is particularly relevant for distributed quantum networks, where multiple nodes collaborate to perform computations.

Quantum Communication Integration

Secure communication is a central component of European quantum strategies. The integration of quantum key distribution and communication networks ensures data security and resilience against quantum attacks. Studies on secure communication frameworks highlight the importance of adopting post-quantum cryptographic methods (ENISA, 2021).

Quantum Accelerator Integration

Quantum accelerators serve as specialized components within larger computational systems. They are designed to perform specific tasks such as optimization and simulation. The integration of quantum accelerators into classical systems enhances overall computational performance (Doherty, 2021).

Role of Industrial Ecosystems

Organizations such as Alpine Quantum Technologies (AQT) play a crucial role in advancing hardware capabilities and system integration. Their contributions include the development of ion-trap quantum computers and scalable architectures, which are essential for practical deployment (AQT).

Error Correction and Noise Mitigation

Error correction remains a significant challenge in quantum computing. Techniques such as quantum error correction codes and noise-resilient circuit design are critical for ensuring system reliability (Devitt et al., 2013; Cincio et al., 2021).

RESULTS

The analysis reveals that European quantum computing architectures are characterized by a strong emphasis on hybridization, modularity, and security. Hybrid quantum-classical systems are widely adopted due to the limitations of current quantum hardware, enabling practical applications in optimization and simulation.

Modular architectures facilitate scalability and interoperability, allowing different components to be integrated seamlessly. This approach aligns with the European focus on collaborative research and infrastructure development.

The integration of quantum communication networks represents a significant advancement in secure data transmission. European initiatives have successfully demonstrated the feasibility of large-scale quantum communication systems, positioning the region as a leader in this domain.

Industrial participation, particularly from organizations such as Alpine Quantum Technologies (AQT), has accelerated the development of hardware and system integration. These contributions highlight the importance of collaboration between academia and industry.

However, challenges remain in achieving fault tolerance and scalability. Error correction techniques are still under development, and the physical limitations of quantum hardware continue to constrain system performance. Additionally, the high cost of infrastructure and limited availability of skilled personnel pose barriers to widespread adoption.

Discussion

The findings underscore the importance of adopting an architectural perspective in understanding quantum computing advancements. European strategies emphasize integration across multiple layers, reflecting a holistic approach to system design.

From a theoretical standpoint, the reliance on hybrid systems aligns with current research trends in variational algorithms and quantum machine learning (Cerezo et al., 2021; Dunjko & Wittek, 2020). These approaches leverage the strengths of both classical and quantum systems, enabling practical applications despite hardware limitations.

The focus on secure communication highlights the strategic importance of quantum technologies in cybersecurity.

European initiatives in quantum communication infrastructure demonstrate a proactive approach to addressing emerging threats in the post-quantum era.

However, the study also reveals several limitations. The scalability of quantum systems remains a critical challenge, with current architectures unable to support large-scale computations. Error correction techniques, while promising, require significant resources and remain impractical for near-term applications.

Furthermore, the integration of quantum technologies into existing industrial systems presents logistical and technical challenges. The lack of standardized frameworks and interoperability protocols hinders the seamless adoption of quantum solutions.

Comparatively, European efforts exhibit a stronger emphasis on regulatory and collaborative frameworks than purely technological advancements. This approach ensures long-term sustainability but may slow down rapid innovation compared to other regions.

CONCLUSION

This study provides a comprehensive architectural analysis of quantum computing advancements and strategic initiatives in Europe. By integrating theoretical foundations, technological developments, and policy frameworks, the research offers a holistic perspective on the evolution of quantum systems.

The findings highlight the significance of modular and hybrid architectures in enabling scalable and efficient quantum computing. European initiatives in quantum communication and infrastructure development demonstrate a strong commitment to security and collaboration.

The study contributes to the field by proposing an architectural framework that aligns with European priorities and identifying key challenges in scalability, error correction, and industrial adoption. Future research should focus on developing standardized architectures, improving error correction techniques, and enhancing interoperability between quantum and classical systems.

As quantum computing continues to evolve, Europe's integrated approach positions it as a key player in shaping the future of this transformative technology.

REFERENCES

- [Aharonov 2007] D. Aharonov et al., "Adiabatic Quantum Computation is Equivalent to Standard Quantum Computation", *SIAM J. Comput.* 37 (2007) 166.
- [ANSSI 2020] "Should Quantum Key Distribution be Used for Secure Communications?", Agence nationale de la sécurité des systèmes d'information (2020). <https://www.ssi.gouv.fr/en/publication/should-quantum-keydistribution-be-used-for-secure-communications/>
- [AQT] Alpine Quantum Technologies. <https://www.aqt.eu/>
- [Arute 2019] F. Arute et al., "Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor", *Nature* 574 (2019) 505.
- [Babbush 2014] R. Babbush, P. Love, A. Aspuru-Guzik, "Adiabatic Quantum Simulation of Quantum Chemistry", *Sci. Rep.* 4 (2014) 660.
- [Barzen 2020] J. Barzen and F. Leymann, "Quantum humanities: a vision for quantum computing in digital humanities", *ICS Softw.-Intensiv. Cyber-Phys. Syst.* 35 (2020) 153.
- [Bertels 2021] K. Bertels et al., "Quantum Accelerator Stack: A Research Roadmap", *arXiv* 2102.02035 (2021). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.02035>
- [Bobier 2021] J.-F. Bobier et al., "What Happens When 'If' Turns to 'When' in Quantum Computing?", Boston Consulting Group report (2021). <https://www.bcg.com/publications/2021/building-quantum-advantage>
- [Britt 2017] K.A. Britt and T.S. Humble, "High-Performance Computing with Quantum Processing Units", *J. Emerg. Technol. Comput. Syst.* 13 (2017) 39.
- [Cao 2019] Y. Cao et al., "Quantum Chemistry in the Age of Quantum Computing", *Chem. Rev.* 119 (2019) 10856.
- [Camps 2021] D. Camps, R. Van Beeumen, C. Yang, "Quantum Fourier Transform Revisited", *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.* 28 (2021) e2331.
- [Castelvecchi 2018] D. Castelvecchi, "The quantum internet has arrived (and it hasn't)", *Nature* 554 (2018) 289.
- [Cerezo 2021] M. Cerezo et al., "Variational quantum algorithms", *Nat. Rev. Phys.* 3 (2021) 625.
- [Chiribella 2021] G. Chiribella, Y. Yang, R. Renner, "Fundamental Energy Requirement of Reversible Quantum Operations", *Phys. Rev. X* 11 (2021) 021014.
- [Cho 2020] A. Cho, "The biggest flipping challenge in quantum computing", *Science news feature*.

16. [Cincio 2021] L. Cincio et al., "Machine Learning of Noise-Resilient Quantum Circuits", PRX Quantum 2 (2021) 010324.
17. [D-Wave] <https://www.dwavesys.com/>
18. [D-Wave 2017] "Computational Power Consumption and Speedup", D-Wave White Paper Series 14-1005A-D (2017) https://www.dwavesys.com/media/ivelyjij/14-1005a_d_wp_computational_power_consumption_and_speedup.pdf
19. [Daiss 2021] S. Daiss et al., "A quantum-logic gate between distant quantum-network modules", Science 371 (2021) 614.
20. [Dalyac 2021] C. Dalyac et al., "Qualifying quantum approaches for hard industrial optimization problems. A case study in the field of smart-charging of electric vehicles", EPJ Quantum Technol. 8 (2021) 12.
21. [Dervovic 2018] D. Dervovic et al., "Quantum linear systems algorithms: a primer", arXiv 1802.08227 (2018) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.08227>
22. [Deutsch 1985] D. Deutsch, "Quantum theory, the Church-Turing principle and the universal quantum computer", Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 400 (1985) 97.
23. [Devitt 2013] S.J. Devitt, W.J. Munro, K. Nemoto, "Quantum error correction for beginners." Rep. Prog. Phys. 76 (2013) 076001.
24. [DiVincenzo 2000] D.P. DiVincenzo, "The physical implementation of quantum computation." Fortschr. Phys. 48 (2000) 771-783.
25. [Doherty 2021] M. Doherty, "Quantum accelerators: a new trajectory of quantum computers", Digitale Welt 5 (2021) 74.
26. [Dunjko 2020] V. Dunjko, P. Wittek, "A non-review of Quantum Machine Learning: trends and explorations", Quantum Views 4 (2020) 32.
27. [Emani 2021] P.S. Emani et al., "Quantum computing at the frontiers of biological sciences", Nat. Methods 18 (2021) 701.
28. [ENISA 2021] "Post-Quantum Cryptography: Current state and quantum mitigation", European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (2021). <https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/post-quantum-cryptography-currentstate-and-quantum-mitigation>
29. [ETHZ 2021] "ETH Zurich and PSI found Quantum Computing Hub", ETH Zürich press release (2021). <https://ethz.ch/en/news-and-events/eth-news/news/2021/05/eth-zurich-and-psi-found-quantum-computinghub.html>
30. [EuroCC] <https://www.eurocc-access.eu/>
31. [EuroQCI] The European Quantum Communication Infrastructure (EuroQCI) Initiative. <https://digitalstrategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/european-quantum-communication-infrastructure-euroqci>
32. [ESA 2021] "Quantum communication in space moves ahead", European Space Agency. https://www.esa.int/Applications/Telecommunications_Integrated_Applications/Quantum_communication_in_space_moves_ahead
33. [Fu 2016] X. Fu et al., "A Heterogeneous Quantum Computer Architecture", Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Computing Frontiers (2016).
34. [Fösel 2021] T. Fösel et al., "Quantum circuit optimization with deep reinforcement learning", arXiv 2103.07585 (2021). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.07585>
35. [Gambetta 2020] J. Gambetta, "IBM's roadmap for scaling quantum technology", IBM Research blog (2020). <https://www.ibm.com/blogs/research/2020/09/ibm-quantum-roadmap>
36. [Gehring 2021] T. Gehring et al., "Homodyne-based quantum random number generator at 2.9 Gbps secure against quantum side-information", Nat. Commun. 12 (2021) 605.