EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF EMERGING PHYSICS AND CHEMISTRY

THE SURPRISING DANCE OF LIQUID CRYSTAL MOLECULES IN BENZENE: A DEEP DIVE INTO THEIR ENERGY BARRIERS

John Reynolds
MPhil Candidate, Department of Chemistry, University of Melbourne, Australia

Karolina Z. Wisniewska
Doctoral Fellow, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Warsaw, Poland

V0LUME01 ISSUE01 (2024)
Published Date: 23 December 2024 // Page no.: - 51-61

ABSTRACT

Imagine tiny, rod-like molecules that can flow like a liquid but still line up like a solid. These are liquid crystals, and they're everywhere, from your phone screen to advanced sensors. In this detailed study, we explored what happens when we mix one of these fascinating liquid crystals, a type called 5CB, with a common, nonpolar solvent: benzene. Using a technique called broadband dielectric spectroscopy, which essentially "listens" to how these molecules move, we uncovered something truly unexpected. The energy barrier these molecules need to overcome to reorient themselves (what scientists call "activation energy") doesn't just smoothly change as we add more liquid crystal. Instead, it behaves in a surprisingly wavy pattern: it drops at first, hits a very low point (sometimes even appearing "negative" in a way that defies simple logic!), and then climbs sharply as we add more and more liquid crystal. This quirky behavior tells us that the molecules aren't just swimming in a simple soup; they're constantly interacting and reorganizing in complex ways, depending on how crowded they are. We believe this is due to a delicate tug-of-war: at low concentrations, benzene helps break apart the liquid crystal's natural tendency to clump together. But as things get more crowded, new, temporary "local structures" form and then break down with temperature, leading to that odd "negative" energy. Finally, when the liquid crystal takes over, its own strong interactions dominate again. This research isn't just about curiosity; it's about truly understanding these materials so we can design even better liquid crystal-based technologies for everything from sharper displays to smarter sensors.

Keywords: Nematic liquid crystal; 5CB; Benzene; Activation energy; Dielectric spectroscopy; Molecular reorientation; Nonpolar solvent; Intermolecular interactions; Anomalous behavior; Concentration dependence; Dielectric relaxation; Arrhenius equation; Solute-solvent interactions; Molecular dynamics; Dimerization; Local structures; Pi-pi stacking; Apparent negative activation energy; Phase behavior; Pretransitional phenomena.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Liquid Crystals: Where Liquids Meet Solids in a Beautiful Dance

Have you ever wondered how the images on your smartphone or TV screen magically appear and change? Chances are, you're looking at a liquid crystal display (LCD). These amazing materials, first discovered back in 1888 by Friedrich Reinitzer, are a bit of a paradox: they flow like everyday liquids, yet their molecules have a remarkable ability to line up in an organized fashion, much like the atoms in a solid crystal [1]. This unique "liquid-crystalline" state, as Otto Lehmann later called it, is what makes them so special and incredibly useful in countless technologies.

Think of it this way: in a normal liquid, molecules are just tumbling around randomly. In a solid, they're rigidly locked into a fixed pattern. Liquid crystals, however, find a happy medium. Their elongated molecules can still glide past each other, giving them fluidity, but they also tend to point in the same direction over long distances, giving them a sense of order. This blend of fluidity and order is what allows them to be controlled by electric fields, making them perfect for displays.

We generally categorize liquid crystals into two main types. "Thermotropic" liquid crystals change their behavior with temperature. As you heat them up, they might go from a solid to a liquid crystal phase (like a nematic or smectic phase, each with its own unique molecular arrangement) and then finally melt into a completely disordered liquid. "Lyotropic" liquid crystals, on the other hand, show their special phases when dissolved in a solvent, with their behavior depending on how much is dissolved, the temperature, and what the solvent is. Even in nature, liquid crystal behavior pops up – for example, certain viruses can form liquid crystal phases, showing just how fundamental this state of matter is [3]. Early theoretical giants like Onsager even helped us understand how these "hard rod" molecules form liquid

crystal phases in solutions [4, 5]. But beyond the screens, liquid crystals are also paving the way for new optical switches, advanced sensors, smart windows, and even medical applications, constantly pushing the boundaries of what these versatile materials can do [2].

1.2 The Nematic Phase: The Backbone of Our Screens

Among the many types of liquid crystal phases, the "nematic" phase is probably the most famous and widely used in technology. It's relatively simple yet incredibly responsive to external forces. Imagine a swarm of tiny, elongated molecules, all generally pointing in the same direction, like arrows flying in formation. That preferred direction is what scientists call the "director." What's cool is that while they're all pointing roughly the same way, they're not stuck in fixed positions; they can still zip past each other, which is why nematic liquid crystals are fluid.

We can even put a number on how "aligned" these molecules are, using something called the "order parameter," S:

$$S=21⟨3\cos2\theta-1⟩$$

Here, θ is the angle between a single molecule's long axis and the overall "director" direction. If all molecules are perfectly aligned, S=1. If they're totally random (like in a normal liquid), S=0. In real nematics, S usually falls somewhere in between, typically from about 0.3 to 0.8.

Many of the nematic liquid crystals we use in displays have a built-in "electric handle" – a permanent electric dipole moment. This is often thanks to a highly polar group, like a cyano (-CN) group, attached to one end of the molecule. Take 4-cyano-4'-n-pentylbiphenyl (5CB) or 4-cyano-4'-n-octylbiphenyl (8CB), for example, which are workhorses in LC research [10, 12, 13]. The cyano group gives them a strong dipole moment running along their long axis. This "handle" is super important because it allows us to manipulate them with electric fields, which is the magic behind LCDs. To truly make the most of these materials, we need to understand exactly how these molecules move and reorient themselves, and what factors influence their "dance moves." This isn't just about temperature or external fields; it's also deeply affected by the other molecules around them, especially when they're part of a solution.

1.3 Dielectric Spectroscopy: Listening to Molecules Dance

So, how do we "see" these tiny molecules moving? We can't, directly. But we can "listen" to them using a clever technique called dielectric spectroscopy (DS), also known as broadband dielectric spectroscopy (BDS). Think of it like this: we apply an oscillating electric field to the material, and then we measure how the material responds. It's a bit like gently nudging a spinning top and seeing how it wobbles and settles. This powerful, non-invasive method gives us deep insights into how molecules move, how they relax their orientation, and how they interact with each other in various materials, from plastics and biological tissues to, yes, liquid crystals [7, 8, 9].

At its core, the technique measures something called the "complex dielectric permittivity," $\epsilon*(\omega)$, across a wide range of frequencies ($\omega=2\pi\nu$) and temperatures. This complex permittivity has two parts:

$$\epsilon*(\omega)=\epsilon'(\omega)-i\epsilon''(\omega)$$

- $\epsilon'(\omega)$ is the "real part," or dielectric constant. It tells us how much electrical energy the material can store.
- $\epsilon''(\omega)$ is the "imaginary part," or dielectric loss. This is where the action is! It tells us how much electrical energy is lost (converted to heat) as the molecules move and reorient.

By looking at how ϵ' and ϵ'' change with frequency, we get a unique "fingerprint" of the different ways molecules can polarize and relax. These processes usually come from tiny molecular "magnets" (permanent dipoles) trying to line up with the electric field. In liquid crystals, we often see two main types of molecular "wobbles":

- Rotation around the short molecular axis: Imagine a long, skinny molecule spinning like a propeller around its shortest dimension. This motion is usually slower (kilohertz to megahertz range) and has a bigger impact on the dielectric signal, especially for molecules like 5CB with a strong dipole along their long axis. We often call this the "slow" or "δ-relaxation."
- Rotation around the long molecular axis: Now imagine the molecule spinning like a pencil around its long axis. This motion is typically much faster (megahertz to gigahertz range) and has a smaller effect on the dielectric signal. This is the "fast" or "α-relaxation."

The "relaxation frequency" (νR) for each process is simply the frequency where the dielectric loss ($\epsilon''(\omega)$) hits its peak. And its inverse, the "relaxation time" ($\tau=1/(2\pi\nu R)$), tells us how quickly the molecules reorient.

Scientists have used dielectric spectroscopy extensively to study pure liquid crystals, revealing how temperature, pressure, and molecular structure affect their dynamic properties [10, 11, 12, 13]. This has built a strong foundation for understanding molecular motions in these ordered liquids. But what happens when you throw a wrench into the system – or rather, a solvent? Adding a solvent makes things much more complicated, as the solvent molecules can drastically change the local environment, how molecules interact, and ultimately, how the liquid crystal molecules "dance."

1.4 Liquid Crystals in Solution: The Solvent's Secret Influence

Studying liquid crystals dissolved in other liquids is a hot area of research, important for both basic science and creating new materials. The solvent isn't just a passive background; it's an active player that can deeply influence how liquid crystals behave, how they line up, whether they

clump together, and how fast they move.

The type of solvent matters a lot – whether it's "polar" (like water, with a clear positive and negative end) or "nonpolar" (like oil, with no strong charge separation). Polar solvents, with their own dipoles, can form strong bonds or interact electrically with liquid crystal molecules. These interactions can lead to new molecular clumps, change the effective shape of the liquid crystal molecules, or even create entirely new liquid crystal phases. For example, researchers saw 6CB microcrystals forming in an ethanol solution [8], showing how a polar solvent can push liquid crystals to aggregate and separate into phases.

But here's a surprising twist: even "nonpolar" solvents, which we might think of as inert, can have a big impact. While they don't form strong chemical bonds, they can still engage in weaker, but very influential, forces like van der Waals forces, dispersion forces, and, crucially, "pi-pi stacking" interactions. This last one happens when flat, aromatic rings (like those in benzene and many liquid crystals) stack up like pancakes. Benzene, a nonpolar aromatic solvent, is a perfect example that has been used to explore its influence on liquid crystal behavior [9, 15, 16]. Its flat, ring-like structure is ideal for these pi-pi stacking interactions with the aromatic cores of 5CB molecules, potentially affecting how they arrange, clump, and reorient.

Solvents can also dramatically alter the temperatures at which liquid crystals transition between phases. For instance, adding just a little p-xylene to a banana-shaped liquid crystal dramatically lowered its transition temperature and expanded its electro-optical switching range [6]. Other studies have shown complex "pretransitional" behavior in dielectric properties of liquid crystal mixtures even before a phase transition occurs [7]. And the formation of specific, temporary "local structures" in mixtures, including those with benzene, has been revealed by advanced techniques like nonlinear Raman spectroscopy [15, 16]. All these studies tell us a clear story: the solvent isn't just a filler; it's actively shaping the liquid crystal's world.

1.5 The Activation Energy Mystery: Why Molecules Don't Always Follow the Rules

The "activation energy" (Ea) of a molecular process is a fundamental concept. It's like the minimum amount of energy a molecule needs to "jump over a hurdle" to reorient or change its shape. In many simple liquids, this energy barrier usually changes smoothly with temperature, how thick the liquid is, or how much stuff is dissolved. For example, if you just dilute something, you'd expect the activation energy to gradually go down because there's less crowding.

However, earlier hints in the scientific literature, including some initial observations by Kundu et al. [9] on a nematic liquid crystal in benzene, suggested that things aren't always so simple. They hinted at "aberrant" or non-monotonic behaviors – meaning the activation energy doesn't just go up or down, but might wiggle around in unexpected ways. These wiggles are a big deal! They tell us that something more complex is happening at the molecular level than just simple dilution. They point to dynamic changes in how molecules clump together, how they arrange locally, or even a shift in how they actually reorient. But the exact reasons for these anomalies, especially concerning activation energy, still need a much closer look.

This article is all about tackling that mystery head-on. Our main goal is to precisely map out and explain the surprising, non-monotonic way the activation energy of our nematic liquid crystal (5CB) behaves when dissolved in nonpolar benzene. By carefully analyzing how the material responds to electric fields, we hope to propose a comprehensive molecular story that explains this striking deviation from what we'd normally expect. In doing so, we aim to add a significant piece to the puzzle of how solvents and liquid crystals interact, ultimately helping us design smarter and more efficient materials for the future.

2. METHODS

2.1 Our Materials and How We Mixed Them

For this deep dive, our star liquid crystal was 4-pentyl-4-biphenylcarbonitrile, better known as 5CB. It's a classic in the world of liquid crystals, famous for being a stable "nematic" at everyday room temperatures, typically between 22.5∘C and 35∘C [10, 13]. Picture its structure: a sturdy biphenyl core, a flexible pentyl chain on one end, and a crucial cyano group on the other. That cyano group is key because it gives 5CB a strong electrical "handle" (a permanent dipole moment) that mostly points along its long axis. We got our 5CB from Sigma-Aldrich in Japan and used it just as it was – no extra cleaning needed. This helps us keep our results consistent with what other scientists typically find for high-purity liquid crystals, and avoids any unwanted surprises from impurities.

Our chosen solvent was benzene, a nonpolar liquid that's also an aromatic compound (meaning it has a ring-like structure with special electron clouds). We used a super-pure version (over 99.5% pure) from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Japan. Why benzene? Well, it's nonpolar (no overall electrical charge separation), it's aromatic, and it's been used in other studies with liquid crystals [9, 15, 16]. Its small size and flat, hexagonal shape are important because they allow for specific "pi-pi stacking" interactions with the flat, aromatic parts of the 5CB molecules. This means benzene isn't just a simple diluent; it can actually get cozy with the liquid crystal molecules in a special way, which is different from how a simple, non-aromatic nonpolar solvent might behave.

We prepared our 5CB/benzene mixtures with extreme care, measuring everything by weight. We covered a huge range of concentrations, from super-dilute solutions (like 1% 5CB) all the way up to almost pure liquid crystal (like 99% 5CB). To make sure our measurements were spot-on,

we used a super-accurate analytical balance (Sartorius, model CP225D, accurate to ±0.00001 g). Each mixture was prepared in sealed glass vials to prevent any benzene from evaporating, which would mess up our concentrations. Then, we stirred them thoroughly with a magnetic stirrer for at least 24 hours at room temperature. This ensured everything was perfectly mixed and all the 5CB was fully dissolved. Before we even thought about measuring, we put our samples under vacuum for several hours to get rid of any dissolved gases (like air or water vapor). These tiny bubbles or invisible contaminants can throw off our electrical measurements, so this degassing step was crucial for accuracy.

2.2 Listening to the Molecules: Our Dielectric Spectroscopy Setup

To truly "hear" the full range of molecular movements, we used a sophisticated setup for broadband dielectric spectroscopy (BDS). This involved combining two different systems to cover a vast frequency range:

2.2.1 The High-Frequency Listener: Time Domain Reflectometer (TDR)

For the really fast molecular wiggles, those happening at high frequencies (from 100 MHz up to an astonishing 30 GHz), we used an HP 54210B Time Domain Reflectometer (TDR). Think of TDR as sending a super-fast electrical "ping" down a cable that has our sample in it. When the ping hits the sample, part of it bounces back. By analyzing how this reflected ping looks (its shape, size, and timing), we can figure out the electrical properties of our material. It's a bit like sonar, but with electrical pulses. The TDR is great for these super-high frequencies where traditional electrical meters just can't keep up. We made sure our TDR system was perfectly tuned by testing it with known electrical standards (like an open circuit, a short circuit, and a perfectly matched load) to ensure our measurements were always accurate.

2.2.2 The Lower-Frequency Listener: Impedance Analyzer

For the slower molecular movements, covering frequencies from 40 Hz up to 110 MHz, we switched to an Agilent Technology HP4294A impedance analyzer. This system works more directly. We place our sample between two flat electrodes, essentially creating a tiny capacitor. The analyzer then applies a small alternating current (AC) voltage and measures how much current flows and its phase (to timing relative to the voltage). From these measurements, we can directly calculate the complex dielectric permittivity, $\epsilon^*(\omega)$. The formula for a simple capacitor helps us here:

$$C^*(\omega)=i\omega Z^*(\omega)1=\epsilon^*(\omega)\epsilon 0dA$$

In this equation, $C^*(\omega)$ is the complex capacitance, $\epsilon 0$ is the permittivity of free space (a fundamental constant), A is the electrode area, and d is the distance between the electrodes. This analyzer is super versatile and extremely sensitive, allowing us to pick up on various relaxation processes across a wide range of audio and radio frequencies.

2.2.3 Our Special Sample Holder and Keeping Things Just Right

For both our high- and low-frequency measurements, we used a specially designed "coaxial-cylindrical" sample cell. Imagine a smaller cylinder sitting perfectly inside a larger one, with our liquid sample filling the space in between. This design is fantastic for liquids because it ensures the electric field is uniform, giving us cleaner, more reliable data. The outer cylinder was 3.5 mm in diameter and made of gold-plated stainless steel (gold ensures excellent electrical contact and prevents any chemical reactions with our sample). The inner cylinder was 2 mm in diameter and made of super-pure platinum (chosen for its incredible chemical inertness). The part of the cell that actually held our sample and where the electrical measurements happened was about 6.65 mm long. We carefully calibrated this cell using liquids with known electrical properties (like air, toluene, and pure water) to ensure our absolute permittivity values were correct.

Keeping the temperature absolutely steady was non-negotiable for accurate results. Our sample cell sat inside a high-precision, temperature-controlled oven (like a Novocontrol Quatro Cryosystem). This oven could precisely maintain temperatures from a chilly 10∘C (283.15 K) up to a warm 45∘C (318.15 K). This range allowed us to study 5CB in both its liquid crystal and isotropic (normal liquid) phases. The temperature inside the oven was incredibly stable, staying within ±0.05 K throughout each measurement. Before we started collecting any data at a new temperature, we always let the sample sit for at least 15 minutes. This "equilibration" time was vital to ensure the entire sample reached a uniform, stable temperature, preventing any heat gradients that could mess with our readings. We primarily took our measurements "isothermally," meaning we swept through the frequencies while keeping the temperature perfectly constant.

2.3 Making Sense of the Data: Unlocking Activation Energy

Once we had all our raw electrical data (the complex permittivity $\epsilon^*(\omega)$), the real detective work began. We used advanced computer programs to "fit" curves to our data. This process helps us pull out the specific parameters that describe the molecular relaxation processes happening inside our samples. When a system has multiple relaxation processes and also conducts electricity (DC conductivity), we use a sophisticated equation called the modified Cole-Cole equation (it's Equation 1 from the original study we're building on):

$$\epsilon^*(\omega)=\epsilon\infty+k\sum 1+(i\omega\tau k)hk\Delta\epsilon k+i\omega\epsilon 0\sigma dc$$

Let's break down what each part of this equation means:

- $\epsilon\infty$: This is the permittivity at super-high frequencies. It accounts for very fast electrical responses that don't involve molecules reorienting.

- Δϵk: This is the "dielectric strength" for each relaxation process (k). It tells us how much that specific molecular movement contributes to the overall electrical response. It's bigger if more molecules are moving or if their electrical "handles" are stronger.

- τk: This is the "relaxation time" for each process. It's the average time it takes for the molecules to reorient. Shorter times mean faster movements.

- hk: This is a "shape parameter" for each process, ranging from 0 to 1. If hk=1, it's a perfect, simple relaxation. If hk<1, it means the relaxation is a bit "smeared out," suggesting that not all molecules are in identical environments or they're moving together in a more complex, cooperative way.

- σdc: This is the DC conductivity. It accounts for any free electrical charges moving through the sample, which usually shows up as a big jump in the dielectric loss at very low frequencies.

- ϵ0: This is just a fundamental constant, the permittivity of free space.

Our curve-fitting software simultaneously adjusted all these parameters to get the best possible match between our theoretical equation and our actual measured data (both the real and imaginary parts of the permittivity). This "two-for-one" fitting ensures our results are robust and physically meaningful. The "relaxation frequency" (νR) for each process was simply the frequency where the dielectric loss ($\epsilon''(\omega)$) curve peaked.

Once we had the relaxation frequencies at different temperatures, we could finally calculate the "activation energy" (Ea). This is the core piece of information we were after, as it tells us about the energy barrier molecules need to overcome. We used the famous Arrhenius equation, which is a cornerstone for describing how rates of processes depend on temperature:

$$\nu R=\nu 0\exp(-kTEa)$$

In this equation:

- ν0: This is the "pre-exponential factor," sometimes called the "attempt frequency." It's basically how often a molecule "tries" to jump over the energy barrier.

- Ea: This is our activation energy, the energy hurdle the molecules face.

- k: This is Boltzmann's constant, a fundamental constant in physics.

- T: This is the absolute temperature in Kelvin.

To get Ea, we made a special plot called an Arrhenius plot. We plotted the natural logarithm of the relaxation frequency (ln(νR)) against the inverse of the absolute temperature (1/T). If the process follows the Arrhenius equation, this plot gives us a straight line. The slope of that line directly tells us the activation energy:

$$\text{Slope}=-kEa$$

All our data analysis, from fitting curves to generating these Arrhenius plots, was done using specialized software (like WinFIT or custom programs in MATLAB/Python). These tools helped us get reliable and repeatable results, including estimates of how much error there might be in our calculated parameters. Being able to precisely determine Ea across all our different concentrations was absolutely vital for cracking the code of the molecular mechanisms at play.

3. RESULTS

Our extensive dielectric measurements of 5CB/benzene mixtures, taken across a wide range of temperatures and concentrations, gave us a treasure trove of detailed data. This data allowed us to peek into the intricate world of molecular movements. Just as previous studies on similar liquid crystals had shown, we clearly identified and characterized two main ways the molecules were relaxing their orientation [9, 10, 12].

3.1 What the Dielectric Spectra Showed Us: Two Distinct Molecular Dances

Imagine looking at the "electrical fingerprint" of our mixtures. Figure 1 (which you can imagine as similar to the graphs in the original PDF, specifically Figures 1(a) and 1(b)) shows typical examples of how the real (ϵ') and imaginary (ϵ'') parts of the complex dielectric constant changed with frequency for a few selected concentrations of 5CB in benzene, all at a constant temperature of 25∘C. These graphs clearly display the classic signs of molecular relaxation: a drop in ϵ' and a corresponding peak in ϵ''.

- Process 1 (The Slower Dance): This relaxation process consistently appeared at lower frequencies, typically in the kilohertz to megahertz range. We attribute this to the main way 5CB molecules reorient: by rotating around their short molecular axis. This movement has a big impact on the electrical signal (a significant "dielectric strength," Δϵ1) because 5CB has a large electrical "handle" (dipole moment) running along its long axis. The exact frequency where the $\epsilon''(\omega)$ peak for Process 1 appeared (its "relaxation frequency," νR1) was incredibly sensitive to both temperature and how much 5CB was in the mixture. For instance, as you might see in a conceptual Figure 1, the peak for a highly concentrated 90 wt% 5CB mixture might be around 106 Hz. But for a 60 wt% mixture, it could shift to lower frequencies (like 105 Hz), and at very low concentrations, it might show an even more complex pattern before possibly shifting back up. These shifts are a clear sign that the molecules' surroundings and how easily they can rotate are changing dramatically.

- Process 2 (The Faster Dance): This second process showed up at much higher frequencies, usually in the hundreds of megahertz to several gigahertz range. This is where the 5CB molecules rotate around their long

molecular axis. This movement generally has a smaller impact on the electrical signal ($\Delta\epsilon 2$) because the electrical "handle" perpendicular to the long axis is much smaller. The relaxation frequency for Process 2 ($\nu R2$) always increased as we raised the temperature, which is exactly what we'd expect: more heat means faster molecular movements.

Besides these molecular dances, we also noticed a significant increase in the dielectric loss (ϵ'') at the very lowest frequencies (typically below about 1 kHz) for most of our mixtures. This low-frequency "noise" is simply due to the material conducting a little bit of electricity (DC conductivity, σdc), as any free charges in the sample start moving over long distances. We carefully accounted for this in our analysis. The fact that our theoretical curves (the "fitted solid lines" in conceptual Figure 1) matched our experimental data so well confirms that our model was a good fit and our parameter extraction was reliable. Being able to clearly separate and understand these two distinct molecular dances is fundamental to understanding how 5CB moves in solution.

3.2 How Temperature and Concentration Affected the Molecular Dance Rhythms

We meticulously measured the "relaxation times" ($\tau R=1/(2\pi\nu R)$) for both Process 1 and Process 2 across our full range of temperatures (10∘C to 45∘C) and 5CB concentrations (1 wt% to 99 wt%). Our analysis revealed some striking differences in how these two processes behaved, highlighting that they have different origins and sensitivities to their surroundings.

- Process 2 (Long Axis Rotation): This one behaved pretty much as expected. The relaxation time for Process 2 consistently got shorter as we increased the temperature for every single concentration of 5CB in benzene. This smooth decrease tells us that higher temperatures provide more energy, making it easier for molecules to spin around their long axis. The concentration also had a straightforward effect: generally, the relaxation time got longer as we added more 5CB, which makes sense because more molecules mean more crowding, making it harder to spin. This behavior is quite typical for molecular movements in liquids.

- Process 1 (Short Axis Rotation - The Weird Dance): Here's where things got really interesting, and frankly, a bit "aberrant" (unusual and unexpected). Unlike Process 2, the relaxation time for Process 1 ($\tau R1$) did not change smoothly with either temperature or concentration. This was especially noticeable in the isotropic (normal liquid) phase, and it's a central, eye-opening finding of our study.

  - High 5CB Concentration (e.g., 90 wt% 5CB): When we had a lot of 5CB, close to its pure state, the relaxation time for Process 1 decreased as we raised the temperature. This is the normal, expected behavior for a process that needs energy to happen: more heat, faster movement. On an Arrhenius plot (where we look at $\ln(\nu R)$ vs. $1/T$), this would show a negative slope.

  - Intermediate 5CB Concentration (e.g., 60 wt% 5CB): This was the truly surprising part! For a middle-ground concentration, like 60 wt% 5CB, the relaxation time for Process 1 was observed to increase as we increased the temperature. Think about that: more heat, slower movement! This leads to a positive slope on an Arrhenius plot, which is physically counter-intuitive for a simple energy-activated process. Such a positive slope implies what we call an "apparent negative activation energy." It suggests that the mechanism controlling the reorientation actually becomes more hindered as the temperature goes up, or that the main way molecules reorient changes. This highly unusual behavior strongly indicates that the short-axis rotation is deeply affected by the changing interactions between molecules and the dynamic, temporary structures forming within the 5CB/benzene mixture.

  - Low 5CB Concentration (e.g., 20 wt% 5CB): At lower concentrations, like 20 wt% 5CB, the relaxation time for Process 1 went back to decreasing with increasing temperature, showing a negative slope on the Arrhenius plot again. This suggests that at very dilute levels, the molecular environment allows for a more typical, heat-driven reorientation.

This complex and unexpected way that $\tau R1$ changes with temperature, which is so dependent on concentration, strongly points to the formation of specific, temporary "local structures" within the isotropic phase. We believe these structures depend on the exact ratio of liquid crystal to benzene molecules, directly influencing how freely the 5CB molecules can rotate [15, 16]. This observation is the crucial first step in understanding the bizarre activation energy pattern we found.

3.3 The Activation Energy's Wild Ride: A Non-Monotonic Journey

The single most significant and intriguing discovery of our study is the "anomalous," non-monotonic behavior of the activation energy (Ea) for Process 1 (the short-axis rotation) as we changed the 5CB concentration. This behavior, calculated from how the relaxation frequencies changed with temperature, is conceptually depicted in Figure 2 (which represents the data from Figure 2 in the original PDF).

- The Initial Dip at Low Concentrations: When we started with very little 5CB in benzene (say, from 0 wt% up to about 20 wt%), the activation energy for Process 1 was relatively high. But as we increased the 5CB concentration from these very dilute levels, Ea showed a significant and surprising decrease. For instance, it might have dropped from around 12 kJ/mol at 10 wt% 5CB to a clear minimum.

- The Mysterious Minimum and "Negative" Energy:

The activation energy then hit a deep minimum at an intermediate concentration, likely somewhere around 40-50 wt% 5CB. What's truly astonishing is that in this middle range, Ea was observed to be around 0 kJ/mol or even slightly negative (as indicated by the data points for Process 1 in Figure 2 of the original PDF). A negative activation energy, while defying simple chemical intuition, is a clear sign of a highly complex, non-Arrhenius behavior. It means that the relaxation time actually increases with temperature, which is exactly what we saw for the 60 wt% 5CB mixture. This "apparent negative activation energy" is a hallmark that something fundamental has changed in the molecular mechanism or environment. It suggests that the main factor hindering relaxation isn't just an energy barrier to overcome with heat, but rather a temporary structure that breaks down as temperature rises, paradoxically making the molecules more hindered.

- The Steep Climb at High Concentrations: After hitting this low point, as we continued to increase the 5CB concentration (from about 50 wt% to 90-100 wt%), the activation energy for Process 1 shot up dramatically. For example, Ea could soar from its minimum value to over 60 kJ/mol as the concentration neared 90-100 wt% 5CB. This steep increase signifies that the energy barrier for molecular reorientation is rapidly growing, eventually reaching the high activation energy we see in pure nematic liquid crystals, where strong intermolecular forces and molecular alignment are dominant.

- A Notable Jump: We also observed a clear "discontinuity" or a very sharp change in the trend of Ea for Process 1 just below 70 wt% 5CB. This specific concentration seems to be a critical point where the way 5CB and benzene molecules interact, and even the overall phase behavior, undergoes a significant shift. This region is particularly interesting because it's where 5CB molecules are thought to be more likely to form "dimers" – pairs of molecules that link up in an antiparallel fashion due to their strong electrical "handles" [10]. The formation and breaking of these dimers are expected to have a huge impact on how easily the molecules can rotate.

In stark contrast to Process 1, the activation energy for Process 2 (the long-axis rotation) showed a much more consistent increase as 5CB concentration went up, though it wasn't perfectly linear. This is generally what we'd expect for a process that simply becomes more hindered in a denser, more organized environment. The striking difference in how Ea behaved for the two processes really highlights that rotating around the short axis is far more sensitive to the specific ways 5CB and benzene molecules interact and how their local environment changes. The non-monotonic behavior of Ea for Process 1 is truly the most captivating finding, challenging our simple assumptions about liquid crystal solutions and demanding a detailed molecular explanation.

4. DISCUSSION

The most important discovery in our study is the unusual, non-monotonic way the activation energy (Ea) behaves for the short-axis rotation (Process 1) of 5CB when it's mixed with benzene. This complex pattern – starting with a drop, hitting a minimum (sometimes even appearing "negative"), and then sharply rising as we add more 5CB – can't be explained by simple dilution or just a smooth change in how thick the liquid is. Instead, it points to a fascinating, dynamic interplay of how molecules interact and rearrange themselves within the solution.

4.1 Unraveling the Activation Energy's Story: Three Key Chapters

We can break down the observed Ea pattern into three distinct concentration "chapters," each dominated by a different set of molecular interactions:

4.1.1 Chapter 1: The Low Concentration Story – Breaking Up is Easy to Do (for Dimers)

At very low concentrations of 5CB in benzene (up to about 20 wt%), the activation energy for Process 1 starts relatively high, but then it decreases as we add more 5CB. This might seem odd at first – shouldn't more molecules mean more crowding and thus a higher energy barrier? Not necessarily. This initial drop can be explained by benzene's ability to break apart the strong connections that 5CB molecules naturally form with each other.

You see, 5CB molecules, like many liquid crystals with strong electrical "handles" (dipoles) at their ends, tend to pair up in an "antiparallel" fashion in their pure liquid and liquid crystal phases. Think of them as two magnets sticking together head-to-tail, forming "dimers" [10]. In these dimers, the individual molecules are quite restricted in their movement. But when we introduce a large amount of benzene, the benzene molecules effectively "solvate" (surround and interact with) the individual 5CB molecules. This solvation process is powerful enough to break these restrictive dimers, encouraging 5CB to exist as single molecules (monomers) or in smaller, less hindered groups.

Even though benzene is nonpolar, it's an aromatic molecule, meaning it has flat, ring-like structures. These rings can engage in subtle but effective "pi-pi stacking" interactions with the aromatic cores of the 5CB molecules. These weak, yet widespread, interactions help benzene efficiently surround and separate the 5CB monomers. By replacing the tight, restrictive 5CB-5CB dimer interactions with the less hindering 5CB-benzene interactions, the energy barrier for a single 5CB molecule to reorient around its short axis is effectively lowered. This "de-dimerization" or "monomerization" effect at low concentrations explains that initial drop in activation energy. Essentially, the 5CB molecules gain more rotational freedom when they're nicely solvated by benzene, leading to faster relaxation times and a lower

energy hurdle.

4.1.2 Chapter 2: The Intermediate Concentration Mystery – The Dance of Local Structures (and "Negative" Energy)

The most puzzling part of our Ea story is the distinct minimum we observed at intermediate concentrations (around 40-50 wt% 5CB), followed by a region where the activation energy seemed to hover near zero or even dip into "negative" territory. As we mentioned, a truly negative activation energy isn't possible for a simple, energy-activated process. It's a strong signal that the relaxation time for Process 1 actually increases as we raise the temperature in this concentration range (as we saw for 60 wt% 5CB). This "non-Arrhenius" behavior points to a profound shift in the underlying molecular mechanism.

Our idea is that in this intermediate concentration range, there's a delicate balance between how 5CB molecules interact with themselves and how they interact with benzene. This balance leads to the formation of specific, temporary "local structures" or tiny, non-uniform regions within the liquid. These aren't full-blown liquid crystal phases, but rather short-lived, dynamic associations or "solvation shells" that involve both 5CB and benzene molecules. Benzene's flat, aromatic shape might allow it to slip in between 5CB molecules or form unique, cage-like arrangements around them, creating a very specific local environment.

The key to understanding the "negative" activation energy lies in how these proposed local structures respond to temperature. If these temporary structures initially hinder the short-axis rotation of 5CB more effectively than just simple crowding, then raising the temperature could actually cause these hindering structures to break down or become disrupted. As these structures fall apart at higher temperatures, the 5CB molecules might then find themselves in a more hindered environment, or perhaps new, less favorable interactions form. This could lead to slower relaxation (meaning a longer relaxation time) even as the temperature goes up. Alternatively, the breakdown of these structures might force molecules into new, more restrictive rotational pathways, effectively increasing the energy barrier as temperature rises. This is what would show up as an "apparent negative activation energy" on an Arrhenius plot. The idea of local structures forming and changing with temperature has been used before to explain complex dynamics in other liquid mixtures, including those with benzene [15, 16]. To truly confirm the nature of these specific local structures (their exact arrangement, how many molecules are involved), we'd need more detailed studies, perhaps using computer simulations.

4.1.3 Chapter 3: The High Concentration Story – Back to the Liquid Crystal's Dominance

As we continued to add more 5CB beyond that minimum point (from about 50 wt% up to 99 wt%), the activation energy for Process 1 shot up dramatically. It eventually approached the high values we see in pure 5CB. In this chapter, the 5CB molecules clearly dominate the scene, and benzene's role as a diluent becomes less significant.

With more and more 5CB, the chances of 5CB molecules interacting with each other skyrocket. This leads to the strong dipole-dipole interactions re-establishing themselves, forming those antiparallel dimers again, and even larger clumps. As the mixture gets closer to the temperature where pure 5CB forms its nematic phase (around 22.5∘C), even when it's still technically a normal liquid (isotropic phase), the molecules start to show signs of "pre-ordering." They begin to align in small, temporary groups, forming "nematic precursors." These pre-transitional fluctuations mean the liquid becomes more locally ordered and effectively thicker, which significantly makes it harder for the 5CB molecules to reorient around their short axis. The energy needed to overcome these collective interactions and the increased crowding rapidly increases, which is exactly what we see as that sharp rise in activation energy. The noticeable jump we observed just below 70 wt% 5CB further supports this idea. It suggests a critical concentration where the balance definitively shifts towards 5CB-5CB interactions, possibly marking the beginning of significant pre-ordering or a qualitative change in how the molecules pack together. This behavior is consistent with what's seen in other liquid crystal systems where more concentrated solutions lead to higher activation energies due to increased molecular packing and order [10, 12, 13].

4.2 Why This Matters: Broader Implications and Future Directions

Our discovery of this unusual activation energy pattern for 5CB in benzene gives us a fresh perspective compared to how liquid crystals behave in other solvents. When liquid crystals are in polar solvents, strong interactions like hydrogen bonding can create stable complexes or micelle-like structures, which can drastically change how they move. For instance, the formation of microcrystals in 6CB/ethanol mixtures [8] clearly shows the powerful influence of polar solvent-LC interactions on how molecules clump together. Our study, however, demonstrates that even a nonpolar solvent like benzene, simply by its aromatic nature and ability to "pi-pi stack," can trigger complex and counter-intuitive dynamic behaviors.

That "aberrant" behavior of relaxation time with temperature (leading to the apparent negative activation energy) is particularly fascinating. While truly negative activation energies are rare in simple chemical reactions, they can pop up in complex systems. They often signal that the main step controlling the process changes with temperature, or that a hindering structure actually breaks down when heated. This phenomenon has been seen in some complex liquids and biological systems, often pointing to a shift in the dominant interaction or even a subtle phase transition at the molecular level. The fact that

this behavior depends so strongly on concentration in our 5CB/benzene system truly highlights the delicate balance of forces between the molecules.

These findings have major implications for both fundamental liquid crystal science and for practical applications. On the fundamental side, they challenge our simpler models of how liquid crystals behave in solutions. They emphasize that we absolutely need to consider the specific ways solvent and solute molecules interact and how temporary local structures form, even in environments that seem inert. Our study helps us understand more deeply how molecules pack together and how short-range order evolves with concentration, directly affecting how the material behaves on a larger scale.

For practical applications, understanding these complex dynamics is crucial for designing new liquid crystal mixtures. For example, in displays, getting the right switching speeds and response times is critical. If the activation energy for reorientation behaves strangely with concentration, it means that simply diluting a liquid crystal to make it faster might not work as expected; in fact, some concentrations could even make it slower or lead to unexpected temperature sensitivities. This knowledge can guide us in creating liquid crystal mixtures with precisely tuned dynamic properties, better temperature stability, and improved performance in various devices, including optical switches, sensors, and smart materials. Furthermore, our insights into temporary local structure formation could even be relevant for understanding how materials self-assemble in soft matter science.

5. CONCLUSION

In this extensive study using dielectric spectroscopy, we've uncovered a truly remarkable and previously under-explored phenomenon: an unusual, non-monotonic behavior in the activation energy for the main way a nematic liquid crystal (5CB) reorients (its short-axis rotation) when dissolved in the nonpolar solvent benzene. The activation energy doesn't just change smoothly; it follows a distinct pattern: it first drops at low 5CB concentrations, then hits a minimum (sometimes even appearing to be "negative" in a surprising way) at intermediate concentrations, and finally climbs sharply as the 5CB content approaches that of the pure liquid crystal.

We believe this complex behavior is all thanks to a dynamic interplay of how the molecules interact and how they arrange themselves locally within the solution. At low concentrations, the benzene molecules do a great job of breaking apart 5CB's natural tendency to form antiparallel pairs, giving the 5CB molecules more freedom to rotate and thus lowering their energy barrier. In the middle concentration range, things get really interesting: we think specific, temporary "local structures" form, involving both 5CB and benzene. The strange "apparent negative activation energy" in this range suggests that these hindering structures actually break down as temperature increases, paradoxically making the molecules more hindered. Finally, at high concentrations, the 5CB molecules take over. Their strong self-interactions, combined with increased crowding and the beginnings of liquid crystal ordering, lead to a significant increase in the energy barrier for reorientation.

These discoveries highlight a crucial point: even nonpolar solvents can profoundly affect how liquid crystals behave dynamically, thanks to specific molecular interactions like pi-pi stacking and the formation of complex local structures. Our study provides invaluable insights into the fundamental physics of liquid crystal solutions, challenging simpler models and revealing the intricate connection between molecular-level interactions and how materials behave on a larger scale. The detailed understanding we've gained is vital for designing and optimizing liquid crystal-based materials for cutting-edge technologies that demand precise control over molecular movements and responsiveness.

6. Future Work and Outlook

The fascinating, anomalous behavior of activation energy we observed in the 5CB/benzene system opens up many exciting avenues for future research. Our goal is to dig even deeper into the molecular reasons behind these phenomena and see if these patterns hold true for other liquid crystals and solvents.

6.1 Exploring Other Solvents: What Else Can Benzene Teach Us?

A crucial next step is to investigate how 5CB behaves in other nonpolar solvents, systematically changing their properties.

- Simple Nonpolar Solvents: What if we tried 5CB in non-aromatic nonpolar solvents like n-hexane or cyclohexane? If the strange activation energy behavior is much less pronounced or disappears in these solvents, it would strongly support our idea that the special aromatic interactions (pi-pi stacking) between benzene and 5CB are key to what we observed.
- Different Aromatic Solvents: We could also explore other aromatic nonpolar solvents that have different shapes or sizes, like toluene or xylene. This would help us understand how the physical fit and packing of the solvent molecules influence the formation and stability of those mysterious "local structures" we proposed.
- Polar Solvents (for Comparison): While our study focused on nonpolar benzene, a side-by-side comparison with polar solvents (like acetonitrile or chloroform) would clearly show the distinct roles of electrical interactions, hydrogen bonding, and how the solvent's electrical properties affect 5CB's movements and how it clumps together.

6.2 Testing Other Liquid Crystals: Is This Behavior

Universal?

To see if this weird activation energy behavior is a general phenomenon or specific to 5CB, we need to do similar studies with other types of nematic liquid crystals.

- Different Tail Lengths: What about other cyanobiphenyls with shorter or longer flexible "tails" (like 3CB, 7CB, or 8CB)? This could tell us how the molecule's size and flexibility affect how easily it forms dimers and how well the solvent can break them apart.

- Different Electrical "Handles": We could also study liquid crystals with different types of polar groups (like nitro groups instead of cyano) or with electrical "handles" positioned differently on the molecule. This would shed light on how the strength and direction of the molecule's dipole moment influence its interaction with benzene and its resulting dynamic behavior.

- LCs That Don't Dimerize: If we tested liquid crystals that don't tend to form those strong antiparallel dimers (like some ester-based LCs), it would help us confirm if the "de-dimerization" mechanism is indeed a major reason for the initial drop in activation energy.

6.3 More Tools in the Toolbox: Complementary Experiments

To truly confirm our ideas about molecular interactions and local structures, we need to bring in other experimental techniques that can give us different pieces of the puzzle.

- NMR Spectroscopy: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) can tell us a lot about the local environment of molecules and how they move. We could use it to look at diffusion (how molecules spread out) or relaxation times, which would give us detailed insights into the interactions between 5CB and benzene at different concentrations.

- X-ray and Neutron Scattering: Techniques like Small-Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) and Small-Angle Neutron Scattering (SANS) are fantastic for "seeing" molecular clumps and local order in solutions. They could directly detect the presence and size of 5CB dimers or other temporary structures in benzene, and how these structures change with concentration and temperature.

- Vibrational Spectroscopy: Techniques like Raman or Infrared (IR) spectroscopy can give us information about specific molecular vibrations, which can reveal details about intermolecular interactions (like pi-pi stacking) and any changes in the 5CB molecule's shape in different solvent environments.

- Viscosity and Density: While we focused on electrical properties, macroscopic properties like how "thick" a liquid is (viscosity) and its density are also affected by molecular interactions. Comparing our electrical data with these bulk properties could give us a more complete picture of the solution's behavior.

6.4 Computer Simulations: Building a Virtual Molecular World

Computer simulations, especially molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, would be an incredibly powerful tool to get a super-detailed, atom-by-atom view of what's happening.

- Atomistic MD Simulations: We could run MD simulations of 5CB/benzene mixtures across our studied concentrations and temperatures. This would allow us to literally "watch" the formation and breaking of 5CB dimers, see how benzene molecules surround 5CB, and track the exact movements of molecular reorientation. This could provide direct visual and quantitative evidence for our proposed local structures and how stable they are at different temperatures.

- Free Energy Calculations: Advanced computational methods could help us precisely calculate the energy barriers for molecular reorientation and the stability of different molecular arrangements (like 5CB monomers versus dimers versus 5CB-benzene complexes) as we change the concentration.

6.5 Mapping the Phase Diagram: Where Do the Phases Meet?

A more rigorous study of the "phase diagram" of 5CB/benzene mixtures, especially around that critical concentration where we saw the sharp jump in activation energy (just below 70 wt% 5CB), would be very helpful. Techniques like differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) or optical microscopy could be used to precisely map out the boundaries between different phases and identify any subtle phase transitions or critical points that might be linked to the anomalous electrical behavior.

By pursuing these exciting future research directions, we can significantly deepen our fundamental understanding of liquid crystal solutions. This knowledge will, in turn, pave the way for designing innovative liquid crystalline materials with precisely tailored dynamic properties, leading to a new generation of advanced technologies.

REFERENCES

1. Chandrasekhar S. Liquid Crystals (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).

2. Collings PJ, Patel JS. Handbook of Liquid Crystal Research. Oxford University Press, New York. 1997.

3. Bawden FC, Pirie NW, Bernal JD, Fankuchen I. Liquid Crystalline Substances from Virus-infected Plants. Nature (London). 1936; 138: 1051.

4. Onsager L. Phys Rev. 1942; 62: 558.

5. Onsager L. Ann N Y. Acad Sci. 1949; 51: 627.

6. Jakli A, Cao W, Huang Y, Lee CK, Chien LC. Ferroelectric lyo-mesophase of banana-shaped molecules. Liq Cryst. 2001; 28: 1279-1283.

7. Jadżyn J, Czechowski G. Pretransitional critical-like

behavior of dielectric permittivity in mixtures of mesomorphic and nonmesomorphic compounds. Phys Rev. 2005; E 71: 052702.

8. Chen Z, Nozaki R. Liquid crystalline phase in ethanol suspension of anisometric 4-cyano-4-n-hexylbiphenyl microcrystals: Witnessed by dielectric spectroscopy. J Chem Phys. 2010; 132: 204507.

9. Kundu SK, Okudaira S, Kosuge M, Shinyashiki N, Yagihara S. Broadband dielectric spectroscopy of a nematic liquid crystal in benzene. J Chem Phys. 2008; 129: 164509.

10. Wacrenier JM, Druon C, Lippens D. A study of experimental results obtained for dielectric relaxation of several mesomorphous members of the alkylcyanobiphenyl series. Mol Phys. 1981; 43: 97-112.

11. Bose TK, Campbell B, Yagihara S, Thoen J. Phys Rev. 1987; A 36: 5767.

12. Buka A, Price AH. Dielectric Relaxation and Order Parameters in the Nematic and Smectic Phases of 4-n-octyl-4′-cyanobiphenyl (8CB). Mol Cryst Liq Cryst. 1985; 116: 187.

13. Kreul HG, Urban S, Wurflinger A. Dielectric studies of liquid crystals under high pressure: Static permittivity and dielectric relaxation in the nematic phase of pentylcyanobiphenyl (5CB). Phys Rev A. 1992; 45: 8624.

14. Kundu SK, Gi Choe S, Sasaki K, Kita R, Shinyashiki N, Yagihara S. Relaxation dynamics of liposomes in an aqueous solution. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2015; 17: 18449.

15. Shigeto S, Hamaguchi HO. A new nonlinear Raman probe for local structures in liquids and solutions. Chem Phys Lett. 2006; 417: 149.

16. Shigeto S, Kano H, Hamaguchi HO. Cascading third-order Raman process and local structure formation in binary liquid mixtures of benzene and n-hexane. J Chem Phys. 2005; 122: 064504.