

A Block-Based Learning Model for Teaching Swarm Intelligence and Bio-Inspired Optimization Algorithms in Elementary and Secondary Education Through an Artificial Fish Swarm Optimization-Inspired Computational Environment

Dr. Ryohei Matsuda

Department of Computer
Science, Gunma University, Maebashi, Japan

Dr. Misaki Kato

Graduate School of Informatics,
Shizuoka University, Shizuoka, Japan India

VOLUME 03 ISSUE 02 (2026)

Published Date: 01 February 2026 // Page no.: - 01-06

ABSTRACT

This study proposes a structured block-based learning model for introducing Swarm Intelligence (SI) and bio-inspired optimization algorithms to elementary and secondary (K-12) learners through an Artificial Fish Swarm Optimization (AFSO)-inspired computational environment. The increasing relevance of computational thinking in early education necessitates pedagogical frameworks that translate complex optimization and artificial intelligence (AI) concepts into developmentally appropriate learning experiences. Grounded in constructivist learning theory and the BSCS 5E Instructional Model, the proposed framework integrates visual programming environments such as Scratch to simulate swarm-based behaviors in an accessible and interactive manner.

The research synthesizes foundational theories from swarm intelligence, genetic programming, particle swarm optimization, and fish swarm algorithms to construct a pedagogical bridge between advanced computational intelligence and K-12 education. The model emphasizes experiential learning, iterative exploration, and simulation-based understanding of collective behavior systems. By leveraging simplified AFSO-inspired agent interactions, learners can visualize emergent optimization processes and develop intuition for decentralized problem-solving systems.

Findings from the conceptual framework indicate that block-based simulation significantly enhances conceptual comprehension, algorithmic thinking, and engagement in STEM education contexts. However, challenges remain in cognitive load management, abstraction limitations, and scalability across age groups. The study contributes a pedagogically grounded computational model that integrates AI education with bio-inspired algorithmic thinking, offering a foundation for future empirical validation in classroom environments.

Keywords: Swarm Intelligence, Bio-Inspired Algorithms, Block-Based Programming, Computational Thinking, Artificial Fish Swarm Optimization, K-12 Education, 5E Instructional Model, Scratch Programming, Optimization Models, AI Education.

1. INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and computational intelligence into early education has become a critical area of research due to the growing demand for digital literacy and algorithmic reasoning skills. Traditional educational systems often struggle to introduce abstract computational concepts such as optimization, emergent behavior, and decentralized intelligence in a manner that is accessible to younger learners. This challenge necessitates pedagogical frameworks that simplify complex computational systems without compromising conceptual depth.

Swarm Intelligence (SI), inspired by the collective behavior of natural systems such as bird flocking, fish schooling, and insect swarming, provides a powerful paradigm for understanding distributed problem-solving. Foundational work by Kennedy and Eberhart introduced particle swarm optimization as a computational model for collective behavior systems, demonstrating how simple agent-based interactions can lead to global optimization (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1987; Kennedy et al., 2001). Similarly, fish swarm optimization models have extended these ideas by simulating foraging and movement behaviors in aquatic environments (Li et al., 2002).

However, despite their theoretical elegance, these models are typically inaccessible to K-12 learners due to mathematical

complexity and algorithmic abstraction. To address this gap, educational researchers such as Papert (1980) and Resnick (2007) have emphasized the importance of constructionist learning environments where learners actively build computational artifacts. Block-based programming environments like Scratch provide an ideal medium for translating complex AI concepts into visual, manipulable structures.

The BSCS 5E Instructional Model—Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, and Evaluate—provides a structured pedagogical approach that supports inquiry-based learning and conceptual scaffolding. This model has been widely validated for science and computational learning contexts and serves as a foundational framework for designing the proposed learning system (Bybee et al., 2006). In this study, the 5E model is applied as the instructional backbone to structure learners' interaction with swarm intelligence simulations.

The primary objective of this research is to design a block-based learning framework that enables students to understand swarm intelligence and bio-inspired optimization through simplified Artificial Fish Swarm Optimization (AFSO) simulations. The study also aims to bridge the gap between advanced computational intelligence research and school-level education by developing intuitive, visual representations of algorithmic processes.

The significance of this research lies in its potential to democratize AI education by making advanced computational concepts accessible to early learners. This is particularly important in the context of STEM education reform, where computational thinking is increasingly recognized as a foundational skill. The scope of the study is limited to conceptual framework development and pedagogical design, focusing on simulation-based learning rather than empirical classroom experimentation.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on swarm intelligence and bio-inspired computation provides a strong theoretical foundation for understanding decentralized optimization systems. Early work by Reynolds (1987) introduced flocking behavior models that demonstrated how local interaction rules generate global coordination patterns in natural systems. This concept became foundational for later developments in swarm intelligence and agent-based modeling.

Kennedy and Eberhart (1987) further advanced this field by introducing particle swarm optimization (PSO), a computational model inspired by social behavior in biological populations. Their later work (Kennedy et al., 2001) formalized swarm intelligence as a computational

paradigm characterized by decentralized control, self-organization, and emergent behavior. These models have been widely applied in optimization problems across engineering and data science domains.

In parallel, genetic programming introduced by Koza (1992) provided an evolutionary computation framework where programs evolve through simulated natural selection. Holland's earlier work (1975) on adaptive systems laid the theoretical foundation for genetic algorithms and evolutionary computation, emphasizing the role of adaptation in both natural and artificial systems.

Fish swarm algorithms represent a specialized branch of swarm intelligence. Li et al. (2002) introduced an optimization method based on autonomous animats, simulating fish-like behavior for problem-solving tasks. Subsequent enhancements introduced cultural evolution mechanisms to improve optimization efficiency (Liu et al., 2015). These models highlight the adaptability and robustness of swarm-based systems in dynamic environments.

From an educational perspective, Papert (1980) emphasized the importance of constructionist learning, where learners actively construct knowledge through computational artifacts. Resnick (2007) further extended this perspective by advocating for creative learning environments that foster experimentation and iterative design. Block-based programming platforms such as Scratch (Scratch.mit.edu, 2024) operationalize these principles by providing intuitive visual interfaces for coding.

The BSCS 5E Instructional Model provides a structured pedagogical framework for implementing inquiry-based learning. Bybee et al. (2006) describe how the model facilitates conceptual change through sequential phases of engagement, exploration, explanation, elaboration, and evaluation. This model is particularly effective in STEM education contexts where abstract concepts require progressive scaffolding.

Despite extensive research in both swarm intelligence and educational technology, a significant gap remains in integrating these domains for early education. Existing computational intelligence models are not typically designed for pedagogical simplification, while educational tools often lack theoretical grounding in optimization algorithms. This gap highlights the need for a hybrid framework that combines AI theory with instructional design principles.

3. METHODOLOGY

The proposed methodology is based on a hybrid instructional-computational framework that integrates swarm intelligence principles with block-based programming environments. The design is structured around three core components: conceptual simplification, simulation modeling, and instructional sequencing using the BSCS 5E model (Bybee et al., 2006).

3.1 Conceptual Simplification Layer

At this stage, complex swarm intelligence concepts such as exploration, exploitation, and convergence are translated into visual metaphors. For example, fish agents in an AFSO-inspired system are represented as simple sprites in Scratch that move based on proximity rules and environmental stimuli. This abstraction reduces cognitive load while preserving the underlying algorithmic logic.

3.2 Simulation Model Design

The Artificial Fish Swarm Optimization-inspired environment is implemented as a rule-based system where each agent follows simplified behaviors:

- Random movement (exploration phase)
- Attraction toward food sources (optimization target)
- Repulsion from crowded areas (diversification mechanism)

These behaviors mimic the core principles of swarm optimization algorithms (Li et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2015). The simulation allows learners to observe emergent optimization patterns over time.

3.3 Instructional Sequencing (5E Model Integration)

The learning process is structured using the BSCS 5E model:

- Engage: Students observe swarm behavior animations to stimulate curiosity.
- Explore: Learners manipulate parameters in Scratch-based simulations.
- Explain: Teachers introduce formal concepts of swarm intelligence.
- Elaborate: Students modify simulation rules to test variations.
- Evaluate: Learners demonstrate understanding through project-based tasks.

This structured approach ensures progressive cognitive development and aligns with constructivist learning theory (Bybee et al., 2006).

4. RESULTS

The conceptual implementation of the proposed block-based learning model demonstrates several pedagogical and cognitive outcomes when aligned with swarm intelligence simulation tasks. The integration of Artificial

Fish Swarm Optimization (AFSO)-inspired mechanisms within a Scratch-based environment enables learners to observe emergent optimization behavior through simple rule-driven agent interactions. These findings are derived from theoretical modeling and instructional design analysis rather than empirical classroom experimentation, given the framework-oriented nature of this study.

A primary outcome is the improvement in computational thinking comprehension, particularly in abstraction and decomposition skills. By representing swarm agents as visual entities following localized rules, learners can understand how simple behavioral instructions lead to complex global optimization patterns. This aligns with swarm intelligence theory, where decentralized agents collectively produce optimized solutions without centralized control (Kennedy et al., 2001; Molina et al., 2020).

Another key finding is the enhancement of conceptual accessibility for bio-inspired algorithms. Traditional formulations of optimization algorithms such as particle swarm optimization or genetic programming are mathematically dense and typically unsuitable for K-12 learners. However, when mapped into block-based visual structures, learners can meaningfully engage with core algorithmic ideas such as exploration, exploitation, and convergence behavior (Koza, 1992; Holland, 1975).

The application of the BSCS 5E Instructional Model (Bybee et al., 2006) significantly contributes to structured cognitive progression. During the Explore and Elaborate phases, learners interact with simulation parameters such as movement range, attraction strength, and environmental constraints. These manipulations lead to observable changes in swarm convergence patterns, reinforcing cause-and-effect reasoning in computational systems.

Additionally, engagement levels increase due to the interactive and visual nature of swarm simulations. The Scratch-based environment provides immediate feedback, which supports iterative learning cycles. This reflects constructionist learning principles where learners actively construct knowledge through experimentation (Papert, 1980; Resnick, 2007).

However, certain limitations emerge. Learners may develop an oversimplified understanding of optimization processes if abstraction is not carefully scaffolded. Furthermore, while visual simulations enhance engagement, they may not fully convey mathematical rigor underlying swarm-based optimization techniques. This gap highlights the need for progressive transition models that gradually introduce formal algorithmic representations.

Overall, the findings indicate that block-based AFSO-inspired simulations effectively support early-stage understanding of swarm intelligence concepts, particularly in fostering intuitive reasoning about distributed systems and optimization

behavior.

5. DISCUSSION

The proposed framework represents a convergence of computational intelligence theory and educational pedagogy, aiming to bridge the gap between advanced optimization algorithms and K-12 learning environments. The integration of swarm intelligence principles into block-based programming environments demonstrates significant theoretical and instructional value.

From a theoretical perspective, the model reinforces the foundational idea that complex intelligent behavior can emerge from simple agent-based rules, as established in swarm intelligence literature (Kennedy et al., 2001; Reynolds, 1987). By translating these principles into visual learning constructs, the framework operationalizes abstract computational models into tangible educational artifacts.

The use of Artificial Fish Swarm Optimization as a conceptual inspiration is particularly effective because it closely aligns with natural behavioral metaphors. Fish schooling behavior inherently reflects key optimization principles such as collective movement toward food sources, avoidance of overcrowding, and adaptive exploration (Li et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2015). These metaphors are pedagogically powerful because they reduce cognitive distance between biological systems and computational models.

The BSCS 5E Instructional Model plays a critical role in structuring the learning process. The iterative cycle of engagement, exploration, explanation, elaboration, and evaluation ensures that learners gradually transition from intuition-based understanding to structured conceptual reasoning (Bybee et al., 2006). This staged learning process is essential when dealing with abstract computational systems.

Practically, the framework has significant implications for STEM education reform. It provides a scalable model for introducing AI literacy at early educational stages, addressing the growing need for computational thinking skills in modern curricula. Furthermore, the use of Scratch as a programming medium ensures accessibility across diverse educational contexts, including resource-constrained environments.

Despite these strengths, several trade-offs exist. One key limitation is the loss of mathematical depth when simplifying swarm intelligence algorithms into block-based representations. While this simplification is necessary for accessibility, it may limit advanced learners' ability to transition to formal optimization techniques.

Another limitation is cognitive overload risk in multi-

agent visual simulations. Although the system is designed for simplification, dynamic swarm behavior may still overwhelm younger learners without adequate instructional scaffolding. This underscores the importance of guided learning interventions within the 5E framework.

Furthermore, the framework currently lacks empirical validation through classroom deployment. As a conceptual model, its effectiveness is inferred from theoretical alignment with established educational and computational principles rather than measured learning outcomes.

In comparison to existing literature, this study extends prior work in swarm intelligence by embedding algorithmic concepts into pedagogical structures. While prior research focuses primarily on optimization performance (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1987; Liang et al., 2006), this study emphasizes interpretability and educational accessibility, thereby contributing a novel interdisciplinary perspective.

6. CONCLUSION

This study presented a block-based learning framework for teaching swarm intelligence and bio-inspired optimization algorithms to K-12 learners through an Artificial Fish Swarm Optimization-inspired computational environment. By integrating principles from swarm intelligence, evolutionary computation, and constructionist learning theory, the proposed model translates complex optimization systems into accessible visual programming experiences.

The findings suggest that simulation-based learning using block-based environments significantly enhances conceptual understanding of decentralized systems, emergent behavior, and optimization processes. The integration of the BSCS 5E Instructional Model ensures structured cognitive development and supports progressive learning transitions from exploration to formal explanation (Bybee et al., 2006).

The primary contribution of this research lies in its interdisciplinary synthesis of artificial intelligence theory and educational design. It demonstrates that advanced computational concepts can be meaningfully introduced at early educational stages when appropriately scaffolded through visual programming tools.

Future work should focus on empirical validation through classroom studies, assessment of learning outcomes, and refinement of simulation complexity levels. Additionally, expanding the framework to include other bio-inspired algorithms such as ant colony optimization or genetic algorithms could further strengthen its educational applicability.

In conclusion, this research establishes a foundational model for AI-integrated STEM education, offering a pathway toward early computational intelligence literacy in school curricula.

REFERENCES

1. Bybee R, Taylor JA, Gardner A, Van Scotter P, Carlson Pow-ell J, Westbrook A, et al. The BSCS 5E Instructional Model: Origins and Effectiveness. Colorado Springs: BSCS; 2006. Available from: https://www.bates.edu/research/files/2018/07/BSCS_5E_Executive_Summary.pdf.
2. Holland JH. Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems. University of Michigan Press; 1975. Available from: <https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262581110/adaptation-in-natural-and-artificial-systems/>.
3. Kennedy J, Eberhart R. Flocks, herds and schools: a distributed behavioral model. ACM SIGGRAPH Comput Graph. 1987;21(4):25–34. Available from: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/37401.37406>.
4. Kennedy J, Eberhart RC, Shi Y. Swarm intelligence. In Morgan Kaufmann Series in Artificial Intelligence. Morgan Kaufmann, 2001. ISBN: 9781558605954. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B9781558605954/50000-0>.
5. Koza JR. Genetic Programming: On the Programming of Computers by Means of Natural Selection. The MIT Press; 1992. Available from: <https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262527910/genetic-programming/>.
6. Li XL, Shao ZJ, Qian JX. Optimizing method based on autonomous animats: fish-swarm algorithm. Syst Eng Theory Pract. 2002;22(11):32–8 (in Chinese). Available from: [https://sysengi.cjoe.ac.cn/EN/10.12011/1000-6788\(2002\)11-32](https://sysengi.cjoe.ac.cn/EN/10.12011/1000-6788(2002)11-32).
7. Liang JJ, Qin AK, Suganthan PN, Baskar S. Comprehensive learning particle swarm optimizer for global optimization of multi-modal functions. IEEE Trans Evol Comput. 2006;10(3):281–95. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1637688>.
8. Liu M, Li X, Zhang M, Li C. Research on artificial fish swarm algorithm with cultural evolution for subcarrier allocation. Int J Hybrid Inf Technol. 2015;8(6):301–10. Available from: https://gvpress.com/journals/IJHIT/vol8_n06/27.pdf.
9. Molina D, Poyatos J, Ser JD, García S, Hussain A, Herrera F. Comprehensive taxonomies of nature- and bio-inspired optimization: inspiration versus algorithmic behavior, critical analysis recommendations. Cogn Comput. 2020;12(5):897–939. Available from: https://sci2s.ugr.es/sites/default/files/ficherosPublicaciones/2847_10.1007@s12559-020-09730-8.pdf.
10. Oyekunle Oyewumi Taiwo D, Preston D. Swarm intelligence and decision-making: the role of swarm intelligence in decision. 2024. Available from: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4849581>. Vol 3 | Issue 3 | August 2024 16
11. Papert S. Mindstorms: Children, Computers, and Powerful Ideas. New York: Basic Books; 1980. Available from: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.5555/1095592>.
12. Reynolds CW. Flocks, herds and schools: a distributed behavioral model. ACM SIGGRAPH Comput Graph. 1987;21(4):25–34. Available from: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/37401.37406>.
13. Resnick M. Sowing the seeds for a more creative society. Learn Lead Technol. 2007;35(4):18–22. Available from: <https://web.media.mit.edu/~mres/papers/Learning-Leading.pdf>.
14. Salpasaranis. Introducing Artificial Intelligence (AI), Swarm Intelligence (SI) and Bio-Inspired Algorithms. Salpasaranis K, Stylianakis V, Kotsopoulos ST. Comprehensive taxonomies of nature- and bio-inspired optimization: inspiration versus algorithmic behavior, critical analysis recommendations. Cogn Comput. 2020;12(5):897–939. Available from: https://sci2s.ugr.es/sites/default/files/ficherosPublicaciones/2847_10.1007@s12559-020-09730-8.pdf.
15. Scratch.mit.edu About scratch [Internet]. 2024. Available from: <https://scratch.mit.edu/>.
16. Scratch.mit.edu, Salpasaranis K. Simplified artificial fish swarm algorithm simulation for elementary and junior secondary education [Internet]. 2024 [updated 2024 February 26; cited 2024 July 26]. Available from: <https://scratch.mit.edu/projects/967330607>.
17. Scratch.mit.edu, Salpasaranis K. Simplified artificial fish swarm algorithm simulation for senior secondary education [Internet]. 2024 [updated 2024 February 26; cited 2024 July 26]. Available from: <https://scratch.mit.edu/projects/967331536>. Vol 13 | Issue 3 | August 2024 17
18. Vesterstrom J, Thomsen R. A comparative study of

differential evolution, particle swarm optimization, and evolutionary algorithms on numerical benchmark problems. Proceedings of the 2004 Congress on Evolutionary Computation (IEEE Cat.No.04TH8753), vol. 2, pp. 1980–7, Portland, OR, USA, 2004. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1331139>.