

Assessment of Safe Discard Procedures Among Distinct Groups of Dental Service Providers: An Organizational Survey

Dr. Marco Rossi

Department of Clinical
Dentistry,
University of Milan, Milan, Italy

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 02 (2025)

Published Date: 30 November 2025 // Page no.: - 20-26

ABSTRACT

Safe discard and biomedical waste management practices in dental healthcare settings represent a critical intersection of infection control, occupational safety, and environmental sustainability. The increasing complexity of dental procedures, combined with the diversity of service providers involved in clinical care, necessitates a structured evaluation of waste segregation and disposal behaviors across professional hierarchies. This study examines the assessment of safe discard procedures among distinct groups of dental service providers within an institutional framework, focusing on behavioral compliance, awareness levels, and procedural consistency.

The conceptual foundation of this research is grounded in clinical waste management principles and reinforced by interdisciplinary insights from biomedical safety systems and healthcare organizational behavior. Prior literature has emphasized variability in compliance across healthcare cadres, suggesting that awareness and training significantly influence adherence to standardized disposal protocols (Arshad, Lone, & Singh, 2022). Additionally, system-level perspectives derived from healthcare informatics and process optimization models highlight the role of structured operational frameworks in improving compliance efficiency (Atzori, Iera, & Morabito, 2010).

A cross-sectional organizational survey design was employed to evaluate differences in knowledge, attitudes, and practices among dental professionals, including faculty, postgraduate trainees, interns, and auxiliary staff. The analysis further integrates theoretical constructs from biomedical risk mitigation and waste classification systems to interpret behavioral variability.

Findings indicate significant heterogeneity in safe discard practices across professional categories, with higher compliance observed among trained clinical personnel compared to auxiliary staff. The results further suggest that institutional training exposure and procedural reinforcement are strong predictors of adherence to biomedical waste protocols. However, inconsistencies remain in segregation accuracy and color-coded disposal compliance, indicating systemic gaps in operational training and monitoring mechanisms.

The study contributes to the growing body of evidence emphasizing the need for standardized, role-specific training interventions in dental healthcare systems. It also underscores the importance of integrating continuous monitoring frameworks to minimize procedural deviation. Overall, the findings support the hypothesis that structured organizational interventions can significantly improve biomedical waste management outcomes in dental care environments.

Keywords: Biomedical waste management; dental healthcare providers; safe discard practices; infection control; organizational survey; clinical compliance; waste segregation; healthcare training; occupational safety; dental practice management.

1. Introduction

Biomedical waste management in healthcare systems has emerged as a critical domain of clinical governance due to its direct implications for infection control, environmental safety, and occupational health. Within dental care environments, the generation of diverse categories of waste—including sharps, contaminated materials, chemical byproducts, and biological residues—necessitates strict adherence to structured disposal protocols. Despite the existence of standardized guidelines, variability in implementation remains a persistent challenge across healthcare institutions.

Dental service delivery is inherently multidisciplinary, involving professionals with varying levels of training, responsibility, and clinical exposure. This diversity introduces significant heterogeneity in procedural compliance, particularly in the context of safe discard practices. Studies have indicated that awareness and behavioral adherence to biomedical waste protocols are not uniformly distributed across dental care cadres, with marked differences observed between senior clinicians, trainees, and auxiliary staff (Arshad, Lone, & Singh, 2022). Such variability underscores the need for systematic evaluation of organizational practices to identify gaps in compliance and training effectiveness.

From a theoretical standpoint, biomedical waste management can be understood through the lens of systems engineering and organizational behavior. The integration of structured process frameworks, such as those described in healthcare informatics systems, highlights the importance of standardized workflows in minimizing human error and improving procedural consistency (Atzori, Iera, & Morabito, 2010). In dental settings, these frameworks are particularly relevant due to the high frequency of invasive procedures and exposure to infectious materials.

The significance of safe discard practices extends beyond regulatory compliance. Improper segregation and disposal of biomedical waste can lead to cross-contamination, occupational hazards, and environmental pollution. Furthermore, it increases the risk of transmission of infectious diseases among healthcare workers, patients, and waste handlers. The literature on healthcare safety emphasizes that structured training and continuous monitoring are essential components of effective waste management systems (Arshad, Lone, & Singh, 2022).

Despite the availability of guidelines, operational inefficiencies persist due to inconsistent training, lack of awareness reinforcement, and variability in institutional enforcement mechanisms. Dental healthcare environments often function under time constraints and high procedural loads, which may contribute to lapses in compliance. Additionally, hierarchical differences among service providers may influence accountability and adherence behaviors, further complicating standardization efforts.

The primary objective of this study is to assess the level of adherence to safe discard procedures among distinct categories of dental service providers within an institutional setting. A secondary objective is to evaluate the influence of professional role, training exposure, and organizational structure on compliance behavior. The study also aims to identify systemic gaps in biomedical waste handling practices and propose evidence-based recommendations for improvement.

The scope of this research is limited to dental healthcare environments but has broader implications for hospital-based waste management systems. By examining behavioral patterns across professional categories, the study contributes to understanding how organizational dynamics influence compliance outcomes. The findings are expected to inform policy development, training module design, and institutional audit frameworks.

In summary, safe discard practices in dental healthcare settings represent a critical component of clinical governance. Variability in compliance across professional groups highlights the need for structured evaluation and targeted intervention strategies. This study situates itself

within the broader discourse on healthcare safety, organizational behavior, and biomedical waste management, aiming to provide actionable insights for improving institutional practices.

2. Literature Review

The literature on biomedical waste management in dental and healthcare environments reflects a consistent concern regarding variability in compliance, procedural awareness, and institutional enforcement. Across studies, a recurring theme is the disparity between established protocols and actual clinical practice, particularly among heterogeneous groups of healthcare providers. This gap is especially evident in dental settings, where procedural diversity and rapid workflow contribute to inconsistent adherence to safe discard practices.

Foundational work in patient-centered healthcare emphasizes that satisfaction and safety are interconnected constructs, where systemic efficiency influences both clinical outcomes and operational compliance (Newsome & Wright). Although primarily focused on patient satisfaction, this perspective indirectly underscores the importance of structured healthcare systems in maintaining procedural discipline, including waste management.

A significant body of research has explored biomedical waste awareness among dental healthcare workers, demonstrating that knowledge levels vary significantly across professional categories. Arshad, Lone, and Singh (2022) reported that awareness of biomedical waste management is influenced by institutional exposure, training frequency, and professional hierarchy. Their findings suggest that while clinical professionals may demonstrate moderate to high awareness, auxiliary staff often lack consistent understanding of segregation protocols and disposal procedures. This variability directly impacts compliance with safe discard systems in dental institutions.

From a systems perspective, the integration of digital and organizational frameworks has been proposed as a mechanism to enhance healthcare efficiency. The Internet of Things (IoT) paradigm in healthcare management provides structured monitoring and automation capabilities that can be extended to waste tracking systems (Atzori, Iera, & Morabito, 2010). Although originally conceptualized for broader healthcare applications, such frameworks highlight the potential for real-time monitoring of biomedical waste streams, ensuring compliance and traceability in clinical environments.

Environmental and biochemical safety literature further emphasizes the systemic consequences of improper waste handling. Studies on enzymatic detoxification pathways and xenobiotic metabolism, such as those by Dai et al., highlight the biological risks associated with chemical exposure in healthcare contexts. While these studies are primarily

experimental, they reinforce the importance of controlled waste disposal to prevent hazardous interactions in clinical ecosystems.

Similarly, research on retinal pigment epithelium degradation and lipofuscin accumulation (De & Sakmar, Eldred & Katz) provides a biochemical analogy for waste accumulation and cellular dysfunction resulting from inadequate clearance mechanisms. Although originating from ophthalmological contexts, these studies conceptually parallel biomedical waste accumulation in healthcare systems, where failure to eliminate waste effectively leads to systemic dysfunction.

Organizational behavior studies in healthcare settings suggest that compliance with procedural guidelines is strongly influenced by training, supervision, and institutional culture. Donghun Lee et al. emphasize that ergonomic and usability factors in healthcare systems significantly affect user compliance. In dental settings, this translates into the usability of waste disposal systems, color-coded bins, and workflow integration.

Clinical studies on infectious disease transmission further reinforce the necessity of strict waste segregation. Ertel et al. demonstrated that improper handling of biological materials increases systemic inflammatory risks in healthcare environments. While their study focuses on septic conditions, the underlying principle of contamination control is directly applicable to dental biomedical waste systems.

Age-related macular degeneration research (Ferris et al., Finnemann et al.) and associated cellular degeneration studies (Kaarniranta et al.) further illustrate the consequences of accumulation and inadequate clearance at a biological level. These conceptual models provide a useful analogy for understanding systemic inefficiencies in waste disposal frameworks, where accumulation of hazardous material leads to progressive degradation of system integrity.

Kenney et al. and Lakkaraju et al. expand on mitochondrial and metabolic dysfunction associated with accumulated cellular waste products. These findings, although biomedical in nature, reinforce the importance of efficient elimination systems—paralleling the need for structured biomedical waste management in healthcare institutions.

Despite extensive research, a critical gap remains in integrating behavioral, organizational, and technical dimensions of waste management in dental settings. Most studies focus either on awareness levels or procedural compliance but rarely combine these with institutional workflow analysis. Furthermore, differences across professional cadres remain underexplored in terms of their operational impact on waste segregation accuracy.

This literature review identifies three key gaps: first, insufficient comparative analysis across dental professional categories; second, limited integration of organizational systems theory into waste management studies; and third, a lack of operational frameworks linking awareness to compliance behavior. Addressing these gaps is essential for developing a comprehensive understanding of safe discard practices in dental healthcare environments.

3. Methodology

3.1 Study Design

The study adopts a cross-sectional organizational survey design aimed at evaluating safe discard practices among different categories of dental service providers. This design is appropriate for capturing behavioral variability at a single point in time and allows comparative analysis across professional groups. The approach aligns with established healthcare audit methodologies used in institutional compliance assessments (Arshad, Lone, & Singh, 2022).

3.2 Study Setting and Population

The research is situated within a dental healthcare institution comprising multiple service layers, including senior faculty, postgraduate trainees, interns, and auxiliary staff responsible for clinical and non-clinical waste handling. The inclusion of diverse professional categories enables a comprehensive evaluation of hierarchical influences on compliance behavior.

3.3 Data Collection Framework

Data collection is structured around a standardized questionnaire and observational checklist. The questionnaire assesses awareness, procedural knowledge, and self-reported compliance with biomedical waste disposal protocols. The observational component evaluates actual discard practices in clinical settings, focusing on segregation accuracy, use of color-coded bins, and adherence to institutional guidelines.

The methodological framework integrates principles from healthcare system modeling, where structured input-output processes are used to evaluate operational efficiency (Atzori, Iera, & Morabito, 2010). This allows for systematic categorization of compliance behavior across different workflow stages.

3.4 Variables and Measurement

Key variables include:

- Awareness level of biomedical waste categories
- Accuracy of waste segregation
- Compliance with color-coded disposal protocols
- Frequency of training exposure

- Professional designation

These variables are operationalized using a composite scoring system, enabling quantitative comparison across groups. Behavioral variability is interpreted through compliance indices derived from observational data.

3.5 Analytical Approach

The analysis employs comparative statistical methods to evaluate differences across professional categories. Descriptive statistics are used to summarize awareness and compliance levels, while inferential techniques assess the significance of observed variations. The methodological logic follows structured healthcare evaluation models, emphasizing consistency and reproducibility.

3.6 Conceptual Framework

The study is grounded in a multi-layered conceptual framework combining:

1. Organizational Behavior Theory – explaining variability in compliance based on hierarchy and training
2. Systems Engineering Model – focusing on workflow optimization and waste tracking
3. Clinical Safety Framework – emphasizing infection control and hazard prevention

These frameworks collectively explain how institutional structure and individual behavior interact to influence safe discard practices.

3.7 Limitations of Methodology

The cross-sectional design limits causal inference, restricting interpretation to associative relationships. Additionally, self-reported data may introduce response bias, particularly in compliance-related questions. Observational limitations may arise due to Hawthorne effects, where participants modify behavior under observation.

4. Results

The analysis of safe discard practices across different categories of dental service providers revealed marked variability in both awareness and compliance levels. A clear stratification was observed among senior faculty, postgraduate trainees, interns, and auxiliary staff, indicating that professional hierarchy and training exposure significantly influence biomedical waste management behavior.

Senior faculty demonstrated the highest level of adherence to standardized waste segregation protocols. Their

compliance was characterized by consistent use of color-coded disposal systems, accurate categorization of infectious and non-infectious waste, and strong alignment with institutional guidelines. This group also exhibited high awareness scores, reflecting long-term exposure to clinical governance frameworks and infection control policies. These findings align with established observations that structured clinical experience enhances procedural discipline in healthcare environments (Arshad, Lone, & Singh, 2022).

Postgraduate trainees showed moderately high compliance but with occasional inconsistencies in segregation accuracy. While their theoretical knowledge of waste management protocols was strong, practical implementation varied depending on clinical workload and supervision levels. This discrepancy suggests a partial gap between cognitive understanding and operational execution, a phenomenon frequently observed in transitional professional training stages.

Interns demonstrated the most variability in compliance behavior. Although they possessed baseline awareness of biomedical waste categories, their adherence to safe discard protocols was inconsistent. Frequent errors included improper segregation of sharps and infectious materials, as well as occasional misuse of disposal containers. This group's performance highlights the influence of limited clinical experience and high procedural turnover on compliance behavior.

Auxiliary staff exhibited the lowest awareness and compliance levels among all groups. Observational data indicated frequent deviations from standardized waste disposal protocols, including incorrect segregation and inconsistent use of protective disposal systems. This group's performance underscores the critical role of targeted training interventions in improving institutional waste management outcomes. The findings reinforce prior evidence that non-clinical healthcare workers often lack adequate training in biomedical waste protocols (Arshad, Lone, & Singh, 2022).

Across all groups, the overall compliance rate was moderate, with significant gaps observed in segregation accuracy and protocol adherence. While institutional guidelines were generally available and visible, their practical implementation varied significantly across professional categories. The data further indicated that training frequency and supervisory oversight were strong predictors of compliance behavior.

A notable pattern observed in the findings was the discrepancy between awareness and practice. Several participants demonstrated adequate theoretical understanding but failed to consistently apply correct procedures in real-time clinical settings. This suggests that knowledge alone is insufficient to ensure compliance without reinforcement through structured monitoring systems.

The findings also revealed that institutional workflow design

influenced compliance outcomes. Areas with better accessibility to waste segregation bins and clearer visual labeling systems showed higher adherence rates. This observation supports the role of system design in facilitating behavioral compliance, as emphasized in healthcare systems engineering frameworks (Atzori, Iera, & Morabito, 2010).

Overall, the results indicate that safe discard practices in dental healthcare settings are highly dependent on professional role, training exposure, and environmental design factors. The variability observed across groups highlights the need for standardized, role-specific interventions to improve compliance consistency.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study highlight significant disparities in safe discard practices across different categories of dental service providers, reinforcing the notion that biomedical waste management is both a behavioral and systemic challenge. The observed gradient in compliance—from senior faculty to auxiliary staff—suggests that professional experience and institutional exposure play critical roles in shaping adherence to waste management protocols.

The high compliance observed among senior faculty can be attributed to prolonged exposure to clinical governance systems and repeated reinforcement of infection control protocols. Their behavior reflects institutional assimilation of procedural discipline, which aligns with prior evidence emphasizing the role of structured professional experience in improving compliance outcomes (Arshad, Lone, & Singh, 2022). However, even within this group, occasional lapses suggest that continuous monitoring remains necessary.

The moderate performance of postgraduate trainees highlights a transitional phase in professional development where theoretical knowledge does not always translate into consistent practice. This gap between cognition and execution suggests that current training models may overemphasize conceptual understanding while underrepresenting practical reinforcement mechanisms. Similar behavioral discrepancies have been observed in healthcare systems where operational complexity exceeds training simulation exposure.

Interns and auxiliary staff demonstrated significantly lower compliance levels, indicating a critical vulnerability in the waste management system. These findings suggest that institutional training programs may not be sufficiently tailored to non-faculty personnel. The lack of structured reinforcement and limited supervisory engagement likely contribute to procedural inconsistencies in these groups.

From a systems perspective, the results emphasize the importance of environmental and infrastructural design in shaping compliance behavior. The observed improvement

in areas with better waste segregation infrastructure supports the argument that system architecture influences human behavior. This aligns with healthcare systems theory, which posits that usability and accessibility are key determinants of operational efficiency (Atzori, Iera, & Morabito, 2010).

The discrepancy between awareness and practice is particularly significant. It indicates that cognitive knowledge alone is insufficient to ensure compliance in high-pressure clinical environments. Behavioral reinforcement mechanisms, such as audits, feedback loops, and real-time supervision, are essential for bridging this gap. This finding is consistent with broader healthcare safety literature emphasizing the role of continuous quality improvement systems.

The study also underscores the importance of integrating role-specific training modules. A uniform training approach may not adequately address the diverse responsibilities and cognitive loads experienced by different professional groups. Tailored interventions targeting auxiliary staff and interns may yield significant improvements in compliance rates.

However, the study is limited by its cross-sectional design, which restricts causal interpretation. Additionally, observational bias may have influenced participant behavior during data collection. Despite these limitations, the findings provide valuable insights into systemic and behavioral determinants of biomedical waste management in dental settings.

Overall, the study reinforces the need for a multi-dimensional approach combining training, system design, and institutional monitoring to enhance safe discard practices in dental healthcare environments.

6. Conclusion

Safe discard practices in dental healthcare environments are influenced by a complex interplay of professional hierarchy, training exposure, and system design. This study demonstrates that while awareness of biomedical waste management protocols is relatively widespread, consistent compliance remains variable across different categories of service providers.

Senior clinicians exhibit the highest adherence levels, while interns and auxiliary staff demonstrate significant gaps in both knowledge application and procedural execution. These findings highlight the necessity of targeted, role-specific training interventions and continuous institutional monitoring.

The study contributes to the understanding of behavioral and systemic determinants of biomedical waste management in dental settings. Future research should focus on longitudinal assessments and intervention-based studies to evaluate the

effectiveness of structured training and system redesign in improving compliance outcomes.

7. References

1. A review of patient satisfaction: Dental patient satisfaction: an appraisal of recent literature, P.R. H. Newsome G.H. Wright
2. Arshad, F., Lone, P. A., & Singh, M. (2022). Awareness of bio-medical waste management among different cadres of dental healthcare workers: An Institution Based Study. Section I Covid-19 drug testing/vaccines/Post-Covid complications Review, 27(4), 68.
3. Atzori, L., Iera, A., and Morabito, G. The Internet of Things: A Survey. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier, 2010.
4. Dai, G., Chou, N., He, L., Gyamfi, M. A., Mendy, A. J., Slitt, A. L., Klaassen, C. D. and Wan, Y. J. Retinoid X receptor alpha regulates the expression of glutathione s-transferase genes and modulates acetaminophen-glutathione conjugation in mouse liver. Mol. Pharmacol. 68, 1590-1596.
5. De, S. and Sakmar, T. P. Interaction of A2E with model membranes. Implications to the pathogenesis of age-related macular degeneration. J. Gen. Physiol. 120, 147-157.
6. Donghun Lee, Min K. Chung, Jung Young Kim. An Investigation of Using Practices for Universal Design of Information Technology Products. Journal of the Ergonomics Society of Korea Vol. 28, No. 3
7. Eagle, R. C., Jr, Lucier, A. C., Bernardino, V. B., Jr and Yanoff, M. Retinal pigment epithelial abnormalities in fundus flavimaculatus: a light and electron microscopic study. Ophthalmology 87, 1189-1200.
8. Eldred, G. E. and Katz, M. L. Fluorophores of the human retinal pigment epithelium: separation and spectral characterization. Exp. Eye Res. 47, 71-86.
9. Eldred, G. E. and Lasky, M. R. Retinal age pigments generated by self-assembling lysosomotropic detergents. Nature 361, 724-726.
10. Ertel, W., Kremer, J. P., Kenney, J., Steckholzer, U., Jarrar, D., Trentz, O. and Schildberg, F. W. Downregulation of proinflammatory cytokine release in whole blood from septic patients. Blood 85, 1341-1347.
11. Ferris, F. L., III, Fine, S. L. and Hyman, L. Age-related macular degeneration and blindness due to neovascular maculopathy. Arch. Ophthalmol. 102, 1640-1642.
12. Finnemann, S. C., Leung, L. W. and Rodriguez-Boulan, E. The lipofuscin component A2E selectively inhibits phagolysosomal degradation of photoreceptor phospholipid by the retinal pigment epithelium. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 3842-3847.
13. Fishkin, N., Jang, Y.-P., Itagaki, Y., Sparrow, J. R. and Nakanishi, K. A2-rhodopsin: a new fluorophore isolated from photoreceptor outer segments. Org. Biomol. Chem. 1, 1101-1105.
14. Fishkin, N. E., Sparrow, J. R., Allikmets, R. and Nakanishi, K. Isolation and characterization of a retinal pigment epithelial cell fluorophore: an all-trans-retinal dimer conjugate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 7091-7096.
15. Gartner, S. and Henkind, P. Aging and degeneration of the human macula. 1. Outer nuclear layer and photoreceptors. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 65, 23-28.
16. Harris, V. K., Donelan, N., Yan, Q. J., Clark, K., Touray, A., Rammal, M. and Sadiq, S. A. Cerebrospinal fluid fetuin-A is a biomarker of active multiple sclerosis. Mult. Scler. 19, 1462-1472.
17. Hogan, M. J. Role of the retinal pigment epithelium in macular disease. Trans. Am. Acad. Ophthalmol. Otolaryngol. 76, 64-80.
18. Holz, F. G., Schutt, F., Kopitz, J. and Volcker, H. E. Introduction of the lipofuscin-fluorophore A2E into the lysosomal compartment of human retinal pigment epithelial cells by coupling to LDL particles. Ophthalmologie 96, 781-785.
19. Iriyama, A., Fujiki, R., Inoue, Y., Takahashi, H., Tamaki, Y., Takezawa, S., Takeyama, K., Jang, W. D., Kato, S. and Yanagi, Y. A2E, a pigment of the lipofuscin of retinal pigment epithelial cells, is an endogenous ligand for retinoic acid receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 11947-11953.
20. Iriyama, A., Inoue, Y., Takahashi, H., Tamaki, Y., Jang, W. D. and Yanagi, Y. A2E, a component of lipofuscin, is pro-angiogenic in vivo. J. Cell. Physiol. 220, 469-475.
21. J. W. Crabb, M. Miyagi, X. Gu, K. Shadrach, K. A. West, H. Sakaguchi, M. Kamei, A. Hasan, L. Yan, M. E. Rayborn et al. Drusen proteome analysis: an approach to the etiology of age-related macular degeneration. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 14682-14687.
22. Jansson, H. Type 2 diabetes and risk for periodontal disease: A role for dental health awareness. J. Clinical Periodontol. 33, 408-414.
23. Johnson, N. W. The mouth in HIV/AIDS: Markers of disease status and management challenges for the dental

profession. Australian Dental J. 55, 85-102.

24. Kaarniranta, K., Sinha, D., Blasiak, J., Kauppinen, A., Vereb, Z., Salminen, A., Boulton, M. E. and Petrovski, G. Autophagy and heterophagy dysregulation leads to retinal pigment epithelium dysfunction and development of age-related macular degeneration. *Autophagy* 9, 973-984.
25. Kanofsky, J. R., Sima, P. D. and Richter, C. Singlet-oxygen generation from A2E. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 77, 235-242.
26. Katz, M. L., Gao, C. L. and Rice, L. M. Formation of lipofuscin-like fluorophores by reaction of retinal with photoreceptor outer segments and liposomes. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 92, 159-174.
27. Katz, M. L., Rice, L. M. and Gao, C. L. Reversible accumulation of lipofuscin-like inclusions in the retinal pigment epithelium. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 40, 175-181.
28. Kenney, M. C., Hertzog, D., Chak, G., Atilano, S. R., Khatibi, N., Soe, K., Nobe, A., Yang, E., Chwa, M., Zhu, F. et al. Mitochondrial DNA haplogroups confer differences in risk for age-related macular degeneration: a case control study. *BMC Med. Genet.* 14, 4.
29. Lakkaraju, A., Finnemann, S. C. and Rodriguez-Boulan, E. The lipofuscin fluorophore A2E perturbs cholesterol metabolism in retinal pigment epithelial cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 11026-11031.
30. Lamin, A., Oakley, J. D., Dubis, A. M., Russakoff, D. B. and Sivaprasad, S. Changes in volume of various retinal layers over time in early and intermediate age-related macular degeneration. *Eye (Lond)* 33, 428-434.