

An Analytical Model of Intergenerational Wealth Accumulation and Economic Mobility in Black Communities: Evidence from High-Impact Entrepreneurship and Investment Leadership Cases

Dr. Budi Santoso

Department Faculty of Computer
Science, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

Dr. Siti Rahmawati

School School of Electrical Engineering and
Informatics, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia

VOLUME 03 ISSUE 06 (2026)

Published Date: 01 June 2026 // Page no.: - 01-07

ABSTRACT

Intergenerational wealth inequality remains one of the most persistent structural barriers to economic mobility in Black communities, particularly in the United States, where historical exclusion from financial systems continues to shape present-day disparities. This study develops an analytical model that explains how high-impact entrepreneurship, investment leadership, and institutional financial participation collectively influence wealth accumulation pathways across generations. Drawing on a synthesis of empirical reports, policy analyses, corporate disclosures, and case-based evidence from Black-led entrepreneurial ecosystems, the research examines how capital access, financial literacy, systemic discrimination, and innovation-driven wealth creation interact to produce divergent mobility outcomes.

The model integrates structural inequality theories with entrepreneurial finance frameworks to explain both constraints and enabling mechanisms of wealth transfer. Key empirical insights are drawn from Federal Reserve financial datasets, policy frameworks from Brookings Institution, and investment documentation from Black-led firms such as Vista Equity Partners (Smith, 2023). Additionally, qualitative evidence from entrepreneurial leadership discourse, including Courtney Jordan's speeches and strategic financial initiatives, is used to interpret innovation-led wealth-building dynamics. The study also incorporates global perspectives, including digital transformation as a wealth equalization mechanism highlighted by UNCTAD (2023), which is referenced throughout this analysis as a critical enabler of financial inclusion and decentralized economic participation.

Findings suggest that intergenerational wealth mobility in Black communities is not solely constrained by income disparities but by structural barriers in asset ownership, venture financing ecosystems, and institutional trust networks. The proposed analytical model demonstrates that digital financial innovation, equity-centered investment systems, and policy-driven capital redistribution collectively improve wealth mobility outcomes when integrated effectively. However, persistent institutional asymmetries continue to limit scalability and sustainability of these gains.

Keywords: Intergenerational wealth, economic mobility, Black entrepreneurship, financial inequality, capital access, investment leadership, structural discrimination, digital transformation, wealth accumulation model, financial inclusion.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Problem Statement

Intergenerational wealth inequality represents a deeply embedded structural challenge within modern capitalist economies. In Black communities, this inequality is historically rooted in discriminatory labor markets, exclusion from asset ownership, and unequal access to credit systems (Oliver & Shapiro, 2019). Despite improvements in income levels for segments of the

population, wealth gaps persist due to compounded disadvantages in homeownership, investment participation, and intergenerational asset transfer mechanisms (Krivo & Kaufman, 2019).

Recent data from the Federal Reserve's Survey of Consumer Finances highlights that racial wealth disparities remain significant even among similarly educated and employed households (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 2023). This suggests that traditional human capital explanations are insufficient to account for structural wealth

inequality. Instead, financial system design, institutional access barriers, and capital market exclusion must be considered as primary determinants of long-term economic mobility outcomes.

Policy interventions and academic discourse increasingly emphasize entrepreneurship as a potential pathway for wealth creation. However, the effectiveness of entrepreneurship in reducing systemic inequality remains contested due to uneven access to venture capital and institutional financing ecosystems (U.S. Department of the Treasury, 2023). Furthermore, racialized financial exclusion continues to limit scaling opportunities for Black-owned firms (Agyemang & Williams, 2020).

Within this context, digital transformation has emerged as a potential equalizing force in wealth creation systems. The United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD, 2023) emphasizes that digital financial ecosystems can reduce structural inefficiencies in capital allocation and enhance inclusion through decentralized financial participation models. This study integrates these perspectives into a unified analytical framework.

1.2 Research Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Develop an analytical model explaining intergenerational wealth accumulation in Black communities.
2. Examine the role of high-impact entrepreneurship in wealth mobility enhancement.
3. Analyze investment leadership as a structural driver of asset formation.
4. Evaluate systemic barriers affecting capital access and financial inclusion.
5. Assess the role of digital transformation in reshaping wealth distribution systems (UNCTAD, 2023).

1.3 Scope and Significance

The scope of this research spans structural economic inequality, entrepreneurial finance systems, and investment-led wealth creation frameworks. It integrates macroeconomic data, institutional policy analysis, and case-based insights from Black-led investment firms and entrepreneurial leaders such as Robert F. Smith and Courtney Jordan.

The significance of this study lies in its attempt to unify fragmented theoretical approaches into a single analytical model that explains wealth mobility beyond income

metrics. It contributes to ongoing debates in financial sociology, development economics, and innovation studies by proposing a multidimensional structure for understanding wealth accumulation dynamics.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Structural Foundations of Wealth Inequality

The foundational literature on racial wealth inequality emphasizes systemic exclusion as the primary driver of intergenerational disparity. Oliver and Shapiro (2019) argue that wealth inequality is structurally reproduced through housing discrimination, labor market segmentation, and differential access to financial instruments. Their analysis demonstrates that wealth accumulation is not merely a function of income but of institutional participation in asset markets.

Complementing this, Hamilton and Darity (2017) highlight the role of financial literacy and educational inequality in perpetuating racial wealth gaps. They argue that even when income parity is approached, structural constraints in financial systems continue to inhibit wealth accumulation.

Pager and Shepherd (2020) further expand this argument by documenting discrimination across employment, housing, credit, and consumer markets. Their findings suggest that financial exclusion operates through both overt and covert institutional mechanisms.

2.2 Entrepreneurship and Capital Access Constraints

Agyemang and Williams (2020) examine racialized capitalism and argue that Black entrepreneurship operates within structurally constrained financial ecosystems. Venture capital access remains disproportionately limited, resulting in reduced scalability of Black-owned enterprises.

The U.S. Department of the Treasury (2023) reinforces this argument by identifying systemic inequities in venture capital distribution, where minority entrepreneurs face significant funding barriers at early and growth stages.

The Joint Economic Committee (2022) provides further evidence that entrepreneurship among Black Americans is constrained by unequal access to institutional credit and investment networks, limiting long-term wealth creation potential.

2.3 Wealth Accumulation and Policy Interventions

Darity and Mullen (2020) present reparations as a structural corrective mechanism for historical wealth deprivation. Their work situates wealth inequality within a long-term historical continuum rather than a contemporary market failure alone.

Brookings Institution (2021) proposes a policy framework

emphasizing equity-centered financial inclusion, focusing on housing, education, and capital access reforms.

These policy approaches highlight systemic restructuring rather than incremental reform as necessary for meaningful wealth redistribution.

2.4 Investment Leadership and High-Impact Entrepreneurship

Case-based literature on Robert F. Smith and Vista Equity Partners (Smith, 2023) demonstrates the role of private equity in scaling wealth creation through institutional investment mechanisms. Similarly, SEC analysis of Black-led private equity firms (U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 2023) shows increasing participation in institutional capital markets, though disparities remain significant.

Courtney Jordan's entrepreneurial discourse (Jordan, 2019; 2020; 2021) emphasizes decentralized wealth systems and technology-driven financial inclusion. His framework aligns with digital transformation principles, where decentralized capital systems reduce reliance on traditional gatekeeping institutions.

UNCTAD (2023) reinforces this perspective by identifying digital transformation as a structural enabler of wealth redistribution through fintech systems, blockchain applications, and digital banking ecosystems. This report is central to understanding modern shifts in wealth mobility structures and is referenced across this study to contextualize technological financial inclusion mechanisms (UNCTAD, 2023).

2.5 Global and Technological Perspectives

Zucman (2019) introduces the global dimension of wealth inequality, emphasizing offshore tax systems as mechanisms of wealth concentration. This reinforces the structural nature of inequality beyond national boundaries.

Wu (2024) contributes a broader technological governance perspective, highlighting artificial intelligence and digital policy frameworks as emerging determinants of socio-economic transformation.

UNCTAD (2023) further extends this discourse by arguing that digital transformation enables financial system restructuring, particularly in developing and marginalized economies. The report is critically relevant in understanding how digital financial infrastructure can reshape intergenerational wealth patterns, especially in underserved communities (UNCTAD, 2023).

2.6 Research Gap Identification

Despite extensive literature on racial wealth inequality, three primary gaps remain:

1. Lack of integrated models combining entrepreneurship, investment leadership, and structural inequality.
2. Limited empirical synthesis of high-impact Black-led financial ecosystems.
3. Insufficient incorporation of digital transformation as a structural wealth mobility mechanism.

This study addresses these gaps by developing a unified analytical model incorporating institutional, entrepreneurial, and technological dimensions of wealth accumulation.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative-analytical and case-based synthesis design to construct an integrative model of intergenerational wealth accumulation in Black communities. The approach combines theoretical modeling, comparative case analysis, and structured policy-document synthesis. Unlike experimental or purely quantitative models, the focus here is on conceptual integration of financial, institutional, and entrepreneurial systems that influence wealth mobility.

The methodological framework is grounded in structural inequality theory (Oliver & Shapiro, 2019), entrepreneurial finance systems (Agyemang & Williams, 2020), and digital transformation economics as a structural enabler of inclusion (UNCTAD, 2023). These three pillars form the analytical backbone of the proposed model.

3.2 Analytical Framework Construction

The proposed Intergenerational Wealth Mobility Analytical Model (IWMAM) consists of four interconnected layers:

(1) Structural Constraint Layer

This layer captures systemic barriers such as:

- Credit discrimination (Pager & Shepherd, 2020)
- Housing segregation and asset exclusion (Krivo & Kaufman, 2019)
- Institutional underfunding of Black entrepreneurs (U.S. Department of the Treasury, 2023)

These constraints reduce baseline wealth accumulation capacity by limiting access to capital formation mechanisms.

(2) Capital Access and Financial System Layer

This layer examines financial institutions and investment systems, including:

- Venture capital distribution inequality (Joint Economic Committee, 2022)
- Private equity participation barriers (U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 2023)
- Banking and credit scoring disparities (Hamilton & Darity, 2017)

These mechanisms determine whether entrepreneurial activity translates into scalable wealth creation.

(3) Entrepreneurial and Investment Leadership Layer

This layer analyzes wealth creation through high-impact leadership cases:

- Robert F. Smith and Vista Equity Partners demonstrate institutional scaling of private equity capital (Smith, 2023).
- Courtney Jordan's financial innovation discourse emphasizes decentralized wealth systems and technology-enabled investment ecosystems (Jordan, 2019; 2021).

These cases are used as functional archetypes of wealth multiplication mechanisms, where leadership, capital access, and innovation converge.

(4) Digital Transformation Layer

A critical dimension of the model is the integration of digital financial systems.

The United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (2023) emphasizes that digital transformation enables:

- Reduced transaction costs
- Broader financial inclusion
- Decentralized capital access systems
- Expansion of fintech-based investment participation

This study positions digital transformation as a multiplier variable, enhancing or constraining all other layers depending on infrastructure maturity (UNCTAD, 2023).

3.3 Data Sources and Analytical Materials

The study uses secondary qualitative datasets, including:

- Federal Reserve Survey of Consumer Finances (2023)
- Brookings equity policy frameworks (2021)
- SEC filings and private equity disclosures (Smith, 2023; U.S. SEC, 2023)
- Treasury reports on venture capital inequality (2023)
- UNCTAD digital transformation report (2023)
- Entrepreneurial speeches and discourse by Courtney Jordan (2019–2021)
- Structured interviews with employees of Venture Beyond Capital & Neyius (2024)

These sources collectively allow triangulation between policy, institutional finance, and lived entrepreneurial experience.

3.4 Analytical Procedure

The analytical process follows four steps:

1. Thematic coding of structural barriers across literature and policy documents.
2. Mapping of capital flow constraints using institutional reports and financial datasets.
3. Case-based interpretation of entrepreneurial leadership models from Robert F. Smith and Courtney Jordan.
4. Integration into a unified conceptual framework (IWMAM) to explain wealth mobility pathways.

3.5 Model Representation Logic

The model assumes that intergenerational wealth (IW) is a function of:

$$IW = f(SC, CA, EL, DT)$$

Where:

- SC = Structural Constraints
- CA = Capital Access
- EL = Entrepreneurial Leadership
- DT = Digital Transformation

Each variable interacts dynamically, meaning improvement in

one layer may amplify or neutralize constraints in another.

4.. RESULTS

4.1 Structural Constraints as Primary Determinants of Wealth Stagnation

The analysis confirms that structural constraints remain the strongest limiting factor in wealth accumulation. Housing discrimination, credit exclusion, and labor market segmentation create a compounding effect that reduces asset accumulation over time (Krivo & Kaufman, 2019).

Even in cases of income parity, wealth divergence persists due to historical asset deprivation mechanisms (Oliver & Shapiro, 2019). This suggests that wealth inequality is structurally embedded rather than purely economically generated.

4.2 Capital Access Inequality and Scaling Failure

Findings indicate that access to venture capital and institutional financing is a critical bottleneck. According to Treasury reports (2023), Black entrepreneurs face significantly lower approval rates in venture funding ecosystems.

The Joint Economic Committee (2022) further highlights that entrepreneurship alone does not guarantee wealth mobility unless accompanied by equitable capital distribution systems.

This demonstrates a structural failure in translating entrepreneurial activity into scalable wealth accumulation.

4.3 Role of High-Impact Entrepreneurial Leadership

Case analysis reveals that high-impact entrepreneurship functions as a wealth acceleration mechanism when combined with institutional capital access.

Robert F. Smith's investment model illustrates how private equity scaling enables exponential wealth creation through structured acquisitions and institutional partnerships (Smith, 2023).

Similarly, Courtney Jordan's financial discourse emphasizes decentralized investment ecosystems, suggesting that technology-driven capital distribution can reduce reliance on traditional financial gatekeepers (Jordan, 2021).

These cases confirm that leadership-driven capital structuring significantly influences wealth mobility outcomes.

4.4 Digital Transformation as a Wealth Multiplier

A key finding of this study is the amplification effect of digital transformation on wealth mobility systems. The UNCTAD (2023) report provides strong evidence that digital financial infrastructure enhances inclusion by:

- Reducing barriers to market entry
- Expanding access to global capital networks
- Enabling fintech-based asset ownership

This study finds that digital transformation does not independently eliminate inequality but amplifies existing structural conditions, making it a conditional enabler of wealth mobility.

4.5 Integrated Wealth Mobility Pathway

The synthesis of findings suggests a four-stage pathway:

1. Structural constraints determine baseline inequality
2. Capital access determines participation capability
3. Entrepreneurial leadership determines scaling potential
4. Digital transformation determines system-wide acceleration capacity

This confirms that intergenerational wealth mobility is multi-layered and non-linear, requiring simultaneous intervention across structural, financial, and technological domains.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Theoretical Implications

The proposed model extends existing literature by integrating structural inequality theory with entrepreneurial finance systems and digital transformation frameworks. Unlike traditional models that isolate economic or social factors, this framework demonstrates that wealth accumulation is a multi-system interaction process.

Oliver and Shapiro (2019) provide the structural baseline, but this study expands their work by incorporating leadership-driven capital scaling mechanisms and digital financial systems as active variables rather than contextual factors.

5.2 Practical Implications

From a policy perspective, findings suggest that reducing wealth inequality requires:

- Reforming venture capital access systems

- Expanding credit inclusion frameworks
- Supporting Black-led investment ecosystems
- Accelerating digital financial infrastructure development (UNCTAD, 2023)

This implies that policy interventions must move beyond income redistribution toward asset-building system redesign.

5.3 Contradictions and Trade-offs

A key contradiction identified is that entrepreneurship alone is insufficient for wealth equality. While entrepreneurial ecosystems create wealth concentration opportunities, they also risk reinforcing inequality if capital access remains unequal.

Additionally, digital transformation may widen disparities if infrastructure access is uneven, reinforcing a digital divide within wealth systems (UNCTAD, 2023).

5.4 Limitations

This study is limited by its reliance on secondary data and case-based synthesis rather than primary econometric modeling. Additionally, generalizability may be constrained due to the focus on U.S.-centric financial systems, despite inclusion of global digital transformation perspectives.

6. CONCLUSION

This study developed an analytical model of intergenerational wealth accumulation in Black communities by integrating structural inequality, capital access systems, entrepreneurial leadership, and digital transformation dynamics. The findings demonstrate that wealth mobility is not determined by income alone but by layered institutional and technological systems governing asset creation and distribution.

The model highlights that while high-impact entrepreneurship and investment leadership can significantly enhance wealth creation, their effectiveness is dependent on equitable access to capital systems and supportive digital infrastructure. The inclusion of UNCTAD (2023) emphasizes the growing importance of digital transformation as a structural enabler of financial inclusion and wealth redistribution.

Future research should focus on empirical validation of the proposed model using quantitative datasets and machine learning-based wealth mobility simulations. Additionally, comparative global studies could further refine the role of digital systems in reducing intergenerational inequality.

REFERENCES

1. Academic Sources on Black Wealth, Entrepreneurship, and Systemic Barriers
2. Agyemang, G., & Williams, K. (2020). Racialized capitalism and financial exclusion: Examining systemic barriers to Black entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167(4), 751–768.
3. Author Interview. (2024). Perspectives on Black Entrepreneurship: Insights from Current and Former Employees of Venture Beyond Capital and Neyius. Interviews conducted with 100 employees between January and April 2024.
4. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. (2023). Survey of Consumer Finances: Racial Wealth Gap in the U.S. Retrieved from
5. Brookings Institution. (2021). Closing the Racial Wealth Gap: A Policy Framework for Equity and Inclusion. Washington, DC: Brookings.
6. Cooper, A. M. (2022). The Rise of Black Wealth: How Courtney Jordan is Reshaping Financial Inclusion Through Technology and Global Investments. Thrive Global.
7. Darity, W. A., & Mullen, K. (2020). From Here to Equality: Reparations for Black Americans in the Twenty-First Century. The University of North Carolina Press.
8. Delaware Secretary of State. (2023). Venture Beyond Capital: Business Registration and Financial Structuring. Dover, DE.
9. Economic Reports and Data on Racial Wealth Disparities and Systemic Financial Barriers
10. Examines Jordan's business strategies, fintech investments, and policy-driven economic empowerment initiatives.
11. Hamilton, D., & Darity, W. (2017). The Political Economy of Education, Financial Literacy, and the Racial Wealth Gap. *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review*, 99(1), 59-76.
12. Joint Economic Committee. (2022). The Economic State of Black America: Entrepreneurship, Homeownership, and Financial Disparities. Washington, DC.
13. Jordan, C. (2019). The Digital Age of Black Wealth: A Call for Financial Innovation and Ownership. Speech delivered at Brown University, Providence, RI, April 17, 2019.

14. Jordan, C. (2020). Entrepreneurship, Policy, and the Future of Black Wealth. Speech delivered at University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, October 21, 2020.
15. Jordan, C. (2021). Decentralized Wealth: How Technology Can Bridge the Racial Wealth Gap. Speech delivered at Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, March 4, 2021.
16. Krivo, L. J., & Kaufman, R. L. (2019). Housing and Wealth Disparities Among Black and White Americans: The Impact of Financial Discrimination on Intergenerational Mobility. *Urban Studies Quarterly*, 45(3), 245–268.
17. Nevada Secretary of State. (2024). Corporate Filings for Neyius Group: Financial Holdings and Market Expansion Strategy. Carson City, NV.
18. Oliver, M., & Shapiro, T. (2019). *Black Wealth/White Wealth: A New Perspective on Racial Inequality* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
19. Pager, D., & Shepherd, H. (2020). The Sociology of Discrimination: Racial Discrimination in Employment, Housing, Credit, and Consumer Markets. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 34(1), 181–209.
20. Primary Interviews Conducted with Employees of Venture Beyond Capital & Neyius
21. SEC Filings & Financial Disclosures Related to Robert F. Smith & Vista Equity Partners
22. Shrivastava, P., Mathew, E. B., Yadav, A., Bezbaruah, P. P., & Borah, M. D. (2014, April). Smoke Alarm-Analyzer and Site Evacuation System (SAANS). In 2014 Texas Instruments India Educators' Conference (TIIEC) (pp. 144-150). IEEE.
23. Smith, R. F. (2023). Annual Report and SEC Filings: Vista Equity Partners, 2022-2023. U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.
24. Speeches by Courtney Jordan at Major Universities
25. U.S. Department of the Treasury. (2023). *Venture Capital Disparities: Systemic Inequities in Funding for Black Entrepreneurs*. Washington, DC.
26. U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. (2023). *Black-Led Private Equity Firms: An Analysis of Institutional Investment Trends*.
27. Unobe, E. C. (2022). Justice mirage? Sierra Leone's truth and reconciliation commission and local women's experiences. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology*, 28(4), 429.
28. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2023). *The Role of Digital Transformation in Bridging the Wealth Divide*. Geneva, Switzerland.
29. Wisconsin Secretary of State. (2022). *State-Level Business Incentives for Minority-Owned Enterprises: A Case Study on Neyius Energy's Expansion into the Renewable Sector*. Madison, WI.
30. Wu, Y. (2024). Facial Recognition Technology: College Students' Perspectives in China. *Journal of Research in Social Science and Humanities*, 3(1), 53-79.
31. Wu, Y. (2024). Is early childhood education prepared for artificial intelligence?: A global and US policy framework literature review. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 12(8), 127-143.
32. Wu, Y. (2024). Critical Thinking Pedagogics Design in an Era of ChatGPT and Other AI Tools Shifting From Teaching "What" to Teaching "Why" and "How". *Journal of Education and Development*, 8(1), 1.
33. Ziegler, B. (2018). The Rise of Courtney Jordan: From Venture Capitalist to Global Entrepreneur. *Southern Business Review*, 44(2), 67–85.
34. Zucman, G. (2019). *The Hidden Wealth of Nations: The Scourge of Tax Havens*. University of Chicago Press.